arXiv:hep-th/0501197v1 24 Jan 2005

UCLA/05/TEP/3
Columbia/Math/05
2005 January 24

TWO-LOOP SUPERSTRINGS VI

Non-Renormalization Theorems and the 4-Point Function [1]

Eric D'Hoker[a] and D.H. Phong[b]

[a] *Department of Physics and Astronomy*
University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
[b] *Department of Mathematics*
Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Abstract

The N-point amplitudes for the Type II and Heterotic superstrings at two-loop order and for $N \leq 4$ massless NS bosons are evaluated explicitly from first principles, using the method of projection onto super period matrices introduced and developed in the first five papers of this series. The gauge-dependent corrections to the vertex operators, identified in paper V, are carefully taken into account, and the crucial counterterms which are Dolbeault exact in one insertion point and de Rham closed in the remaining points are constructed explicitly. This procedure maintains gauge slice independence at every stage of the evaluation. Analysis of the resulting amplitudes demonstrates, from first principles, that for $N \leq 3$, no two-loop corrections occur, while for $N = 4$, no two-loop corrections to the low energy effective action occur for R^4 terms in the Type II superstrings, and for F^4, F^2F^2, F^2R^2, and R^4 terms in the Heterotic strings.

---

[1] Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grants PHY-01-40151 and DMS-02-45371.

1 Introduction

The gauge fixing procedure of [1, 2, 3, 4], based on the projection of supergeometries to their super period matrices, has produced a gauge slice independent chiral superstring measure[1]. For the N-point function, the same procedure applies, after insertion of the corresponding vertex operators. As shown in [6], the procedure produces readily gauge slice independent N-point functions, provided some important subtleties in the vertex operators are treated with proper care. In the present paper, we work it out explicitly for the N-point function for $N \leq 4$ and massless NS bosons, for both the Type II and Heterotic superstrings. The resulting formulas exhibit clearly the mechanism by which all dependence on gauge slice choices cancels. Central to this mechanism is a new scalar function $\Lambda(z)$, resulting from the subtleties in the vertex operators.

The N-point functions for $N \leq 4$ and massless bosons to higher loop orders have been considered by many authors over the years. In the earlier literature dating from the 1980's on multi-loops [7, 8, 9, 10], (more extensive bibliographies were given in [2, 11]) and specifically 2-loop [12, 13, 14, 15] amplitudes, the gauge-fixing procedure was known to suffer from ambiguities, that is, the gauge-fixed amplitudes ended up being gauge slice dependent [9, 16]. In retrospect, we know now that an ill-defined projection from supergeometries to their standard period matrices was implicitly used. More recently, Zheng, Wu, and Zhu [17, 18, 19] have taken up anew the evaluation of the N-point function for $N \leq 4$, using this time the gauge slice independent superstring measure of [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, the ensuing subtleties in the vertex operators were not taken into account, and the gauge slice independence of the amplitudes was again broken along the way. In particular, a gauge-fixed formula was found which remained dependent on the gauge slice chosen. A final gauge invariant formula could only be obtained after certain ad hoc remedies. Although for the 4-point function, the correct answer (see (1.20) below) can be recovered in this way, this may not be the case for other amplitudes, and we need a reliable evaluation procedure insuring gauge slice independence.

As explained in [6], the subtleties with vertex operators come from the following two interrelated sources. First, to insure covariance under local worldsheet supersymmetry, the naive (un-integrated) vertex operator $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon,k)$ for emission of the supergraviton multiplet

$$\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon,k) = \epsilon^\mu dz(\partial_z x_+^\mu - ik^\nu \psi_+^\mu \psi_+^\nu)(z)\, e^{ik\cdot x(z)} \tag{1.1}$$

has to be completed into the following vertex operator $\mathcal{V}(z,\epsilon,k)$

$$\mathcal{V}(z,\epsilon,k) = \mathcal{V}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon,k) + \mathcal{V}^{(1)}(z,\epsilon,k) + \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(z,\epsilon,k) \tag{1.2}$$

---

[1] A survey is given in [5].

with the corrections $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ incorporating the chiral volume element on the (super) worldsheet and the Beltrami differential $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z}$ for the deformation of complex structure from period matrix Ω_{IJ} to super period matrix $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^{(1)}(z,\epsilon,k) &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu} d\bar{z}\chi_{\bar{z}}{}^{+}\psi_{+}^{\mu}(z)\, e^{ik\cdot x(z)} \\
\mathcal{V}^{(2)}(z,\epsilon,k) &= -\epsilon^{\mu}\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z} d\bar{z}(\partial_z x_{+}^{\mu} - ik^{\nu}\psi_{+}^{\mu}\psi_{+}^{\nu})(z)\, e^{ik\cdot x_{+}(z)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Second, even though the $(x_{+}^{\mu}, \psi_{+}^{\mu})$-correlation functions $\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{V}(z_i,\epsilon_i,k_i)\rangle$ are *chiral* (in the sense of depending only on z_i, $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}$ and $\chi_{\bar{z}}{}^{+}$ and not on the conjugates $\bar{z}_i$, $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}^{*}$ and $\chi_{z}{}^{-}$), they are *not holomorphic* with respect to the complex structure defined by the super period matrix $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}$. This is most readily seen from the fact that the corrections $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ are $(0,1)$-forms. On $(0,1)$-forms, the derivative $\nabla_{\bar{z}}$ requires a connection, and thus the condition $\nabla_{\bar{z}}\phi = 0$ does not provide an appropriate notion of holomorphicity. The appearance of these non-trivial $(0,1)$-components is a major difficulty in descending from *superholomorphic* correlation functions on the super worldsheet to *holomorphic* correlation functions on the worldsheet itself.

1.1 Chiral and Holomorphic Superstring Amplitudes

The key to the solution is to show that all terms from $\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{V}(z_i,\epsilon_i,k_i)\rangle$ which are not $(1,0)$-forms in each insertion point z_i, can be grouped into terms which are Dolbeault $\bar{\partial}$-exact differentials in one insertion point, and de Rham d-closed forms in all the other insertion points (see [6], eq.(1.12)). This can be done for each even spin structure on the worldsheet [20]. However, the process is quite involved in practical calculations. If we are concerned solely with the final GSO-projected superstring amplitude, only a sum over spin structures is needed. In the unitary gauge, where the supports q_α of the gravitino slice $\chi(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \zeta^{\alpha}\delta(z,q_\alpha)$ are chosen to be the zeroes of a certain holomorphic $(1,0)$-form ϖ,

$$\varpi(q_\alpha) = 0, \qquad \alpha = 1,2, \tag{1.4}$$

the sum over spin structures simplifies considerably if $N \leq 4$, and the process of identifying the Dolbeault $\bar{\partial}$-exact forms becomes much more transparent. In the present paper, we shall restrict ourselves to this case. The gauge slice independence of the amplitudes corresponds then to the independence from the choice of $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z}$ and points q_α, or equivalently, from the choice of $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z}$ and holomorphic form $\varpi(z)$.

To describe our results more precisely, we recall that the chiral superstring measure determined in [1, 2, 3, 4] is given by

$$d\mu_0[\delta](\Omega) + \zeta^1\zeta^2\, d\mu_2[\delta](\Omega), \tag{1.5}$$

with (to simplify the notation, in accord with previous practice, we denote $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}$ simply by Ω_{IJ} after the deformation of complex structures has already been carried out)

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_0[\delta](\Omega) &= \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \prod_{I \leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}, \\ d\mu_2[\delta](\Omega) &= \frac{\vartheta[\delta](0,\Omega)^4 \Xi_6[\delta](\Omega)}{16\pi^6 \Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \prod_{I \leq J} d\Omega_{IJ} \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and the chiral partition function $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{Z}[\delta] = \frac{\langle \prod_{a=1}^3 b(p_a) \prod_{\alpha=1}^2 \delta(\beta(q_\alpha)) \rangle}{\det \omega_I \omega_J(p_a)} \tag{1.7}$$

Here ζ^α are the odd supermoduli parameters, p_a, $1 \leq a \leq 3$, are arbitrary points of which the measure is manifestly independent, and q_α, $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, are arbitrary points at which the gravitino gauge slice $\chi_{\bar{z}}{}^+ = \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \zeta^\alpha \delta(z, q_\alpha)$ is supported. As indicated above, in this paper, we choose the q_α's to be the zeroes of a holomorphic 1-form $\varpi(z)$. The spin structure is denoted by δ, and $\omega_I(z)$ are a canonical basis of holomorphic $(1,0)$-forms. In [6], it was shown that the chiral amplitude for the N-point function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}[\delta] &= \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)} + \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)} \\ \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)} &= d\mu_2[\delta] \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{V}^{(0)}(z_i, \epsilon_i, k_i) \right\rangle \\ \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)} &= d\mu_0[\delta] \int d^2\zeta \, (\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_3 + \mathcal{Y}_4 + \mathcal{Y}_5) \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

The p_I are the internal loop momenta, and $Q(p_I)$ is the insertion required by chiral splitting

$$Q(p_I) = \exp \left\{ i p_I^\mu \oint_{B_I} dz \, \partial_z x_+^\mu(z) \right\} \tag{1.9}$$

The fields x_+^μ are effective chiral bosons, with propagator $\langle x_+^\mu(z) x_+^\nu(z) \rangle = -\delta^{\mu\nu} \ln E(z,w)$, where $E(z,w)$ is the prime form. The precise formulas for $\mathcal{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_5$ are given in section §2. In essence, $\mathcal{Y}_1$ is the familiar term corresponding to the naive vertex operator $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$, $\mathcal{Y}_2$ is due to the deformation of complex structures, and $\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5$ are due to the corrections $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ in the vertex operators. We note that both $d\mu_2[\delta]$ as well as all the $\mathcal{Y}_k$'s depend on the q_α's. Henceforth, we shall suppress the measure $\prod_{I \leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}$ from our notation since it appears as a common factor in all our formulas.

The GSO projection introduces a unique set of phases for the summation over spin structures, which were shown in [4] to all be equal to 1. Thus we consider the sums $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$ and $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$. For the $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ component, the gauge slice independence as well as the holomorphicity is manifest. In [6], we have seen that, although this gauge slice independence and holomorphicity does not hold for $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$, it will hold for a certain holomorphic component of $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$ which is all that matters. Here we shall exhibit this phenomenon explicitly for the sum over spin structures $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$. Let Σ be the worldsheet, and let

$$T^{z_i}(\Sigma) = T^{z_i}_{1,0}(\Sigma) \oplus T^{z_i}_{0,1}(\Sigma) \tag{1.10}$$

denote the space of 1-forms in z_i on Σ, decomposed into $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ forms. For gravitino slices supported at q_1 and q_2, the Beltrami differential $\hat{\mu}$ which deforms the period matrix Ω_{IJ} to the super period matrix $\hat{\Omega}_{IJ}$ is of the form $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^z = S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\, \mu(z)$. A first important observation is that $\mu(z)\, \varpi(z)$ is a $(0,1)$ form which pairs to 0 against any holomorphic differential (see section §4). Thus the function $\Lambda(z)$ defined (up to an additive constant) by

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \Lambda(z) = \mu(z)\, \varpi(z), \tag{1.11}$$

is single-valued and smooth if μ is chosen to be smooth. Now both $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$ and $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ are closed 1-forms in each variable z_i. However, $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ is in $\otimes_{i=1}^N T^{z_i}_{1,0}(\Sigma)$, while we only have $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)} \in \otimes_{i=1}^N T^{z_i}(\Sigma)$. The crucial Dolbeault cohomology statement which we need is the following: for $N \leq 3$, $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$ is 0, while for $N = 4$, we have

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) - \sum_{j=1}^4 d\bar{z}_j \partial_{\bar{z}_j} \mathcal{S}_j(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) \in \otimes_{i=1}^4 T^{z_i}_{1,0}(\Sigma) \tag{1.12}$$

where the forms $\mathcal{S}_j$ are given by,

$$\mathcal{S}_j(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) = \frac{1}{2}\, K\, \mathcal{Z}_0 \Big(\int d^2\zeta\, \Lambda(z_j)\, \langle Q(p_I) \prod_{m=1}^4 e^{ik_m \cdot x_+(z_m)} \rangle \prod_{m \neq j} \varpi(z_m) \Big), \tag{1.13}$$

Here, $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is a δ and z_i independent factor, and $K = K(\epsilon, k) = t_8 f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$ is the well-known kinematic factor common to tree level and one-loop (and $f_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu - \epsilon_i^\nu k_i^\mu$). Thus, if we complete $\bar{\partial}_j \mathcal{S}_j$ into a de Rham exact form by $\bar{\partial}_j \mathcal{S}_j = d_j \mathcal{S}_j - \partial_j \mathcal{S}_j$ and write $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$ as

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta](z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) = \mathcal{H}(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) + \sum_{j=1}^4 d_j \mathcal{S}_j(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) \tag{1.14}$$

the form $\mathcal{H}(z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I)$ will be in $\otimes_{i=1}^4 T^{z_i}_{1,0}(\Sigma)$. It will be automatically holomorphic since $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$ is a closed 1-form in each variable z_i. The form $\mathcal{H}$ can then be evaluated explicitly. All dependences on gauge choices cancel in $\mathcal{H}$, and we find

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} K\, \mathcal{Y}_S\, \exp\Big\{ i\pi p_I^\mu \Omega_{IJ} p_J^\mu + 2\pi i \sum_j p_I^\mu k_j^\mu \int_{z_0}^{z_j} \omega_I \Big\} \prod_{i<j} E(z_i, z_j)^{k_i \cdot k_j}, \tag{1.15}$$

where ω_I is a canonical basis of holomorphic differentials, $E(z_i, z_j)$ is the prime form, and the factor $\mathcal{Y}_S$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 3\,\mathcal{Y}_S &= +(k_1-k_2)\cdot(k_3-k_4)\,\Delta(z_1,z_2)\Delta(z_3,z_4) \\ &\quad +(k_1-k_3)\cdot(k_2-k_4)\,\Delta(z_1,z_3)\Delta(z_2,z_4) \\ &\quad +(k_1-k_4)\cdot(k_2-k_3)\,\Delta(z_1,z_4)\Delta(z_2,z_3) \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

where the basic antisymmetric biholomorphic 1-form Δ is defined by,

$$\Delta(z,w) \equiv \omega_1(z)\omega_2(w) - \omega_1(w)\omega_2(z). \tag{1.17}$$

The formulas (1.14) and (1.15) show clearly the essential step in the evaluation of the N-point function: the *chiral* amplitude $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$ is not gauge slice independent. Only the *holomorphic* amplitude $\mathcal{H}$ is, which is obtained by combining the $\otimes_{i=1}^4 T_{1,0}^{z_i}(\Sigma)$ component of $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$ with the vertex operator corrections

$$-\sum_{j=1}^4 dz_j\,\partial_{z_j}\Big(\int d^2\zeta\,\Lambda(z_j)\,\langle Q(p_I)\prod_{m=1}^4 e^{ik_m\cdot x_+(z_m)}\rangle \prod_{m\neq j}\varpi(z_m)\Big). \tag{1.18}$$

Thus, perhaps paradoxically, the seemingly undesirable $(0,1)$-forms in the chiral amplitudes have supplied the crucial counterterms for both the gauge slice independence and the holomorphicity of $\mathcal{H}$.

1.2 Type II Amplitudes

The physical scattering amplitudes can be obtained by pairing the chiral amplitudes of left with those of right movers, at common internal loop momenta p_I^μ. As shown in [6], §5, the holomorphicity of $\mathcal{H}$, together with the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ as well as the d-exact terms have the same monodromy, implies that the d-exact terms in (1.14) drop out. Combining all these ingredients, one finds the following expression for the Type II amplitude,

$$\int dp_I^\mu \int_{\Sigma^4}\Big|\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta](z_i,\epsilon_i,k_i;p_I^\mu)\Big|^2 = \int dp_I^\mu \int_{\Sigma^4}\Big|\mathcal{H}(z_i,\epsilon_i,k_i;p_I^\mu)\Big|^2 \tag{1.19}$$

We obtain then for the 4-point function of the Type II superstring

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{II}(\epsilon_i,k_i) &= \frac{K\bar{K}}{2^{12}\pi^4}\int |\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2 \int_{\Sigma^4} |\mathcal{Y}_S|^2 \prod_{i<j}|E(z_i,z_j)|^{2k_i\cdot k_j} \qquad (1.20) \\ &\quad \times \int dp_I \Big|\exp(i\pi p_I^\mu \Omega_{IJ} p_J^\mu + 2\pi i \sum_j p_I^\mu k_j^\mu \int_{z_0}^{z_j}\omega_I)\Big|^2 \\ \mathbf{A}_{II}(\epsilon_i,k_i) &= \frac{K\bar{K}}{2^{12}\pi^4}\int \frac{|\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2}{(\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^5}\int_{\Sigma^4}|\mathcal{Y}_S|^2 \exp\Big(-\sum_{i<j} k_i\cdot k_j\, G(z_i,z_j)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(z,w)$ is the conformally invariant Green's function

$$G(z,w) = -\ln|E(z,w)|^2 + 2\pi(\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1}_{IJ}\left(\mathrm{Im}\int_z^w \omega_I\right)\left(\mathrm{Im}\int_z^w \omega_J\right) \tag{1.21}$$

Holomorphicity is well known to be essential for the construction of the Heterotic string. It may be worth stressing that it is here also necessary for the gauge slice independence of the gauge-fixed amplitudes.

The formula (1.20) shows that the amplitude is finite. For purely imaginary values of the Mandelstam variables $s_{ij} = -2k_i \cdot k_j$, the integrals converge, since the volume of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus 2 with respect to the $SL(4,\mathbf{Z})$ invariant measure $|\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2(\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-3}$ is finite. The finiteness for general s_{ij} has to be understood in the sense of analytic continuation, as shown in [21] for one-loop amplitudes.

Translated into the hyperelliptic formalism, the formula (1.20) agrees with the formula (109) of Zheng, Wu, and Zhu [19]. Not surprisingly, point by point over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces, it differs from the ones of the earlier literature for the 4-point function [8, 13, 15]. In [22], it is argued that, although pointwise different, the formula (1.20) and the one from [15] have the same integral over the whole of moduli space. However, the gauge-fixed superstring integrand is a well-defined notion pointwise on moduli space, and should be uniquely prescribed by the holomorphic component of (1.14).

1.3 Heterotic Amplitudes

To obtain Heterotic string amplitudes, we pair the form (1.15) with the holomorphic blocks, at common loop momenta p_I^μ, of the 10-dimensional bosonic string, coupled with 32 worldsheet chiral fermions $\lambda^I(z)$, $I = 1,\cdots,32$ [23]. By the cancelled propagator argument, we can ignore the poles at coincident insertion points of these blocks [2]. The monodromies of both left and right movers are still the same. Thus the d-exact terms again drop out by holomorphicity. Let HO and HE be respectively the $Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ and the $E_8 \times E_8$ Heterotic strings. We obtain in this manner the following amplitudes: for the scattering of 4 gauge bosons, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{F^4} = \frac{\bar{K}}{64\pi^{14}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_2} \frac{|\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2}{(\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^5 \Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \int_{\Sigma^4} \mathcal{W}_{(F^4)}\, \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S \exp\Big(-\sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j\, G(z_i,z_j)\Big), \tag{1.22}$$

where, in the notation of (12.10-12.14), the term $\mathcal{W}_{(F^4)}$ is given by $\mathcal{W}_{(F^4)} = \mathcal{W}^{HO}_{(F^4)}$ for the $Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ string, and by either $\mathcal{W}_{(F^4)} = \mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^4)}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{(F^2\,F^2)} = \mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^2\,F^2)}$ in the case of the $E_8 \times E_8$ string, depending on whether all four gauge bosons are in the same E_8, or whether the first two gauge bosons are in one E_8 and the remaining two in the other.

---

[2] Mathematically, this corresponds to an analytic continuation in the Mandelstam variables. See for example [21] for the analytic continuation process in the case of one-loop.

For the scattering of two gauge bosons and two gravitons, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_{R^2F^2} &= \frac{\bar{K}}{64\pi^{14}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_2} \frac{|\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2}{(\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^5 \Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \int_{\Sigma^4} \mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(z_1,z_2)\mathcal{W}_{(F^2)}(z_3,z_4)\, \overline{\mathcal{Y}_S(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)} \\ &\qquad \times \exp\Big(- \sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j \, G(z_i,z_j)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

where the Heterotic graviton part $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$ is given by (12.23), and the gauge boson part $\mathcal{W}_{(F^2)}$ is again given by two distinct formulas, (12.16) or (12.17), depending on the Heterotic theory under consideration.

For the scattering of four gravitons, the simplest formulation of the amplitude is as

$$\mathbf{A}_{R^4} = \frac{\bar{K}}{64\pi^{14}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_2} \frac{|\prod_{I\leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}|^2}{(\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^5 \Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \int_{\Sigma^4} \mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}\, \bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S \exp\Big(- \sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j \, G(z_i,z_j)\Big), \tag{1.24}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)} = \langle \prod_{j=1}^4 \epsilon_j^\mu \partial x^\mu(z_j) e^{ik_j\cdot x(z_j)}\rangle / \langle \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x(z_j)}\rangle$, where $x(z,\bar{z})$ is a non-chiral scalar field, whose propagator is the single-valued Green's function $G(z,w)$.

1.4 Non-Renormalization Theorems

An immediate consequence of the vanishing of the $N \leq 3$ chiral superstring amplitudes, established in §5 from first principles, is that the massless NS boson scattering amplitudes in Type II and Heterotic superstrings receive no corrections at two-loop order. This non-renormalization theorem holds pointwise in moduli and in the vertex operator points. It had been conjectured to hold long ago, in part thanks to space-time supersymmetry [24]. Prior to the present derivation from first principles, many arguments in favor of this conjecture had been proposed in the literature [12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 25].

1.4.1 Effective action R^4 terms in the Type II superstring

It is of interest to consider contributions generated to the low energy effective action. It was shown in [26] that novel corrections to the Einstein-Hilbert action (and its supergravity extension) arise from tree-level and one-loop orders in Type II superstring theory. Using the $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ or S-duality of Type IIB and the T-duality of toroidal compactifications, it was argued in [27] that the order in the derivative expansion at which a given term first enters in the effective action is related to the order in string perturbation theory (see also [28]). In particular, these considerations lead to the conjecture that no corrections to this term arise at two loop order. As in the case of the N-point function with $N \leq 3$, there are many arguments in support of this conjecture [13, 15, 19]. It may now be proven from our first principles formulas.

From the form of the Type II amplitude for the scattering of 4 NS-NS supergravitons in (1.20) and the form of $\mathcal{Y}_S$ in (1.16), as well as the expression for K in terms of the chiral field strengths $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ via $K = t_8 f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$, it is manifest that the contribution to the term R^4 in the Type II superstring vanishes. This non-renormalization theorem holds pointwise in moduli and in the vertex operator insertion points.

1.4.2 Effective action F^4 and F^2F^2 terms in the Heterotic string

In [29] analogous corrections to tree level and one-loop were calculated in the Heterotic strings. With less supersymmetry, the number of corrections proliferates. Nonetheless, further non-renormalization conjectures have emerged. A first driving force is the conjectured duality [30] between the Heterotic $Spin(32)/Z_2$ theory and the Type I superstring, and its lower dimensional extensions [31] (for a review see [32]). A second is from the duality [36] between the Heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ theory and M-theory [37], [38]. A third is from the interplay between space-time supersymmetry and space-time anomalies [33]. A discussion of such non-renormalization effects order by order in the string coupling was given in [34, 35]. The forms F^4 and F^6 are expected to receive no 2-loop corrections. This conjecture was partially verified for F^4 in [35] using the measure of [1, 4] for the disconnected parts, and arguments using gauge slice dependent measures were given for both the cases F^4 and F^6 in [34, 35]. The validity of the F^4 conjecture is proven here from first principles. It would be interesting to extend the proof to the case of F^6.

From the form of the Heterotic amplitude for the scattering of 4 NS gauge particles in (1.22), the form of $\mathcal{Y}_S$ in (1.16), the fact that $\mathcal{W}_{F^4}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{F^2F^2}$ do not depend on the momenta k_i, and the expression $K = t_8 f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$, it is manifest that the contribution to the terms F^4 and F^2F^2 in the Heterotic string vanish. This non-renormalization theorem also holds pointwise in moduli and in the vertex operator insertion points.

1.4.3 Effective action R^2F^2 terms in the Heterotic string

The interplay between space-time supersymmetry and space-time anomalies leads to the conjecture that the R^2F^2 terms are also not renormalized [33]. This is proven below.

The low-energy effective action corrections for both R^2F^2 and R^4 terms involve the space-time polarization tensors not only from the superstring $\bar{K} = t_8 \bar{f}_1 \bar{f}_2 \bar{f}_3 \bar{f}_4$, but now also from the bosonic chiral half. This presents a new complication, as the corresponding chiral polarization vectors ϵ_i^μ from the bosonic half must first be converted to gauge invariant field strengths $f_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu - \epsilon_i^\nu k_i^\mu$, before the limit $k_i^\mu \to 0$ can be safely taken. This conversion leads to apparent first order poles in the Mandelstam variables s, t, or u. Actually, it will be shown in §12.4 that, in the full Heterotic amplitudes, *all such poles* are precisely compensated by the linear dependence in s, t and u of the superstring chiral half factor $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$. For example, it will be shown that the following rearrangement formula holds[3]

$$s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4) = 2(f_3 f_4)\partial_3\partial_4\, G(3,4) - 2\sum_{ij} k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4{}^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4 G(4,j), \tag{1.25}$$

with analogous expressions for $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$ (see (12.43)).

To obtain the R^2F^2 effective action, we keep the f_i and $\bar{f}_i$ fixed and take the limit as $k_i \to 0$. The second term on the rhs in the above formula clearly tends to 0 in this limit. The first term has a finite limit whose integral against the remaining anti-holomorphic coefficient of s in $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$ vanishes. The resulting non-renormalization theorem thus holds pointwise in moduli but requires a cancellation of the integration over vertex operator insertion points.

1.4.4 Effective action R^4 terms in the Heterotic string

The calculation of the R^4 corrections are analogous to the ones for the R^2F^2 corrections, but technically more involved. The bosonic chiral half now involves the chiral amplitude $\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$, in which all chiral polarization vector ϵ_i must be re-expressed in terms of f_i before the limit $k_i \to 0$ can be safely taken, (see §12.6). By contrast with $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, even when combining with the superstring factor $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$, the resulting $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$, $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$, and $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$ cannot be directly expressed in terms of f_i without introducing simple poles in s, t or u. However, it can be shown that the residues of these poles actually integrate to zero against the anti-holomorphic differentials in $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$. For example, one such term is of the form,

$$2(f_1 f_2)\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\Big(s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)\Big) \tag{1.26}$$

The remaining parts are obtained by expanding in powers of s, t and u the exponential of the Green function $\exp\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} s_{ij} G(z_i,z_j)\}$; they are regular in the limit $k_i \to 0$, and integrate to 0 against the anti-holomorphic differentials in $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$. This completes the proof that no two-loop R^4 terms arise in the Heterotic string.

The implications of the two-loop non-renormalization of R^4 in the Heterotic string remain to be fully understood, and we plan to return this this problem later. In particular, the Heterotic $Spin(32)/Z_2$ – Type I duality [30, 31, 32] has been used to argue that non-vanishing two-loop corrections to R^4 should arise on the Heterotic side [33].

We also stress that our re-arrangement formulas for the chiral half of the bosonic string are valid for any genus. Thus, as long as the superstring amplitude from the other chiral sector contributes anti-holomorphic amplitudes at least linear in s, t and u, the preceding arguments should apply, and the non-renormalization theorems should hold to all orders of string perturbation theory.

---

[3] We sometimes abbreviate the insertion points z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 by 1,2,3,4.

1.5 Organization

This paper is organized as follows. In section §2, we provide the precise definitions for all the ingredients making up the chiral amplitudes $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$. In section §3, a first group of identities involving sums over spin structures is summarized. These are pointwise identities, by contrast with a more difficult group of identities which will be encountered later, and which involve integrals against the Beltrami differential $\mu(z)$. Since the proofs of these pointwise identities are not required for the rest of the evaluation of the N-point function, they have been been relegated to Appendices C and D. In section §4, we establish the existence of the key scalar function $\Lambda(z)$. The same argument gives also the integrals against $\mu(z)$ of two of the simpler sums over spin structures, namely I_{13} and a symmetrized version of I_{14}. In section §5, we prove the vanishing of the N-point function for $N \leq 3$. Even in the relatively simple case of the 3-point function, we need the previous identity involving I_{14}, which is non-trivial since it relies implicitly on the existence of $\Lambda(z)$. In section §6, we give an outline of the several steps in the evaluation of the 4-point function. The main formulas for sums over spin structures for the 4-point function are spelled out. The Dolbeault $\bar\partial$-exact terms as well as three key identities which will be needed are identified. In section §7, we derive the formulas for sums over spin structures announced in §6. The derivation relies on some important relations between integrals against $\mu(z)$ of the more complicated sums I_{15} and I_{16}. These relations are proved in section §8. The first key identity described in the outline given in section §6 is proved in section §9. It results in the cancellation of the kinematic factor C_T from the final amplitude. The second identity described in the outline given in section §6 is proved in section §10. It involves the derivatives of the function $\Lambda(z)$, and results in the cancellation of the contribution to the kinematic factor K from the fermionic stress tensor. Finally, the third identity is established in section §11. This identity is of particular importance, since it shows how all the effects of gauge choices, namely $\varpi(z)$, $\mu(z)$, and $\Lambda(z)$, cancel out to leave us with a gauge slice independent holomorphic amplitude $\mathcal{H}$. This completes the derivation of the 4-point function for the superstring chiral amplitudes and of the full amplitude for the Type II superstring. The 4-point function for the Heterotic string is evaluated in section §12. The main step is the evaluation of the correlators of the internal fermions and of the massless bosons in the bosonic string. The formulas obtained involve matter correlators only and actually hold in any genus. The derivation of the amplitudes in terms of k_i and ϵ_i is straightforward. However, their re-expression in terms of the gauge invariant field strengths $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ is more difficult. Once these expressions are available, we can readily derive their consequences for the low energy effective actions of the Heterotic strings. This is done in section §13.

In Appendix A, we have collected the main formulas from the theories of Riemann surfaces and ϑ-functions which we need. In Appendix B, we describe some particular geometric properties of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces and of the unitary gauge which play a role in the paper. The identities involving sums over spin structures can be divided into two groups, depending on whether they involve the factor $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ or the factor $\Xi_6[\delta]$. The identities involving $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ are proved in Appendix C, while the identities involving $\Xi_6[\delta]$ are proved in Appendix D.

Acknowledgments

We are happy to acknowledge discussions with Per Kraus, John Schwarz, Tomasz Taylor, Pierre Vanhove, Chuan-Ji Zhu and especially Costas Bachas, Michael Gutperle and Boris Pioline. One of us (E.D.) would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics where part of this work was completed. The other (D.H.P.) would like to acknowledge the warm hospitality of the Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Georgi in Pisa and the Institute of Mathematical Sciences at the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

2 The Gauge-fixing Procedure with Vertex Operators

Our set up is the following [6]. Let Σ be the worldsheet, and assume that its genus is $h = 2$. We fix a canonical homology basis A_I, B_I, $\#(A_I \cap A_J) = \#(B_I \cap B_J) = 0$, $\#(A_I \cap B_J) = \delta_{IJ}$. Let ω_I be the basis of holomorphic $(1,0)$-forms dual to A_I. Let δ be an even spin structure. The chiral amplitude $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$ is obtained by chiral splitting from the chirally symmetric amplitude $\mathbf{A}[\delta]$ defined by

$$\mathbf{A}[\delta] = \int DE_M{}^A D\Omega_M \delta(T) \int \prod_{i=1}^N d^{2|2}\mathbf{z}_i E(\mathbf{z}_i) \; \langle \prod_{i=1}^N V(\mathbf{z}_i, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_i; \epsilon_i, \bar\epsilon_i, k_i) \rangle_X, \tag{2.1}$$

where $(E_M{}^A, \Omega_M)$ describes the two-dimensional supergeometry, $\delta(T)$ denotes the Wess-Zumino torsion constraints, $\mathbf{z} = (z, \theta)$ are coordinates on the super worldsheet, $E(\mathbf{z})$ is the super worldsheet volume form, and $V(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}; \epsilon, \bar\epsilon, k)$ is the superfield vertex operator for the emission of the graviton multiplet with momentum $k = (k^\mu)$ and polarization tensor $\epsilon^\mu \, \bar\epsilon^\nu$, $k^2 = 0$, $k \cdot \epsilon = 0$ [39]. In Wess-Zumino gauge, the supergeometry $(E_M{}^A, \Omega_M)$ can be identified with a pair $(g_{mn}, \chi_m{}^\alpha)$, where g_{mn} is a metric on Σ, and $\chi_m{}^\alpha$ is a gravitino field [40]. In [6], it was shown that, after gauge fixing by the procedure of projecting onto the super period matrix introduced in [1, 2, 3, 4] (following [11, 41]), we can write

$$\mathbf{A}[\delta] = \int dp_I^\mu \left| \mathcal{B}[\delta](z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) \right|^2, \tag{2.2}$$

with the chiral amplitude $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}[\delta](z_i; \epsilon_i, k_i, p_I) \;\; &= \;\; \prod_{I \leq J} d\Omega_{IJ} \int d^2\zeta \, \frac{\prod_{a=1}^3 b(p_a) \prod_{\alpha=1}^2 \delta(\beta(q_\alpha))}{\det \Phi_{IJ+}(p_a) \det \langle H_\alpha | \Phi^*_\beta \rangle} \\ &\qquad \times \Big\langle Q(p_I) \, \exp \Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int (\chi S + \hat\mu T) \Big\} \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{V}_j \Big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Here, ζ^α are the supermoduli [7, 42], p_I^μ are the internal loop momenta required for chiral splitting [43], $S(z)$ and $T(z)$ are the supercurrent and the stress tensor respectively, Φ_{IJ+} and Φ^*_α are superholomorphic 3/2 differentials, H_α are dual super Beltrami differentials. The term $Q(p_I)$ has been defined in (1.9). The terms $\mathcal{V}_j$ are abbreviations for $\mathcal{V}(z_j, \epsilon_j, k_j)$, which are now the full vertices described in (1.2), incorporating both the naive vertex $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$ and the corrections $\mathcal{V}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ of [6]. The term $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$ is a Beltrami differential for the deformation of complex structures from the period matrix to the super period matrix. It is characterized in genus $h = 2$ by the following equation

$$\int_\Sigma d^2z \, \hat\mu \, \omega_I \omega_J = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma d^2u \int_\Sigma d^2v \, \omega_I(u) \, \chi_{\bar u}{}^+ S_\delta(u, v) \chi_{\bar v}{}^+ \omega_J(v), \tag{2.4}$$

where $S_\delta(u,v)$ is the Szegö kernel. It is important to note that this equation defines $\hat\mu$ only up to a vector field v^z

$$\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z \to \hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z + \partial_{\bar z} v^z. \tag{2.5}$$

A choice of $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$ is a choice of gauge, reflecting the invariance of the theory under diffeomorphisms. Thus the gauge fixed expression $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$ incorporates *two* choices of gauge, both of which must cancel out in physical amplitudes: the choice of gravitino slice χ, and the choice of Beltrami differential $\hat\mu$.

It is convenient to expand $\mathcal{B}[\delta]$ into its connected and disconnected parts $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$ and $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$. The disconnected part $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ consists by definition of the contributions where the Wick contractions of the supercurrent $S(z)$ and the stress tensor $T(z)$ are disconnected from those of the vertex operators $\mathcal{V}_j$. The connected part $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)} = \mathcal{B}[\delta] - \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ consists of the rest. We arrive in this way at the equation (1.8) given in the Introduction, with the terms $\mathcal{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_5$ given by[4]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left\langle Q(p_I) \int \chi S \int \chi S \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{V}_i^{(0)} \right\rangle_{(c)} \\
\mathcal{Y}_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle Q(p_I) \int \hat\mu T \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{V}_i^{(0)} \right\rangle_{(c)} \\
\mathcal{Y}_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle Q(p_I) \int \chi S \, \mathcal{V}_i^{(1)} \prod_{j\neq i}^N \mathcal{V}_j^{(0)} \right\rangle \\
\mathcal{Y}_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\neq j} \left\langle Q(p_I) \, \mathcal{V}_i^{(1)} \, \mathcal{V}_j^{(1)} \prod_{l\neq i,j}^N \mathcal{V}_l^{(0)} \right\rangle \\
\mathcal{Y}_5 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle Q(p_I) \, \mathcal{V}_i^{(2)} \prod_{j\neq i}^N \mathcal{V}_j^{(0)} \right\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The subindex (c) in $\mathcal{Y}_1$ and $\mathcal{Y}_2$ indicates that only contributions with some vertex operators contracted with a current or a stress tensor are kept. It is convenient to introduce the gauge invariant field strength $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ for each particle by

$$f_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu - \epsilon_i^\nu k_i^\mu, \tag{2.7}$$

and rewrite the $\mathcal{V}_i^{(0)}$ component of the corresponding vertex operator as

$$\mathcal{V}_i^{(0)} = \left(\epsilon_i^\mu \partial_{z_i} x_+^\mu - \frac{i}{2} f_i^{\mu\nu} \psi_+^\mu \psi_+^\nu \right) e^{ik_i \cdot x_+(z_i)}. \tag{2.8}$$

---

[4] To simplify notations throughout, the integration over the worldsheet Σ will be abbreviated by $\int_\Sigma d^2z \to \int$ when no confusion is expected to arise. In particular, we shall use the convenient notation $\int \chi S = \int_\Sigma d^2 z \chi_{\bar z}{}^+ S(z)$ and $\int \hat\mu T = \int_\Sigma d^2 z \hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z T(z)$.

In [6], it was already shown that, upon pairing left and right movers and integrating over the worldsheet Σ, the physical amplitudes are gauge-slice independent. In this paper, we shall make the particular choice of gravitino slice $\chi = \sum_\alpha \zeta^\alpha \delta(z, q_\alpha)$, where q_α are the zeroes of a holomorphic differential $\varpi(z)$. The differential $\varpi(z)$ is arbitrary, and its ultimate cancellation out of the physical amplitudes will serve as a check of the gauge slice independence. Once χ is chosen, the Beltrami differential $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$ is constrained by the equation (2.4), but it is otherwise arbitrary. With χ having Dirac point support at q_1 and q_2, as mentioned earlier in the Introduction, it is convenient to introduce the Beltrami differential $\mu(z)$ by

$$\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z = S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\mu(z). \tag{2.9}$$

The factor $S_\delta(q_1, q_2)$ cancels then out of the equation (2.4) for $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$, and the defining equation for $\mu(z)$ becomes

$$\int \mu\, \omega_I \omega_J = \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\Big(\omega_I(q_1)\omega_J(q_2) + \omega_J(q_1)\omega_I(q_2)\Big) \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, the Beltrami differential $\mu(z)$ can be taken to be independent of the spin structure δ. Even though the gravitino slice χ consisted of Dirac point masses, the Beltrami differential $\mu(z)$ can be taken to be smooth. If one wishes, one can choose $\mu(z)$ to consist of point masses also. However, as discussed in [6] and as will be seen explicitly in section §9, this would have to be done with considerable care, especially if one takes a limit where the point supports of $\mu(z)$ tend to q_1 or q_2.

In terms of the Abel map from the surface Σ into its Jacobian, the condition that q_1, q_2 are the zeroes of a holomorphic $(1,0)$-form is equivalent to the condition,

$$q_1 + q_2 - 2\Delta = 2\kappa \;\in\; \mathbf{Z}^2 \oplus \Omega\, \mathbf{Z}^2 \tag{2.11}$$

where Δ is the vector of Riemann constants [47, 11], and 2κ is an arbitrary full period. The superstring amplitudes will be shown to be independent of the independent variables q_1 and κ. The holomorphic $(1,0)$-forms which vanish at q_1, q_2 are determined up to a constant multiple (see Appendix §D for a proof). We choose such a form $\varpi(z)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi(z) &\equiv +\omega_I(z)\partial_I\vartheta(q_1 - \Delta)e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \\ &= -\omega_I(z)\partial_I\vartheta(q_2 - \Delta)e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_2-\Delta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

In the formula (1.8), the measures $d\mu_0[\delta]$ and the measure $d\mu_2[\delta]$ appear, which are defined in (1.6). The term $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ can also be calculated explicitly [44], and we have,

$$\mathcal{Z}[\delta] = \frac{\vartheta[\delta](0)^5\vartheta(p_1+p_2+p_3-3\Delta)\prod_{a<b}E(p_a,p_b)\prod_a\sigma(p_a)^3}{Z^{15}\vartheta[\delta](q_1+q_2-2\Delta)E(q_1,q_2)\prod_\alpha\sigma(q_\alpha)^2\det\omega_I\omega_J(p_a)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here, the prime form E, and the 1-form $\sigma(z)$ are defined in Appendix §A, specifically (A.15) and (A.21), while Z is the partition function for a single chiral boson, given by

$$Z^3 = \frac{\vartheta(r_1+r_2-r_3-\Delta)E(r_1,r_2)\sigma(r_1)\sigma(r_2)}{E(r_1,r_3)E(r_2,r_3)\sigma(r_3)\det\omega_I(r_j)} \tag{2.14}$$

where r_1, r_2, r_3 are arbitrary generic points and r_j in the determinant runs over r_1 and r_2. It was shown in [4] that $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ may be simply re-expressed in terms of the chiral partition function $\mathcal{Z}_B$ for the bosonic string,

$$\mathcal{Z}[\delta] = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_B \; Z^{12} \; \vartheta[\delta](0)^5}{\vartheta[\delta](q_1+q_2-2\Delta)E(q_1,q_2)\sigma(q_1)^2\sigma(q_2)^2} \tag{2.15}$$

In the unitary gauge, with $q_1+q_2-2\Delta = 2\kappa$, the ϑ-function in the denominator simplifies considerably and, with the help of (A.6), may be recast in the following form

$$\mathcal{Z}[\delta] = \mathcal{Z}_0 \, E(q_1,q_2) \, e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'} \; \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \; \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 \tag{2.16}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is δ-independent and given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 \equiv \frac{\mathcal{Z}_B \; Z^{12}}{E(q_1,q_2)^2\sigma(q_1)^2\sigma(q_2)^2} \qquad\qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{\pi^{12}\Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \tag{2.17}$$

Note that an extra factor of $E(q_1,q_2)^{-1}$ has been included in the definition of $\mathcal{Z}_0$ for later convenience. This makes $\mathcal{Z}_0$ a $(-1,0)$ form (with non-trivial monodromy) in both q_1 and q_2 with a double pole at $q_1 = q_2$ and no zeros. Note that (2.16) clearly exposes the δ-dependence of $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$.

3 Identities for Sums over Spin Structures

We shall evaluate directly the sum $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$. In the papers [1, 2, 3, 4], for the evaluation of the chiral superstring measure for each fixed spin structure δ, we had used a split gauge, where the period matrix and the super period matrix coincide. For the present evaluation of the N-point function with $N \leq 4$, where we consider only a sum over even spin structures δ, the unitary gauge turns out to be more convenient, as it turns out that a greater number of terms cancels upon summing over δ. The unitary gauge is closely related to the Mandelstam representation of string diagrams in the light-cone gauge. It has been used by many authors in the evaluation of string amplitudes [8, 45, 46], and particularly in [14, 15, 17, 18, 19] where the existence of many identities in this gauge was discovered.

In this section, we list all the summation identities which will be needed. Their detailed derivation is given in Appendices C and D. The summation identities can be divided into two groups, those which involve the factor $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ and those which involve the factor $\Xi_6[\delta]$. The first group splits itself into two subgroups, depending on whether there is or is not an insertion of the fermion stress energy tensor. From the form of the terms $\mathcal{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_5$ given in (2.6), it is clear that the sums below will appear in the N-point function with $N \leq 4$.

3.1 Identities without the Fermion Stress Tensor

For the N-point function when $N \leq 3$, only sums with 4 or less Szegö kernels can occur, when there is no fermion stress tensor insertion. They are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,q_2) \\
I_2 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,q_2) S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2 \\
I_3 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,q_2) S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
I_4 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,z_1) S_\delta(z_1,q_2) \\
I_5 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,z_1) S_\delta(z_1,q_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_2) \\
I_6 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,z_1) S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,q_2) \\
I_7 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \; S_\delta(q_1,z_1) S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,q_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For the 4-point function, sums over δ with products of 5 Szegö kernels can occur. They are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\ S_\delta(q_1,z_1)S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)S_\delta(z_4,q_2) \\
I_9 &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\ S_\delta(q_1,z_1)S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,q_2)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 \\
I_{10} &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\ S_\delta(q_1,z_1)S_\delta(z_1,q_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)S_\delta(z_4,z_2) \\
I_{11}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 \qquad (3.2)\\
I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)S_\delta(z_4,z_1)
\end{aligned}$$

The identities for these sums that we need are:

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_1=I_2=I_3=I_4=I_5=I_6=I_7=I_8=I_9=I_{10}=0 \\
& I_{11}(z_i)=I_{12}(z_i)=-2\mathcal{Z}_0\prod_{i=1}^4\varpi(z_i). \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $I_{11}(z_i)=I_{12}(z_i)$ are completely symmetric in all its variables, although this is not manifest from their definition.

3.2 Identities with the Fermion Stress Tensor Insertion

Next, we consider sums over spin structures involving the fermion stress tensor. The expression $\varphi[\delta]$ accounting for the fermion stress tensor insertion can be defined by

$$\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)\equiv S_\delta(z_1,w)\partial_wS_\delta(w,z_2)-S_\delta(z_2,w)\partial_wS_\delta(w,z_1) \qquad (3.4)$$

In all evaluations, we shall make use of the Fay trisecant identities (see the appendices, eq. (A.28)) to recast $\varphi[\delta]$ as follows,

$$\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)=-\frac{\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-2w)E(z_1,z_2)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2} \qquad (3.5)$$

The sums over spin structures which arise at the level of the 2-, 3-, and 4-point functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{13}(w;z_1,z_2) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_1) \\
I_{14}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
I_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
I_{16}(w;z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_1)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Actually, it will be more natural to work with following (anti-) symmetrized parts of I_{15},

$$\begin{aligned}2I^S_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= I_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)+I_{15}(w;z_2,z_1,z_3,z_4)\\ 2I^A_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= I_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)-I_{15}(w;z_2,z_1,z_3,z_4).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which will arise naturally in the amplitudes.

Of these, the simplest one, I_{13}, is holomorphic and satisfies the following identity

$$I_{13}(w;z_1,z_2)=4\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2. \tag{3.8}$$

The remaining sums actually have poles in some of the z_i and w, and will involve the Green's function $G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2)$ which is a $(1,0)$-form in z, and a scalar in z_1 and z_2, with simple poles in z at z_1 and z_2, and zeroes at p_1 and p_2. Explicit forms for $G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2)$ are given in the appendix (A.20) and (A.23) [5]. The expression I_{14} is then given by

$$I_{14}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3)=2\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2\sum_{\alpha=1,2}G(z_3;z_1,z_2;q_\alpha,w) \tag{3.9}$$

For the more complicated ones, I_{15} and I_{16}, it is most convenient to list the symmetrized and anti-symmetrized I^S_{15} and I^A_{15} parts respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}I^S_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2\{G(z_3;z_1,z_2;q_1,w)G(z_4;z_1,z_2;q_2,w)\\ &\qquad +G(z_3;z_1,z_2;q_2,w)G(z_4;z_1,z_2;q_1,w)\}\\[1em] I^A_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2\{G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_1,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_1,w)\\ &\qquad -G(z_3;z_4,z_2;q_1,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_1;q_1,w)\\ &\qquad +G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_2,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_2,w)\\ &\qquad -G(z_3;z_4,z_2;q_2,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_1;q_2,w)\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Finally, I_{16} is related to I_{15} by

$$\begin{aligned}I_{16}(w;z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) &= -I^S_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\\ &\quad -\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2\{G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_1,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_1,w)\\ &\qquad +G(z_3;z_4,z_2;q_1,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_1;q_1,w)\\ &\qquad +G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_2,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_2,w)\\ &\qquad +G(z_3;z_4,z_2;q_2,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_1;q_2,w)\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

---

[5] The Green's function $G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2)$ should not be confused with the Green's gunction $G(z,w)$ introduced earlier in (1.21), which depends only on two points z and w. Which Green's function applies is usually clear from the context.

3.3 Identities involving $\Xi_6[\delta](\Omega)$

For the $1,2,3$-point functions, we need the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{17} &= \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\ \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 \\
I_{18} &= \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\ \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2 \\
I_{19} &= \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\ \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
I_{20}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2 S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 \\
I_{21}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)S_\delta(z_4,z_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In Appendix D, it is shown that these sums take the following values,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{17}=I_{18}=I_{19} &= 0 \\
I_{20}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) &= -4\pi^4\Psi_{10}\Big(\Delta(z_1,z_3)\Delta(z_2,z_4)+\Delta(z_1,z_4)\Delta(z_2,z_3)\Big) \\
I_{21}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= +2\pi^4\Psi_{10}\Big(\Delta(z_1,z_2)\Delta(z_3,z_4)-\Delta(z_1,z_4)\Delta(z_2,z_3)\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have expressed the result in terms of the antisymmetric biholomorphic 1-form $\Delta(x,y)$, defined in (1.17); we repeat it here for convenience,

$$\Delta(x,y)=\omega_1(x)\omega_2(y)-\omega_2(x)\omega_1(y). \tag{3.14}$$

(The bilinear form $\Delta(x,y)$ should not be confused with the vector Δ of Riemann constants.) Clearly, the totally symmetrized parts of both I_{20} and I_{21} vanish; as a result, the quantity $\mathcal{S}$, introduced in [1] by

$$\mathcal{S}(1234)=-\frac{1}{192\pi^6\Psi_{10}}\omega_I(1)\omega_J(2)\omega_K(3)\omega_L(4)\sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta]^3\partial_I\partial_J\partial_K\partial_L\vartheta[\delta](0) \tag{3.15}$$

vanishes identically. The quantity $\mathcal{T}$ of [1] is indeed reproduced by the above formulas as the antisymmetric part of I_{20}, in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(i,j|k,l) &= \frac{1}{32\pi^6\Psi_{10}}\Big(I_{20}(i,k;l,j)-I_{20}(i,l;k,j)\Big) \\
&= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\Delta(i,j)\Delta(k,l)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

4 The Scalar Function $\Lambda(z)$

From the expression for $\mathcal{Y}_2$ in (2.6), it is evident that we shall also need identities involving integrals against $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{w}}{}^w$ of sums over spin structures involving the stress tensor. In this section, we discuss two of the simplest such integrals, involving I_{13} and I_{14}. In the process, we also establish the existence of a scalar function $\Lambda(z)$, which plays a fundamental role in the proof of the gauge slice independence of the N-point function.

4.1 The total Derivative Formula for $\mu(z)\,\varpi(z)$

The unitary gauge has a remarkable property, which is one of the most important preliminary results that we need: the expression $\mu(w)\,\varpi(w)$ is a $(0,1)$-form which integrates to 0 when paired against an arbitrary holomorphic $(1,0)$ form,

$$\int \mu(w)\,\varpi(w)\,\omega_I(w) = 0, \qquad\qquad I = 1,2. \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, from the definition of μ in (2.9) and $\varpi(z)$ in (2.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mu(w)\,\varpi(w)\omega_J(w) &\sim \omega_{\{I}(q_1)\omega_{J\}}(q_2)\partial_I\vartheta(q_1-\Delta) \\ &\sim \partial_{q_1}\vartheta(q_1-\Delta)\omega_J(q_2) - \partial_{q_2}\vartheta(q_2-\Delta)\omega_J(q_1) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, there exists a single-valued scalar function $\Lambda(z)$ such that

$$\mu(z)\varpi(z) = \partial_{\bar{z}}\Lambda(z) \tag{4.3}$$

The function Λ may be solved for in terms of μ,

$$\Lambda(z) = \Lambda_0 - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mu(w)\varpi(w)\partial_w \ln E(z,w) \tag{4.4}$$

The monodromy in z of the integrand cancels upon integration in view of (4.1) and the above expression is well-defined and single-valued. Under a change of slice $\delta_v\mu_{\bar{z}}{}^z = \partial_{\bar{z}}v^z$, generated by a smooth vector field v^z, the function Λ transforms as follows

$$\delta\Lambda(z) = \delta\Lambda_0 + \varpi(z)v^z(z) \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\varpi(z)$ vanishes at q_1 and q_2, it follows that $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$ is slice-independent.

4.2 Integrals of μ with I_{13} and I_{14}

In view of the identity (3.8) for I_{13}, the identity (4.2) implies at once

$$\int \mu(w)\,I_{13}(w;x,y) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Next, we claim that the following identity holds

$$\int \mu(w)\varpi(w)\{I_{14}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3)+I_{14}(w;z_2,z_3,z_1)+I_{14}(w;z_3,z_1,z_2)\}=0. \tag{4.7}$$

For this, we note that the Green's function $G(x;p_1,p_2;q_\alpha,w)$, for fixed α, as a function of w, is a scalar and has simple poles at p_1, p_2 and $q_\beta \equiv 2\Delta - q_\alpha$. It does not have a pole at x and at q_α. The residues at p_1 and p_2 are well-known, the third was deduced using the fact that

$$\int \omega_I(w)\partial_{\bar w}G(x;p_1,p_2;q_\alpha,w)=0 \tag{4.8}$$

and we have[6]

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\bar w}G(x;p_1,p_2;q_\alpha,w) &= 2\pi\delta(w,p_2)\frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(p_2)}-2\pi\delta(w,p_1)\frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(p_1)}\\ &\quad +2\pi\delta(w,q_\beta)\frac{\varpi(x)\,\Delta(p_1,p_2)}{\varpi(p_1)\Delta(q_\beta,p_2)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

or, in a form which often will also be useful,

$$\partial_{\bar w}\left\{\varpi(w)G(x;p_1,p_2;q_\alpha,w)\right\}=2\pi\varpi(x)\{\delta(w,p_2)-\delta(w,p_1)\} \tag{4.10}$$

The role of the factor $\varpi(w)$ is to cancel the pole in w at q_β, since $\varpi(w)$ vanishes there. Returning to the proof of the desired identity, we can apply (1.11) and integrate by parts to rewrite the left hand side of (4.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}-2\mathcal{Z}_0\int \Lambda(w)\partial_{\bar w}\{&\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)G(z_3;z_1,z_2;q_1,w)\\ &+\varpi(z_2)\varpi(z_3)\varpi(w)G(z_1;z_2,z_3;q_1,w)\\ &+\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)G(z_2;z_3,z_1;q_1,w)+(q_1\leftrightarrow q_2)\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Using the identity (4.10), we see that all the terms are proportional to $\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(z_3)$, and that their coefficients sum to 0.

---

[6] Note that we have $\varpi(p_1)\Delta(q_\beta,p_2)=\varpi(p_2)\Delta(q_\beta,p_1)$.

5 The N-point Function for $N \leq 3$

With the identities for sums over spin structures given in the previous section, we can now return to the evaluation of the N-point function for $N \leq 3$. It is immediately seen from the identities involving $\Xi_6[\delta](\Omega)$ listed in §3.3 that, for $N \leq 3$, all contributions from the disconnected component $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ cancel upon summing over δ. Thus, in this section, we concentrate on the connected component $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(c)}$.

5.1 The 1-point Function

For the 1-point function, the $\mathcal{Y}_1$ contribution vanishes by the $I_4 = 0$ identity; the $\mathcal{Y}_2, \mathcal{Y}_3$, and $\mathcal{Y}_5$ contributions vanish by $I_1 = 0$; there is manifestly no $\mathcal{Y}_4$ contribution; thus the 1-point function vanishes.

5.2 The 2-point Function

For the 2-point function, the $\mathcal{Y}_1$ and $\mathcal{Y}_5$ contributions vanish by the identities $I_2 = I_6 = 0$, the $\mathcal{Y}_3$ contribution vanishes by the identity $I_4 = 0$, and the $\mathcal{Y}_4$ contribution vanishes by the identity $I_1 = 0$. In the $\mathcal{Y}_2$ contribution, there is no contribution from the bosonic stress tensor in view of the identity $I_2 = 0$. Thus the 2-point function reduces to the contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_2$ from the fermionic stress tensor. These are proportional to

$$\int \mu(w) \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\, S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\varphi[\delta](w; z_1, z_2)\, S_\delta(z_2, z_1) = \int \mu(w)\, I_{13}(w; z_1, z_2). \tag{5.1}$$

But this vanishes by the identity (4.6).

5.3 The 3-point Function

For the 3-point function, the contributions from $\mathcal{Y}_1$ compute as follows. The single-link string of contractions between the super current insertions $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$. The double-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_4 = I_5 = 0$. The triple-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_6 = 0$, and the quadruple link string cancels by $I_7 = 0$. Thus, all contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_1$ vanish.

Contributions from $\mathcal{Y}_3$ compute as follows. The single-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_1 = I_2 = 0$. The double-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_4 = 0$. The triple-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ cancels by $I_6 = 0$, and there is no quadruple link string. Thus, all contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_3$ vanish. Contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_4$ arise only through the single-link string between $S(q_1)$ and $S(q_2)$ and cancels by $I_1 = 0$.

To evaluate $\mathcal{Y}_5$, we use the fact that all the δ-dependence of $\hat{\mu}$ is through $S_\delta(q_1, q_2)$, so that the δ-resummed $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5$ is proportional to,

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1, q_2) \Big\{ a_1 + a_2 S_\delta(z_i, z_j)^2 + a_3 S_\delta(z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_1) \Big\} \tag{5.2}$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3 are δ-independent. The terms proportional to a_1, a_2, a_3 respectively cancel by using $I_1 = 0$, $I_2 = 0$ and $I_3 = 0$. Hence the contribution of $\mathcal{Y}_5$ vanishes.

Only the contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_2$ remain. The bosonic stress tensor gives no contributions by $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$. By definition of $\mathcal{Y}_2$, we need consider only the connected parts in the contributions from the fermionic stress tensor. Since the fermionic stress tensor insertion is normal ordered, at least two vertex operators of the three must contribute a fermion bilinear in $\mathcal{Y}_2$. Thus, we get two contributions, one from two insertions of fermion bilinears and one from 3 insertions (i.e. at each vertex) of fermion bilinears. The correlator with two insertions is proportional to $\varphi[\delta](w, z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_2, z_3)$. Upon summation over δ against $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$, this yields $I_{13}(w; z_1, z_2)$, whose integration against μ vanishes in view of (4.6). Hence, the contribution of the term with two insertions to the GSO projected amplitude vanishes.

The contribution with three insertions of vertex fermion bilinears is proportional to (we omit constant factors and the correlator of the x_+ field),

$$f_1^{\mu_1\nu_1} f_2^{\mu_2\nu_2} f_3^{\mu_3\nu_3} \left\langle \int \hat{\mu} T_\psi \, \psi_+^{\mu_1} \psi_+^{\nu_1}(z_1) \psi_+^{\mu_2} \psi_+^{\nu_2}(z_2) \psi_+^{\mu_3} \psi_+^{\nu_3}(z_3) \right\rangle \tag{5.3}$$

Upon carrying out the contractions in all possible ways, the polarization coefficient is proportional to the kinematic factor for the 3-point function C_3, given by

$$C_3 = f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} f_3^{\rho\mu} = (\epsilon_1 \cdot k_3)(\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1)(\epsilon_3 \cdot k_2) - (\epsilon_1 \cdot k_2)(\epsilon_2 \cdot k_3)(\epsilon_3 \cdot k_1). \tag{5.4}$$

The Green function contributions are proportional to,

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 \int \hat{\mu}_{\bar{w}}{}^{w} \Big\{ & \varphi[\delta](w; z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_1) + \varphi[\delta](w; z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_1) S_\delta(z_1, z_2) \\ & + \varphi[\delta](w; z_3, z_1) S_\delta(z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Upon summation over δ against $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$, this yields the symmetrized combination of I_{14}, whose integral against $\hat{\mu}$ vanishes by (4.7). Thus the 3-point function vanishes.

6 The Chiral 4-point Function: Outline

We turn now to the evaluation of the 4-point function. Since the calculations are lengthy, it may be helpful to list here the main steps, with details left to subsequent sections.

6.1 Kinematic Invariants for the 4-point Function

Recall that $f_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu - \epsilon_i^\nu k_i^\mu$ denotes the "gauge-invariant field strength" of the i-th particle. The kinematical factors for the 4-point function are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(1,2,3,4) &\equiv (f_1f_2)(f_3f_4) + (f_1f_3)(f_2f_4) + (f_1f_4)(f_2f_3) \\ &\quad -4(f_1f_2f_3f_4) - 4(f_1f_3f_2f_4) - 4(f_1f_2f_4f_3) \\ C_T(i,j|k,l) &\equiv (f_if_k)(f_jf_l) - (f_if_l)(f_jf_k) \\ &\quad +2(f_if_jf_kf_l) - 2(f_if_jf_lf_k) \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Here, K is the familiar factor totally symmetric in its arguments, while C_T is antisymmetric in $i \leftrightarrow j$ and antisymmetric in $k \leftrightarrow l$. Here and later, we use the notation,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_if_j) &\equiv f_i^{\mu\nu} f_j^{\nu\mu} \\ (f_if_jf_k) &\equiv f_i^{\mu\nu} f_j^{\nu\rho} f_k^{\rho\mu} \\ (f_if_jf_kf_l) &\equiv f_i^{\mu\nu} f_j^{\nu\rho} f_k^{\rho\sigma} f_l^{\sigma\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

6.2 Chiral Amplitudes after Summing over Spin Structures

The GSO summation over δ considerably simplifies the chiral amplitude, and produces the following expressions. The contributions of the disconnected components are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\Big(C_T(1,2|3,4)\Delta(1,2)\Delta(3,4) + C_T(1,3|2,4)\Delta(1,3)\Delta(2,4) \\ &\qquad + C_T(1,4|2,3)\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3)\Big) \langle Q(p_I) \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i\cdot x_+(z_i)}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

This formula reproduces formula (9.10) of [1], and shows that the totally symmetric function $\mathcal{S}$ in [1] vanishes.

Next, we shall list the contributions from the connected components, which arise from the δ-summation of $\mathcal{Y}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_2$, $\mathcal{Y}_3$, $\mathcal{Y}_4$, and $\mathcal{Y}_5$. The contribution $\mathcal{Y}_2$ arises from the stress tensor insertion. It will be convenient to decompose $\mathcal{Y}_2$ further according to contribution from the bosonic stress tensor T_x or from the fermionic stress tensor T_ψ,

$$T_x = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_z x_+^\mu \partial_z x_+^\mu, \qquad T_\psi = \frac{1}{2}\psi_+^\mu \partial_z \psi_+^\mu, \tag{6.4}$$

The contribution from T_ψ is further split according to whether the kinematic factor is proportional to the symmetric K or the antisymmetric C_T. In a straightforward notation, we thus have, as follows,

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{Y}_{2x} + \mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi} + \mathcal{Y}^A_{2\psi} \tag{6.5}$$

The contributions of the connected components are then given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_1 &= -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \prod_{i=1}^4 \varpi(z_i) \left\langle Q(p_I)\partial x_+(q_1)\partial x_+(q_2) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x(z_j)} \right\rangle_{(c)} && (6.6)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_{2x} &= \frac{1}{8\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \prod_{i=1}^4 \varpi(z_i) \int \mu(w) \left\langle Q(p_I)\partial x_+^\mu \partial x_+^\mu(w) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \right\rangle_{(c)} && (6.7)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi} &= \frac{1}{2}\, K\mathcal{Z}_0 \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial\Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j) \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i\cdot x_+(z_i)} \right\rangle && (6.8)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^A_{2\psi} &= \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{16\pi^2}\Big(C_T(1,2|3,4)\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(1,2|3,4) + C_T(1,3|2,4)\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(1,3|2,4) \\
&\qquad + C_T(1,4|2,3)\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(1,4|2,3)\Big) \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i\cdot x_+(z_i)} \right\rangle . && (6.9)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_3 &= 0 && (6.10)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_4 &= 0 && (6.11)\\
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5 &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0 K \sum_{i=1}^4 d\bar z_i \partial_{\bar z_i}\Big(\Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j) \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \right\rangle \Big) && (6.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Lambda(z)$ is the single valued scalar function defined by (1.11). The expressions $\mathcal{I}^S_{16}$ and $\mathcal{I}^A_{16}$ are symmetric and antisymmetric versions of the integral $\int \mu I_{16}$, and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\sigma\in S^4} \mathcal{I}_{16}(z_{\sigma 1}, z_{\sigma 2}, z_{\sigma 3}, z_{\sigma 4}) && (6.13)\\
\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(z_1,z_4|z_2,z_3) &= \frac{1}{3}\Big(\mathcal{I}_{16}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) + \mathcal{I}_{16}(z_3,z_4,z_1,z_2) - (z_2 \leftrightarrow z_3)\Big), && (6.14)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{I}_{16}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ itself being defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{16}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mu(w) I_{16}(w; z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4). \tag{6.15}$$

6.3 Elimination of the Dolbeault $\bar\partial$-exact Components

It is now clear that all the terms in $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$ which are not tensor products of pure $(1,0)$-forms are given by the expression

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 d\bar z_i \partial_{\bar z_i}\Big(\int d^2\zeta\, \Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \Big) \tag{6.16}$$

arising from $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5$. Note that for each i, the contribution to this expression is manifestly a Dolbeault $\bar\partial$-exact $(0,1)$-form in z_i, and a holomorphic (and hence closed) $(1,0)$-form in the remaining variables. The Dolbeault $\bar\partial$-exactness allows us to eliminate such expressions by de Rham d-exact differentials, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5 \;=\; & -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 (dz_i\partial_{z_i}\, \Lambda(z_i)) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4\, \Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) ik_i\cdot \partial_{z_i} x_+ \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 d_{z_i}\Big(\Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Thus we can write

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta] = \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 d_{z_i}\Big(\int d^2\zeta\, \Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \Big) \tag{6.18}$$

with the form $\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} \;=\; & \sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)} + \int d^2\zeta \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \left(\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_{2x} + \mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}^S + \mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}^A \right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 (dz_i\partial_{z_i} \int d^2\zeta\, \Lambda(z_i)) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 \int d^2\zeta\, \Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\, \Big\langle Q(p_I) ik_i^\mu \partial x_+^\mu(z_i) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \Big\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

From the expressions for $\mathcal{Y}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}^S$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}^A$, and the form of $\mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$, it is manifest that $\mathcal{H}$ is purely a $(1,0)$ form; this form is holomorphic away from $z_i = z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

6.4 Explicit Evaluation of $\mathcal{H}$

It remains only to evaluate $\mathcal{H}$. The following three types of cancellations and recombining mechanism take place. First, we have,

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)} + \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \int d^2\zeta\, \mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}^A = 0. \tag{6.20}$$

so that all the contributions proportional to the kinematic invariant C_T cancel out of the full amplitude. The terms above turn out, however, to be individually independent of gauge choices.

The next cancellation and recombining mechanism are more remarkable since, starting from terms in the left hand side which depend individually on both gauge choices of gravitino slice $\chi_{\bar z}{}^+$ and Beltrami differential $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$, the combined outcome is a gauge-slice independent amplitude. This can only occur thanks to the presence of the function $\Lambda(z)$ which arises from the Dolbeault $\bar\partial$-exact terms. The first is a cancellation,

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 (dz_i \partial_{z_i}\Lambda(z_i))\; \langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)}\rangle \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j) = 0 \tag{6.21}$$

and the second is a recombining mechanism, given by,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\,(\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_{2x}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{i=1}^4 \Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i}\varpi(z_j)\, \left\langle Q(p_I) i k_i^\mu \partial x_+^\mu(z_i) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \right\rangle \\ &\qquad = \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{64\pi^2}\, K\, \mathcal{Y}_S\, \exp\left\{ i\pi p_I^\mu \Omega_{IJ} p_J^\mu + 2\pi i \sum_j p_I^\mu k_j^\mu \int_{z_0}^{z_j} \omega_I \right\} \prod_{i<j} E(z_i,z_j)^{k_i\cdot k_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Here $\mathcal{Y}_S$ is the holomorphic factor defined in (1.16). This completes the proof of the formulas (1.14) and (1.15) announced in the Introduction.

In the formulas (6.21) and (6.22), we see once again the mechanism for gauge slice independence of gauge-fixed superstring amplitudes at work: as in the case of the chiral superstring measure [2], the gauge choices of gravitino slice $\chi_{\bar z}{}^+$ and Beltrami differential $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$ are closely entertwined. They can only cancel when combined with one another.

7 Sums over Spin Structures for the 4-point Function

In this section, we combine the results of summations over spin structures in §3 with the structure of kinematical invariants.

7.1 The Disconnected Component $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$

As we had already noticed in the process of calculating the N-point function for $N \leq 3$, all the terms in the disconnected component $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]^{(d)}$ with fewer than 4 fermion bilinears $\psi_+^\mu \psi_+^\nu(z_i)$ cancel because of the identities $I_{17} = I_{18} = I_{19} = 0$. This cancellation persists in the calculation of the 4-point function. Thus we need only consider the expression,

$$\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot x_+(z_i)} \rangle \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\, \vartheta[\delta]^4\, \mathcal{W}_0[\delta] \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_0[\delta]$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_0[\delta] = \Big(-\frac{i}{2}\Big)^4 \Big\langle \prod_{j=1}^4 f_j^{\mu_j \nu_j} \psi_+^{\mu_j} \psi_+^{\nu_j}(z_j) \Big\rangle . \tag{7.2}$$

The Wick contractions work out as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_0[\delta] \; = \; & +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_2)(f_3 f_4)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2 S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_3)(f_2 f_4)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_3)^2 S_\delta(z_2,z_4)^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_4)(f_2 f_3)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_4)^2 S_\delta(z_2,z_3)^2 \\
& -(f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& -(f_1 f_3 f_2 f_4)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& -(f_1 f_2 f_4 f_3)\; S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

We can now combine this formula for $\mathcal{W}_0[\delta]$ and the formulas for I_{20}, and I_{21} and their symmetrized $\mathcal{S}$ and antisymmetrized $\mathcal{T}$ versions, which multiply the kinematic invariants K and C_T respectively. Since $\mathcal{S} = 0$, no contribution proportional to the symmetric invariant K remains. Using the expression for $\mathcal{T}$ produces the announced result (6.3).

7.2 The Contributions from $\mathcal{Y}_1$

The insertions $\psi_+(q_1)$ and $\psi_+(q_2)$ must be contracted with the fermion bilinears from the vertex insertions in the form of a linear string. We refer to the number of fermion propagators in this string as the *length* of the string. The string may be multiplied by non-intersecting closed fermion n-cycle, with n fermion propagators.

To $\mathcal{Y}_1$, only length 1 contributes. Indeed, at length 2, we may have a 0-cycle, a 2-cycle or a 3-cycle, which vanish by $I_4 = I_5 = I_{10} = 0$ respectively. At length 3, the 0-cycle and 2-cycle vanish by $I_6 = I_9 = 0$; at length 4, the 0-cycle vanishes by $I_7 = 0$; while at length 5, the 0-cycle vanishes by $I_8 = 0$.

At length 1, the contributions from the 0-cycle, the 2-cycle and the 3-cycle cancel by $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$ respectively. But the contributions from two 2-cycles, and a single 4-cycle are non-vanishing and are governed by the contraction of the fermion bilinears only in each of the 4 vertex operators. This results in the term $W_0[\delta]$ which had already been evaluated in the previous subsection.

The contraction of the two supercurrent insertions produces a factor of $S_\delta(q_1, q_2)$. Summing this combined result against $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\mathcal{W}_0[\delta] \;=\;& +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_2)(f_3 f_4)\; I_{11}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) - (f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4)\; I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\\ & +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_3)(f_2 f_4)\; I_{11}(z_1,z_3;z_2,z_4) - (f_1 f_3 f_2 f_4)\; I_{12}(z_1,z_3,z_2,z_4)\\ & +\frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_4)(f_2 f_3)\; I_{11}(z_1,z_4;z_2,z_3) - (f_1 f_2 f_4 f_3)\; I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_4,z_3)\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Now, I_{11} and I_{12} are equal and are totally symmetric functions of their 4 vertex points z_i. Therefore, all terms are proportional to I_{11} and only the combined kinematical factor K enters. We then end up with

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\mathcal{W}_0[\delta] = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \prod_{i=1}^4 \varpi(z_i) \tag{7.5}$$

Assembling all contributions to $\mathcal{Y}_1[\delta]$ and carrying out the summation over δ, we have

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_1[\delta] = -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\,\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \prod_{i=1}^4 \varpi(z_i) \left\langle Q(p_I)\, \partial x_+(q_1)\partial x_+(q_2) \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \right\rangle_{(c)} . \tag{7.6}$$

The $\partial x_+(q_1)\partial x_+(q_2)$ operator is normal ordered since the fermion operators in the supercurrent have already been contracted. This prescription is indicated on the correlator with the subscript (c). This is the formula (6.6).

7.3 Contribution to $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$ (bosonic stress tensor)

The contribution to $\mathcal{Y}_2$ from the bosonic stress tensor only was denoted by $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$. Its only non-vanishing contribution arises from the contraction of the fermion bilinears in all 4 vertex operators. These contractions are as in $\mathcal{W}_0$. To sum over spin structures, we write $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z} = S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\,\mu(z)$, so the δ-dependence of the Beltrami differential $\hat{\mu}$ is isolated. It is now straightforward to express the contribution from $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$ and apply the identities (3.3),

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_{2x} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\,K\prod_{i=1}^4 \varpi(z_i)\int \mu(w)\left\langle Q(p_I)\partial x_+^\mu \partial x_+^\mu(w)\prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)}\right\rangle_{(c)} \tag{7.7}$$

Note that the bosonic correlator must be connected. This is the formula (6.7).

7.4 Contribution from $\mathcal{Y}_{2\psi}$ (fermionic stress tensor)

The insertion of the fermionic stress tensor T_ψ may be formulated in terms of the insertion of a modified Szego kernel S'_δ, to be defined below. Since we are computing the connected part only, the lowest contribution is that of a 2-cycle, which gives I_{13}. This quantity integrates to zero against $\hat{\mu}$ however. For the 3-cycle, the contribution yields I_{14}. Its integration against $\hat{\mu}$ vanishes upon cyclic symmetrization of its arguments, and this is precisely the combination that enters into the 4-point amplitude (just as it was the one that entered into the 3-point function). The fact that in the 4-point function further bosonic contractions must be carried out is immaterial. Thus, all these contributions vanish in the 4-point function.

7.4.1 The integrated fermionic propagator $S'_\delta(x,y)$

The only remaining correlator is when the fermionic stress tensor is inserted in a correlator where each vertex operator contribution is limited to its fermion bilinear prefactor. Since the stress tensor is integrated against $\hat{\mu}_{\bar{z}}{}^{z}$, it is useful to introduce the following correlator $S'_\delta(x,y)$ in order to work out carefully the combinatorics,

$$S'_\delta(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\langle \int \hat{\mu}T_\psi\ \psi_+(x)\psi_+(y)\right\rangle . \tag{7.8}$$

The integrand can be evaluated using the definition of T_ψ in (6.4). One finds

$$\left\langle \int \hat{\mu}T_\psi\ \psi_+(x)\psi_+(y)\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\varphi[\delta](w;x,y), \tag{7.9}$$

where $\varphi[\delta](w;x,y)$ was defined in (3.4). This gives the following formula for $S'_\delta(x,y)$

$$S'_\delta(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \hat{\mu}_{\bar{w}}{}^{w}\,\varphi[\delta](w;x,y). \tag{7.10}$$

Returning now to the evaluation of the sums involving $\mathcal{Y}_2^\psi$, the object of interest is

$$\mathcal{W}_1[\delta] \equiv \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^4 \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \int \hat{\mu} T \prod_{j=1}^4 f_j^{\mu_j \nu_j} \psi_+^{\mu_j} \psi_+^{\nu_j}(z_j) \right\rangle \tag{7.11}$$

It is convenient to work this out in terms of the modified Szegö kernel S'_δ first. This may be done by successively replacing, in each term in $\mathcal{W}_0$, one Szegö kernel S_δ factor by S'_δ, and summing up all contributions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_1[\delta] \;=\; & -\frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2)(f_3 f_4) \Big\{ S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S'_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_3,z_4)^2 + S_\delta(z_1,z_2)^2 S'_\delta(z_3,z_4) S_\delta(z_3,z_4) \Big\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_3)(f_2 f_4) \Big\{ S_\delta(z_1,z_3) S'_\delta(z_1,z_3) S_\delta(z_2,z_4)^2 + S_\delta(z_1,z_3)^2 S'_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) \Big\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_4)(f_2 f_3) \Big\{ S_\delta(z_1,z_4) S'_\delta(z_1,z_4) S_\delta(z_2,z_3)^2 + S_\delta(z_1,z_4)^2 S'_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) \Big\} \\
& +(f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4) \; \{ S'_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S'_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S'_\delta(z_3,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_4) S'_\delta(z_4,z_1) \} \\
& +(f_1 f_3 f_2 f_4) \; \{ S'_\delta(z_1,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_3) S'_\delta(z_3,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_2) S'_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S'_\delta(z_4,z_1) \} \\
& +(f_1 f_2 f_4 f_3) \; \{ S'_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S'_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S'_\delta(z_4,z_3) S_\delta(z_3,z_1) \\
& \qquad + S_\delta(z_1,z_2) S_\delta(z_2,z_4) S_\delta(z_4,z_3) S'_\delta(z_3,z_1) \}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

7.4.2 Formulas in terms of the integrated $\mathcal{I}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}$

Next, we carry out the sum over spin structures against $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$. For this we need the following integrated versions of the sums I_{15} and I_{16} introduced in section §3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{15}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mu(w)\, I_{15}(w; z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \\
\mathcal{I}_{16}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mu(w)\, I_{16}(w; z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

and their cyclically permuted versions $\mathcal{I}_{15}^C$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}^C$

$$\mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,3,4) = \mathcal{I}_{15}(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{15}(2,3,4,1) + \mathcal{I}_{15}(3,4,1,2) + \mathcal{I}_{15}(4,1,2,3) \tag{7.14}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,2,3,4) = \mathcal{I}_{16}(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{16}(3,4,1,2). \tag{7.15}$$

Here we have abbreviated z_i by i. Using the definitions of $\mathcal{I}_{15}^C$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}^C$, the previous expressions for $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{W}_1[\delta]$ reduce to[7]

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{W}_1[\delta] &= +\frac{1}{4}(f_1f_2)(f_3f_4)\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,2;3,4) + \frac{1}{2}(f_1f_2f_3f_4)\,\mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,3,4)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}(f_1f_3)(f_2f_4)\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,3;2,4) + \frac{1}{2}(f_1f_3f_2f_4)\,\mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,3,2,4)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}(f_1f_4)(f_2f_3)\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,4;2,3) + \frac{1}{2}(f_1f_2f_4f_3)\,\mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,4,3)\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

To arrive at an expression in terms of the kinematic factors K and C_T, we introduce the symmetrized versions of $\mathcal{I}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}$

$$3\mathcal{I}_{15}^S(1,2,3,4) = \mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,3,4,2) + \mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,4,2,3) \tag{7.17}$$

$$3\mathcal{I}_{16}^S(1,2,3,4) = \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,2;3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,3;4,2) + \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,4;2,3). \tag{7.18}$$

as well as the following antisymmetrized versions

$$3\mathcal{I}_{15}^A(1,4|2,3) = \mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,3,4) - \mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,3,2,4) \tag{7.19}$$

$$3\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) = \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,2;3,4) - \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,3;2,4). \tag{7.20}$$

The quantities $\mathcal{I}_{15}^C$, $\mathcal{I}_{15}^S$, $\mathcal{I}_{15}^A$, $\mathcal{I}_{16}^C$, $\mathcal{I}_{16}^S$, $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A$ satisfy many important identities. Leaving temporarily their full description and derivation to the next section, we note that these identities imply that $\mathcal{I}_{15}^S$ and I_{16}^S are invariant under all permutations of the 4 points, that the following inversion formulas hold,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{15}^C(1,2,3,4) &= \mathcal{I}_{15}^S(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{15}^A(2,1|3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{15}^A(1,4|2,3)\\ \mathcal{I}_{16}^C(1,2;3,4) &= \mathcal{I}_{16}^S(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) + \mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,3|2,4)\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

and that we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{15}^S(1,2,3,4) &= -2\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^S(1,2,3,4)\\ \mathcal{I}_{15}^A(1,4|2,3) &= -\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3).\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

Combining all, we obtain the following formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{W}_1[\delta] &= +\frac{1}{4}K\,\mathcal{I}_{16}^S + \frac{1}{4}C_T(1,2|3,4)\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,2|3,4)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}C_T(1,3|2,4)\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,3|2,4)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}C_T(1,4|2,3)\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3)\end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

This gives formulas (6.8), and (6.9).

---

[7] Care is needed in obtaining the correct sign upon identifying the corresponding $\mathcal{I}_{15}$ or $\mathcal{I}_{16}$ factors.

7.5 Contributions from $\mathcal{Y}_3$ and $\mathcal{Y}_4$

To $\mathcal{Y}_3$, the contributions of length 1 are with a 0-cycle, a 2-cycle and a 3-cycle, which vanish by $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$. The contributions of length 2 are with a 0-cycle and a 2-cycle and vanish by $I_4 = I_5 = 0$. Finally, the contributions of lengths 3 and 4 are with 0-cycles only and vanish by $I_6 = I_7 = 0$. Thus, the full $\mathcal{Y}_3$ vanishes.

The arguments for $\mathcal{Y}_4$ are analogous. At length 1, we have a 0-cycle and a 2-cycle, which vanish by $I_1 = I_2 = 0$. The contributions of lengths 2 and 3 are with 0-cycles only and vanish by $I_4 = I_5 = 0$. Thus, the full $\mathcal{Y}_4$ vanishes.

7.6 Contributions from $\mathcal{Y}_5$

The entire contribution to $\mathcal{Y}_5$ is proportional to $\hat{\mu}$, which is proportional to $S_\delta(q_1, q_2)$. As a result, upon summation over δ, the contributions with a 0-cycle, a 2-cycle and a 3-cycle cancel by $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$ respectively. There only remain the contributions from two 2-cycles and one 4-cycle, which yield I_{11} and I_{12} respectively. But these quantities were evaluated in $\mathcal{W}_0$ and yield a kinematical factor proportional to K,

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5[\delta] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{j=1}^{4} \mu(z_j) \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^{4} e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)} \right\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{4} \varpi(z_i) \tag{7.24}$$

This object is a $(1,0)$ form in 3 of its z_i assignments but a $(0,1)$-form in the remaining fourth one.

It is at this stage that we can isolate the Dolbeault $\bar{\partial}$-exact form. Since each contribution above contains the combination $\hat{\mu}\bar{z}_i{}^{z_i}\varpi(z_i)$, we can rewrite this factor as $\partial_{\bar{z}_i}\Lambda(z_i)$ in view of the equation (1.11) and obtain

$$\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5[\delta] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \sum_{j=1}^{4} d\bar{z}_i \partial_{\bar{z}_i}\Big(\Lambda(z_i)\langle Q(p_I) \prod_{j=1}^{4} e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)}\rangle \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)\Big). \tag{7.25}$$

This is the desired formula (6.12).

8 Integrals of I_{15} and I_{16} against $\hat\mu$

In the last section, we made use of certain symmetry properties and relations between $\mathcal{I}^S_{15}$, $\mathcal{I}^A_{15}$, $\mathcal{I}^S_{16}$, to derive an intermediate formula for the contribution $\mathcal{Y}^\psi_2$. In this section, we establish these relations. To do so, we shall express these integrals in terms of the following simpler integrals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$, with $\alpha = 1, 2$, defined by,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \hat\mu_{\bar w}{}^w \varpi(w)^2 I^S_{15}(w;z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) && (8.1)\\
\mathcal{J}_\alpha(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\int \hat\mu_{\bar w}{}^w \varpi(w)^2 G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_\alpha,w)G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_\alpha,w)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $G(z;z_1,z_2;q,w)$ is the Green's function in z, with poles at $z = z_1$ and $z = z_2$, and zeroes at $z = q$ and $z = w$, appearing in the evaluation of I_{15} and I_{16} in section §3. Clearly, the functions $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$ satisfy the following symmetry properties

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(1,2,3,4) = \mathcal{I}(2,1,3,4) &= \mathcal{I}(1,2,4,3) = \mathcal{I}(2,1,4,3)\\
\mathcal{J}_\alpha(1,2,3,4) &= \mathcal{J}_\alpha(2,1,4,3). && (8.2)
\end{aligned}$$

8.1 Evaluation of the Integral $\mathcal{I}$

We express $\mathcal{I}$ in terms of the function $\Lambda(w)$, using the equation (1.11). Since $\Lambda(w)$ is a single-valued and smooth function for smooth $\hat\mu$, we can integrate by parts, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\int \Lambda(w)\partial_{\bar w}\Big\{\varpi(w)G(z_3;z_1,z_2;q_1,w)\\
&\qquad\qquad \times G(z_4;z_1,z_2;q_2,w) + (q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2)\Big\} && (8.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Inside the braces, the first G-factor has a simple pole in w at q_2, but is regular at q_1, while the second G-factor is regular at q_2, but has a simple pole at q_1. The prefactor $\varpi(w)$ kills both poles. Thus, only the double poles remain at z_1 and z_2.

To compute the integral, we need the asymptotics of G, near $z_{1,2}$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x;z_1,z_2;q_\alpha,w) &= -\left(\frac{1}{w-z_1} - \frac{1}{2}\partial\ln\varpi(z_1)\right)\frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(z_1)} + \gamma(x;z_1;z_2;q_\alpha)\\
G(x;z_1,z_2;q_\alpha,w) &= +\left(\frac{1}{w-z_2} - \frac{1}{2}\partial\ln\varpi(z_2)\right)\frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(z_2)} - \gamma(x;z_2;z_1;q_\alpha) && (8.4)
\end{aligned}$$

up to terms which are $\emptyset(w - z_1)$ and $\emptyset(w - z_2)$. The finite part γ may be evaluated for example by representing G in terms of the prime form and its derivatives.

$$G(z;z_i,z_j;q_\alpha,w) = \tau_{ij}(z) - \frac{\Delta(z,q_\alpha)}{\Delta(w,q_\alpha)}\tau_{ij}(w) - \frac{\Delta(z,w)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,w)}\tau_{ij}(q_\alpha) \qquad (8.5)$$

where the Abelian differential of the third kind is defined by

$$\tau_{ij}(z) = \partial_z \ln \frac{E(z,z_i)}{E(z,z_j)} \tag{8.6}$$

The finite part is now given by

$$\gamma(x;z_1;z_2;q_\alpha) = \tau_{12}(x) - \frac{\Delta(x,z_1)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,z_1)}\tau_{12}(q_\alpha) + \frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(z_1)}\partial_{z_1}\ln\left(\varpi(z_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E(z_1,z_2)\right) \tag{8.7}$$

To evaluate the integral giving $\mathcal{I}$, we use the asymptotic behaviors at the poles z_1 and z_2 and we find, We then have a fairly economical way of recasting the result,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \;&=\; -2\rho_1\partial\Lambda(z_1) - 2\rho_2\partial\Lambda(z_2) \qquad (8.8)\\ &\quad +\Big\{\Lambda(z_1)\,\rho_4\,\gamma(z_4;z_1;z_2;q_2) + \Lambda(z_1)\,\rho_3\,\gamma(z_3;z_1;z_2;q_1)\\ &\quad +\Lambda(z_2)\,\rho_4\,\gamma(z_4;z_2;z_1;q_2) + \Lambda(z_2)\,\rho_3\,\gamma(z_3;z_2;z_1;q_1) + (q_1\leftrightarrow q_2).\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the following abbreviation,

$$\rho_i \equiv \mathcal{Z}_0 \prod_{j\neq i}\varpi(z_j) \tag{8.9}$$

8.2 Evaluation of the integrals $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$

To evaluate $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$, we express $\hat{\mu}$ in terms of Λ, using (1.11), and integrate by parts in w,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\alpha(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \;&=\; -\frac{1}{2\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\int\Lambda(w)\partial_{\bar{w}}\Big\{\varpi(w)G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_\alpha,w)\\ &\qquad \times G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_\alpha,w)\Big\} \qquad (8.10)\end{aligned}$$

This time, simple poles arise in w at z_1, z_2. The first G factor also has a pole in w at z_4, but the second factor has a zero there. Conversely, the second G factor has a pole at $w = z_3$ but the first G factor has a zero there. There is also a simple pole at $q_\beta = -q_\alpha + 2\Delta + 2\kappa$. The residue at q_β is given by (4.9) and (4.10), and the fact that,

$$\lim_{w\to q_\beta}\varpi(w)G(z;p_1,p_2;q_\alpha,w) = \partial\varpi(q_\beta)\frac{\varpi(z)\Delta(p_1,p_2)}{\varpi(p_2)\Delta(q_\beta,p_1)} \tag{8.11}$$

Putting all together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\alpha(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \;&=\; -\Lambda(z_1)\,\rho_4\,G(z_4;z_3,z_2;q_\alpha,z_1) - \Lambda(z_2)\,\rho_3\,G(z_3;z_4,z_1;q_\alpha,z_2)\\ &\quad -\mathcal{Z}_0\Lambda(q_\beta)\,\partial\varpi(q_\beta)\,c_\beta^2\,\Delta(z_1,z_4)\Delta(z_2,z_3) \qquad (8.12)\end{aligned}$$

where the following combinations are defined by,

$$c_\beta^2 = \frac{\varpi(u)\varpi(v)}{\Delta(q_\beta,u)\Delta(q_\beta,v)} \tag{8.13}$$

and are independent of u and v.

8.3 The Integrals $\mathcal{I}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}$ in terms of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$

In section §7, equation (7.13), we introduced $\mathcal{I}_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{16}$, which are integrals of $\hat{\mu}$ against I_{15} and I_{16}. Using the definitions of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$, they take the form,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{15}(1,2,3,4) &= +\mathcal{I}(1,2,3,4) + \sum_{\alpha=1,2}\Big(\mathcal{J}_\alpha(1,2,3,4) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha(2,1,3,4)\Big)\\ \mathcal{I}_{16}(1,2,3,4) &= -\mathcal{I}(1,2,3,4) - \sum_{\alpha=1,2}\Big(\mathcal{J}_\alpha(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{J}_\alpha(2,1,3,4)\Big) \qquad (8.14)\end{aligned}$$

8.3.1 Evaluation of the Symmetrized Integrals $\mathcal{I}^S_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}^S_{16}$

The symmetrized forms $\mathcal{I}^S_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}^S_{16}$ are easily evaluated using (8.14) and the fact that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_4}\mathcal{J}_\alpha\left(\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\sigma(3),\sigma(4)\right) = 0 \qquad (8.15)$$

and we obtain,

$$\mathcal{I}^S_{15}(1,2,3,4) = -2\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) \qquad (8.16)$$

as well as the following explicit form,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) &= -\frac{1}{3}\Big(\mathcal{I}(1,2;3,4) + \mathcal{I}(1,3;2,4) + \mathcal{I}(1,4;2,3)\\ &\qquad +\mathcal{I}(3,4;1,2) + \mathcal{I}(2,4;1,3) + \mathcal{I}(2,3;1,4)\Big). \qquad (8.17)\end{aligned}$$

8.3.2 Evaluating the Antisymmetrized Integrals $\mathcal{I}^A_{15}$ and $\mathcal{I}^A_{16}$

The antisymmetrized combinations are somewhat more involved. After some simplifications, using the symmetries of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$, and the identity (8.15), we derive the relation,

$$\mathcal{I}^A_{15}(1,4|2,3) = -\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(1,4|2,3) \qquad (8.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}3\mathcal{I}^A_{16}(1,4|2,3) &= -\mathcal{I}(1,2;3,4) - \mathcal{I}(3,4;1,2) + \mathcal{I}(1,3;2,4) + \mathcal{I}(2,4;1,3)\\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1,2}\Big(\mathcal{J}_\alpha(1,2,3,4) + \mathcal{J}_\alpha(2,1,3,4) + \mathcal{J}_\alpha(3,4,1,2)\\ &\qquad +\mathcal{J}_\alpha(4,3,1,2) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha(1,3,2,4) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha(3,1,2,4)\\ &\qquad -\mathcal{J}_\alpha(2,4,1,3) - \mathcal{J}_\alpha(4,2,1,3)\Big) \qquad (8.19)\end{aligned}$$

9 First Cancellation: Terms Involving C_T

We begin now the evaluation of the holomorphic amplitude $\mathcal{H}$. As outlined in section §6.4, the evaluation will involve two specific cancellations and one recombination mechanism. The first is conceptually the easiest, since it will turn out to involve only gauge slice independent quantities. We treat it in this section. The key ingredient is the evaluation of the integral $\mathcal{I}^A_{16}$, which, in view of (8.18), also determines $\mathcal{I}^A_{15}$. In (8.19), $\mathcal{I}^A_{16}$ is given in terms of the basic integrals $\mathcal{I}$, calculated in (8.8) and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$, calculated in (8.12). It will turn out that a key ingredient is the gauge slice independent combination $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$, which we evaluate first below.

9.1 Evaluation of $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$

It has been pointed out earlier that, under a change of Beltrami differential by a vector field v^z, the function $\Lambda(z)$ defined up to an additive constant by the equation (1.11) changes by $\varpi(z)v^z(z)$. Since $\varpi(z)$ vanishes at q_1 and q_2, it follows that the quantity $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$ does not depend on the choice of Beltrami differential within its equivalence class. we can write

$$\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_w \mu(w)\varpi(w)G(w;q_1,q_2;p_1',p_2') \tag{9.1}$$

where the Beltrami differential μ is defined by (2.10), and the points p_1', p_2' are arbitrary generic points, upon which the integral is independent.[8]

It is manifest that μ is integrated against a single-valued holomorphic 2-form in w, which confirms the independence of $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$ of the choice of slice for μ. We can evaluate it by expressing the holomorphic 2-form in terms of $\omega_I\omega_J$, and then making use of the defining equation (2.10) for μ. However, since the answer is known to be gauge-independent, we can proceed faster by taking μ concentrated at 3 generic points p_a,

$$\mu(w) = \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{4\pi}\sum_{a=1}^{3}\mu_a\delta(w,p_a) \tag{9.2}$$

Inverting the matrix $\omega_I\omega_J(p_a)$, we get that $\mu_a = \varpi_a(q_1,q_2)$ in the notation of [2, 4]. Their explicit form, for arbitrary points q_1, and q_2 is helpful and given as follows,

$$\mu_1 \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_1,p_2)\Delta(q_2,p_3)+\Delta(q_1,p_3)\Delta(q_2,p_2)}{\Delta(p_1,p_2)\Delta(p_1,p_3)}$$

---

[8] Choosing $p_1', p_2' \in \{q_1,q_2\}$ would not constitute a generic choice and results in a singularity in G. Thus, one cannot simply choose μ to be supported at q_1, q_2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_1,p_1)\Delta(q_2,p_3)+\Delta(q_1,p_3)\Delta(q_2,p_1)}{\Delta(p_2,p_1)\Delta(p_2,p_3)} \\
\mu_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_1,p_1)\Delta(q_2,p_2)+\Delta(q_1,p_2)\Delta(q_2,p_1)}{\Delta(p_3,p_1)\Delta(p_3,p_2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

With this choice, the general expression for $\Lambda(q_1)-\Lambda(q_2)$ is given by

$$\Lambda(q_1)-\Lambda(q_2) = -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi^2}\sum_a \mu_a \varpi(p_a) G(p_a;q_1,q_2;p'_1,p'_2) \tag{9.4}$$

The arbitrary points in the Green function may be chosen conveniently as follows, $p'_1=p_1$ and $p'_2=p_2$, so that in the sum over a only the value $a=3$ is non-vanishing. The result is

$$\Lambda(q_1)-\Lambda(q_2) = -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi^2}\mu_3\varpi(p_3)G(p_3;q_1,q_2;p_1,p_2) \tag{9.5}$$

It remains to calculate the combination

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_3\varpi(p_3)G(p_3;q_1,q_2;p_1,p_2) &= \frac{\Delta(q_1,p_1)\Delta(q_2,p_2)+\Delta(q_1,p_2)\Delta(q_2,p_1)}{2\Delta(p_1,p_3)\Delta(p_2,p_3)}\varpi(p_3) \\
&\times\frac{\vartheta(p_3-q_1-q_2+p_1+p_2-\Delta)E(p_3,p_1)E(p_3,p_2)E(q_1,q_2)\sigma(p_3)}{\vartheta(-q_2+p_1+p_2-\Delta)E(p_3,q_1)E(p_3,q_2)E(q_1,p_1)E(q_1,p_2)\sigma(q_1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

It is readily checked that this expression is a well-defined, single-valued and holomorphic scalar in each p_a, and must thus be independent of all p_a. Independence of p_3 allows us to choose alternatively $p_3=q_1$ and $p_3=q_2$, which yield in turn,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{p_3\to q_1}\mu_3\varpi(p_3)G(p_3;q_1,q_2;p_1,p_2) &= +\frac{c_1}{c_2}\partial\varpi(q_1) \\
\lim_{p_3\to q_2}\mu_3\varpi(p_3)G(p_3;q_1,q_2;p_1,p_2) &= -\frac{c_2}{c_1}\partial\varpi(q_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

Here, we define c_1 and c_2 by

$$\varpi(z) = c_\alpha \Delta(q_\alpha, z) \tag{9.8}$$

In particular, we obtain the following useful identity,

$$c_1^2\partial\varpi(q_1)+c_2^2\partial\varpi(q_2)=0. \tag{9.9}$$

Assembling all pieces, we obtain the formula

$$\Lambda(q_1)-\Lambda(q_2) = -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{c_1}{c_2}\partial\varpi(q_1) = +\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{c_2}{c_1}\partial\varpi(q_2). \tag{9.10}$$

9.2 Remarks on Singular Choices of $\mu(z)$

As an aside, we discuss pitfalls associated with choices of $\mu(z)$ supported at points p_a, with p_a tending to the q_α. These choices can lead to apparently contradictory statements, which would have to be sorted out very carefully in order to arrive at a reliable answer.

For example, a first choice of the points p_a is the following. Let q_1 and q_2 at first be arbitrary points (not the zeros of a holomorphic (1,0)-form) and let $p_1 \to q_1$ and $p_2 \to q_2$. Using the formulas (9.3), we then have

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_2,p_3)}{\Delta(q_1,p_3)}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_1,p_3)}{\Delta(q_2,p_3)}, \quad \mu_3 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta(q_1,q_2)\Delta(q_2,q_1)}{\Delta(p_3,q_1)\Delta(p_3,q_2)}. \tag{9.11}$$

Taking now the limit where q_1 and q_2 become the distinct zeros of a holomorphic 1-form, and using again the definitions of c_β, we obtain,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{c_1}{c_2} \qquad \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{c_2}{c_1} \qquad \mu_3 = 0. \tag{9.12}$$

However, we can also consider the following second choice. Let q_1 and q_2 from the outset be the zeros of a holomorphic 1-form (i.e. unitary gauge). Then, $\hat\Omega_{IJ} - \Omega_{IJ}$ is rank 1 only. Now, in (9.3), let $p_1 \to q_1$, then we get instead

$$\mu_1 = \frac{c_1}{c_2} \qquad \mu_2 = 0 \qquad \mu_3 = 0 \tag{9.13}$$

Clearly, this results in a very different formula for the amplitudes $\mathcal{Y}_i$'s.

Another manifestation of subtleties with special choices of points p_a for the support of μ is perhaps even easier to see: if one sets $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 = q_2$, then $\mu\varpi$ vanishes identically. Thus $\Lambda(z)$ also vanishes identically, contradicting the formula which we just obtained for $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$.

Thus a reliable outcome is guaranteed only if a smooth $\mu(z)$ is used, as long as there are terms either involving an un-integrated $\mu(z)$ appears (such as the corrections $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}(z)$ to the vertex operators), or involving $\mu(z)$ integrated against meromorphic correlation functions (such as in $\mathcal{Y}_2$). Only after all such terms cancel, and when only terms involving integrals of $\mu(z)$ against holomorphic 2-forms remain (as in the calculation of $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$ in the previous subsection), can one choose $\mu(z)$ to be supported at special points.

9.3 Evaluation of the Coefficient $\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\varpi(q_2)$

First, we observe that, if we view the surface Σ as a double cover of the sphere, then the factor $\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\varpi(q_2)$ is actually a well-defined scalar function on the sphere. This is because it is symmetric in q_1 and q_2, has no monodromy when q_1 and q_2 go around the same cycle with opposite directions, and its tensor weight works out to be 0, when the tensor weights of all factors are taken into account. Alternatively, we shall see shortly from the relation (9.39) below that it is also a coefficient of proportionality between $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3)$ and $\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3)$. Now $\mathcal{I}_{16}$ is a scalar in the underlying parameters q_1 and q_2, since the components $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ and $S_\delta(q_1,q_2)$ of I_{16} are forms of weights $-3/2$ and $1/2$ respectively in each of these variables, and the Beltrami differential $\mu(z)$ should be viewed as valued in the tensor product $T_{1,0}^{q_1}(\Sigma) \otimes T_{1,0}^{q_2}(\Sigma)$. Integrating I_{16} against $\mu(z)$ results then in a scalar function, which descends on the sphere, since it is symmetric in q_1 and q_2. From the expression of $\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\varpi(q_2)$, it is holomorphic, and thus it suffices to evaluate it at a single point.

We begin by deriving a more convenient formula for $\varpi(w)$, using (A.21) in which we set $r_1 = q_1$ and then take the limit $p_2 \to r_3 = w$. One gets,

$$\varpi(w) = -e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \frac{\vartheta(q_1 + w - z - \Delta)E(w,q_1)\sigma(w)}{E(z,q_1)E(z,w)\sigma(z)} \tag{9.14}$$

It is now straightforward to take the derivative at q_1 and we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\varpi(q_1) &= -e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \frac{\sigma(q_1)\,\vartheta(2q_1 - z_1 - \Delta)}{\sigma(z_1)E(z_1,q_1)^2} \\ \partial\varpi(q_2) &= +e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_2-\Delta)} \frac{\sigma(q_2)\,\vartheta(2q_2 - z_2 - \Delta)}{\sigma(z_2)E(z_2,q_2)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{9.15}$$

To evaluate the coefficients c_α, we proceed from (2.14) and set $p_1 = z$, $p_2 = q_1$ and let $w_0 \to z$, so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z^3\Delta(z,q_1) &= -\varpi(z)\sigma(q_1)e^{-2\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \\ Z^3\Delta(z,q_2) &= +\varpi(z)\sigma(q_2)e^{-2\pi i\kappa'(q_2-\Delta)} \end{aligned} \tag{9.16}$$

which yields the following equations for the coefficients c_α,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= +Z^3\sigma(q_1)^{-1}e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \\ c_2 &= -Z^3\sigma(q_2)^{-1}e^{2\pi i\kappa'(q_2-\Delta)} \end{aligned} \tag{9.17}$$

Assembling the following products, we get

$$c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\partial\varpi(q_2) = Z^6 e^{8\pi i\kappa'\kappa} \frac{\vartheta(2q_1 - z_1 - \Delta)\vartheta(2q_2 - z_2 - \Delta)}{\sigma(z_1)\sigma(z_2)E(z_1,q_1)^2E(z_2,q_2)^2} \tag{9.18}$$

The ϑ-functions may be evaluated using (2.14) where $r_1 = q_2$, $r_2 = z_1$, and $r_3 = q_1$, and then using $q_1 + q_2 = 2\Delta + 2\kappa$, and finally by interchanging q_1 and q_2. We obtain,

$$\vartheta(q_2 - q_1 + z_1 - \Delta) = \vartheta(2q_1 - z_1 - \Delta - 2\kappa) = Z^3 \frac{\sigma(q_1)E(q_2,q_1)E(z_1,q_1)\Delta(q_2,z_1)}{\sigma(q_2)\sigma(z_1)E(q_2,z_1)}$$

$$\vartheta(q_1 - q_2 + z_2 - \Delta) = \vartheta(2q_2 - z_2 - \Delta - 2\kappa) = Z^3 \frac{\sigma(q_2)E(q_1,q_2)E(z_2,q_2)\Delta(q_1,z_2)}{\sigma(q_1)\sigma(z_2)E(q_1,z_2)}$$

Since 2κ is a full period, we may use the periodicity of the ϑ-function,

$$\vartheta(\zeta - 2\kappa) = \vartheta(\zeta) e^{-4\pi\kappa'\Omega\kappa' + 4\pi\kappa'\zeta} \tag{9.19}$$

Multiplying both, one finds,

$$\begin{aligned} & \vartheta(2q_1 - z_1 - \Delta)\vartheta(2q_2 - z_2 - \Delta) e^{+8\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa' - 4\pi i\kappa'(z_1+z_2-2\Delta)} \\ & \qquad = -Z^6 \frac{E(q_1,q_2)^2 E(z_1,q_1) E(z_2,q_2) \Delta(q_1,z_2)\Delta(q_2,z_1)}{\sigma(z_1)\sigma(z_2) E(z_1,q_2) E(z_2,q_1)} \end{aligned} \tag{9.20}$$

Combining all these results, we get

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\partial\varpi(q_2) = -\frac{\mathcal{Z}_B \, e^{4\pi i\kappa'(z_1+z_2-2\Delta)} Z^{24} \Delta(q_1,z_2)\Delta(q_2,z_1)}{\prod_{\alpha=1,2}\Big(\sigma(z_\alpha)^2\sigma(q_\alpha)^2 E(z_\alpha,q_1)E(z_\alpha,q_2)\Big)} \tag{9.21}$$

As a check, one may use the monodromy transformations of the prime form in (A.16) and of σ in (A.22) to check that this expression is indeed independent of z_1, z_2 q_1 and q_2.

Given that (9.21) is independent of z_1, z_2 q_1 and q_2, we may evaluate it by taking the limit $q_2 \to q_1$ which is smooth. Since $q_1 + q_2 = 2\Delta + 2\kappa$, we take $\kappa = \nu_1$ to be any odd spin structure, so that $q_1, q_2 \to p_1 = \Delta + \nu_1$. The above result simplifies further and we have,

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\partial\varpi(q_2) = -\frac{\mathcal{Z}_B \, e^{4\pi i\nu_1'(z_1+z_2-2\Delta)} Z^{24} \Delta(p_1,z_1)\Delta(p_1,z_2)}{\sigma(z_1)^2\sigma(z_2)^2\sigma(p_1)^4 E(z_1,p_1)^2 E(z_2,p_1)^2} \tag{9.22}$$

To evaluate this combination, we let z_1 and z_2 also tend to branch points $z_1 \to p_2$, and $z_2 \to p_3$, with p_1, p_2, p_3 all mutually distinct. All ingredients may now be expressed in terms of the following quantities, which were calculated in eqs. (3.9) and (3.14) of [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(p_i,p_j) &= -\mathcal{M}^{-1}_{\nu_i\nu_j}\omega_{\nu_i}(p_j)\omega_{\nu_j}(p_i) \\ \omega_{\nu_i}(p_j)\sigma(p_j)^{-1} &= C_j Z^{-3}\mathcal{M}_{\nu_i\nu_j} \\ E(p_1,p_2)^{-2} &= \omega_{\nu_3}(p_1)\omega_{\nu_3}(p_2)\,\vartheta[\nu_3](p_1-p_2)^{-2} \\ E(p_1,p_3)^{-2} &= \omega_{\nu_2}(p_1)\omega_{\nu_2}(p_3)\,\vartheta[\nu_2](p_1-p_3)^{-2} \end{aligned} \tag{9.23}$$

Putting all together, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial\varpi(q_1)\partial\varpi(q_2) = -C_0\, C_1^4 C_2^2 C_3^2 e^{4\pi i\nu_1'(\nu_2+\nu_3)} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_B\, \mathcal{M}_{12}^2\mathcal{M}_{13}^2\mathcal{M}_{23}^2}{\vartheta[\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3](0)^4} \tag{9.24}$$

Using the expression for $\mathcal{Z}_B$ and the product relation for $\mathcal{M}_{ij}$'s, derived in §4.2 of [4],

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}^2\mathcal{M}_{13}^2\mathcal{M}_{23}^2 = \pi^{12}\vartheta[\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3](0)^4\Psi_{10} \tag{9.25}$$

we find that the ratio factor on the rhs of (9.24) equals to 1. The remaining factors are

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &= \exp\{-i\pi\nu_i'\Omega\nu_i' - 2\pi i\nu_i'\nu_i''\} \qquad\qquad i=1,2,3 \\ C_0 &= \frac{\vartheta[\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3](0)^4}{\vartheta[\nu_3](p_1-p_2)^2\vartheta[\nu_2](p_1-p_3)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{9.26}$$

The calculation of C_0 is routine. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 = \exp\{&+4\pi i\nu_1'\Omega\nu_1' + 2\pi i\nu_2'\Omega\nu_2' + 2\pi i\nu_3'\Omega\nu_3' - 4\pi i\nu_1'\Omega(\nu_2'+\nu_3') \\ &-4\pi i\nu_3'(\nu_1''-\nu_2'') - 4\pi i\nu_2'(\nu_1''-\nu_3'')\} \end{aligned} \tag{9.27}$$

Putting all the exponential factors together, we get

$$-C_0\,C_1^4C_2^2C_3^2e^{4\pi i\nu_1'(\nu_2+\nu_3)} = 1 \tag{9.28}$$

and we finally obtain,

$$\mathcal{Z}_0c_1c_2\partial\varpi(q_1)\partial\varpi(q_2) = +1. \tag{9.29}$$

9.4 Evaluation of the Antisymmetric Integral $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A$

We start from the expression (8.19) for $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A$ and make use of the explicit expressions for $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$ in (8.8) and (8.12). The derivative terms $\partial\Lambda$ of (8.8) cancel out in the combination of $\mathcal{I}$ that enters (8.19). The remaining terms are proportional to $\Lambda(i)$ with $i=1,2,3,4$ and to $\Lambda(q_\alpha)$. The result is

$$3\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) = \sum_{i=1}^4\tilde a_i\Lambda(i) - 6\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3)\mathcal{Z}_0\sum_\beta\Lambda(q_\beta)\partial\varpi(q_\beta)c_\beta^2 \tag{9.30}$$

We first concentrate on the terms in $\Lambda(i)$. Given the symmetries under the interchange of vertex points in $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A$, it suffices to compute the coefficient of a single $\Lambda(i)$ term, say $\Lambda(1)$. The coefficient for $\Lambda(1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde a_1 = \sum_\alpha\Big\{&-\rho_4\gamma(4;1,2;q_\alpha) - \rho_3\gamma(3;1,2;q_\alpha) + \rho_4\gamma(4;1,3;q_\alpha) \\ &+\rho_2\gamma(2;1,3;q_\alpha) - \rho_4G(4;3,2;q_\alpha,1) - \rho_3G(3;4,2;q_\alpha,1) \\ &+\rho_4G(4;2,3;q_\alpha,1) + \rho_2G(2;4,3;q_\alpha,1)\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{9.31}$$

Working out these expression in terms of Abelian differentials, using (8.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde a_1 = {}& 2\rho_1\tau_{23}(1) + 2\rho_2\tau_{14}(2) - 2\rho_3\tau_{14}(3) - 2\rho_4\tau_{23}(4) \\ &+\sum_\alpha\left[\rho_4\frac{\Delta(4,1)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,1)}\tau_{23}(q_\alpha) + \rho_3\frac{\Delta(3,2)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,2)}\tau_{14}(q_\alpha)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{9.32}$$

It is readily checked that $\tilde{a}_1$ is a well-defined, single-valued holomorphic 1-form in each z_i. For example, the simple pole in $z_1 - z_2$ from the first two terms has residue $2\rho_1 - 2\rho_2$ which vanishes at $z_1 = z_2$. Also, the apparent poles when $z_i \to q_\alpha$ are cancelled by the ρ_4 and ρ_3 factors, each of which contains a factor of $\varpi(z_i)$ which vanishes as $z_i \to q_\alpha$. The absence of monodromy in the z_i should of course follow since the starting point was a single-valued expression, but it may also be checked explicitly on the above formula.

Using the above result that $\tilde{a}_1$ is a single-valued holomorphic 1-form in each z_i, and using antisymmetry of $\tilde{a}_1$ under the interchange of 1 and 4 as well as under the interchange of 2 and 3, it is clear that the entire z_i-dependence must be proportional to $\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3)$. The same holds for each factor $\tilde{a}_i$.

$$\tilde{a}_i \;=\; a_i \Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \tag{9.33}$$

Here, a_i is now z_j-independent and, for $i = 1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \;=\; & 2\rho_1 \tau_{23}(1) + 2\rho_2 \tau_{14}(2) - 2\rho_3 \tau_{14}(3) - 2\rho_4 \tau_{23}(4) \\ & + \sum_\alpha \left[\rho_4 \frac{\Delta(4,1)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,1)} \tau_{23}(q_\alpha) + \rho_3 \frac{\Delta(3,2)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,2)} \tau_{14}(q_\alpha) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{9.34}$$

The points z_i are arbitrary, so the z_j-independent coefficient may be determined by choosing convenient special values for which $\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \neq 0$. We choose $z_4 = q_1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \Delta(1,q_1)\Delta(2,3) \;=\; & -\rho_4 \tau_{23}(q_1) + \rho_4 \frac{\Delta(q_1,1)}{\Delta(q_2,1)} \tau_{23}(q_2) \\ & + \varpi(1)\varpi(2) \frac{\Delta(3,2)}{\Delta(q_1,2)} \lim_{z_4 \to q_1} \varpi(z_4) \tau_{14}(q_1) \end{aligned} \tag{9.35}$$

The limit is readily evaluated and equals $\partial\varpi(q_1)$. Using $\rho_4 = \varpi(1)\varpi(2)\varpi(3)$ and (9.8), as well as a simplification by an overall factor of $\Delta(1,q_1)$, we obtain

$$a_1 \Delta(2,3) = \varpi(2)\varpi(3) \Big(c_1 \tau_{23}(q_1) - c_2 \tau_{23}(q_2) \Big) + c_1^2 \Delta(2,3) \partial\varpi(q_1) \tag{9.36}$$

The points 2 and 3 being still arbitrary, we make the choice $z_3 = q_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \Delta(2,q_1) \;&=\; c_1 \varpi(2) \lim_{z_3 \to q_1} \varpi(z_3) \tau_{23}(q_1) + c_1^2 \Delta(2,q_1) \partial\varpi(q_1) \\ &=\; c_1 \varpi(2) \partial\varpi(q_1) + c_1^2 \Delta(2,q_1) \partial\varpi(q_1) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{9.37}$$

Hence the coefficients a_i and thus $\tilde{a}_i$ all vanish. As a result, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) = -2\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3)\mathcal{Z}_0 \sum_{\beta=1}^{2} \Lambda(q_\beta) c_\beta^2 \partial\varpi(q_\beta) \tag{9.38}$$

Finally, we assemble this with the relation (9.9) between the $c_\alpha^2 \omega(q_\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$ and the formula (9.10) for $\Lambda(q_1) - \Lambda(q_2)$ to obtain,

$$\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) = \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{4\pi^2} \Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \mathcal{Z}_0 c_1 c_2 \partial \varpi(q_1) \varpi(q_2). \tag{9.39}$$

Using now the value of the overall normalization factor (9.29), we find

$$\mathcal{I}_{16}^A(1,4|2,3) = \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{4\pi^2} \Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3). \tag{9.40}$$

9.5 Cancellation of the kinematic invariant C_T

With the formula for $\mathcal{I}_{16}^A$ which we just obtained, it now clear that the result (6.9) holds, and that, using also (6.3), the equation (6.20) is verified, and thus all the terms involving the kinematic invariant C_T cancel.[9]

---

[9] That this cancellation should occur was suggested to us by John Schwarz.

10 Second Cancellation: Terms Involving $\partial\Lambda(z)$

In this section, we show that the contribution arising from the fermionic stress tensor which is proportional to the symmetric kinematical invariant K, namely $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi}$, is cancelled by the de Rham d-exact counterterms from $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}_5$.

From (7.23), it is clear that the entire contribution $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi}$ is proportional to $\mathcal{I}^S_{16}$, which in turn is proportional to the symmetrized version of the integral $\mathcal{I}$, as given in (8.17). We recall these formulas here for convenience,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) &= -\frac{1}{3}\Big(\mathcal{I}(1,2;3,4)+\mathcal{I}(1,3;2,4)+\mathcal{I}(1,4;2,3)\\&\qquad +\mathcal{I}(3,4;1,2)+\mathcal{I}(2,4;1,3)+\mathcal{I}(2,3;1,4)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{10.1}$$

where the function $\mathcal{I}$ has been calculated in (8.8), and was found to be given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= -2\rho_1\partial\Lambda(z_1)-2\rho_2\partial\Lambda(z_2)\\&\quad+\Big\{\Lambda(z_1)\rho_4\gamma(z_4;z_1;z_2;q_1)+\Lambda(z_1)\rho_3\gamma(z_3;z_1;z_2;q_1)\\&\qquad+\Lambda(z_2)\rho_4\gamma(z_4;z_2;z_1;q_1)+\Lambda(z_2)\rho_3\gamma(z_3;z_2;z_1;q_1)+(q_1\leftrightarrow q_2)\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

where we use of the following notation,

$$\gamma(x;p_1;p_2;q_\alpha)=\tau_{12}(x)-\frac{\Delta(x,p_1)}{\Delta(q_\alpha,p_1)}\tau_{12}(q_\alpha)+\frac{\varpi(x)}{\varpi(p_1)}\partial_{p_1}\ln\Big(\varpi(p_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E(p_1,p_2)\Big) \tag{10.3}$$

The effect of the symmetrization is given as follows,

$$\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) = \sum_{i=1}^4\Big(2\rho_i\partial\Lambda(z_i)-\frac{1}{3}\Lambda(z_i)F_i(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\Big). \tag{10.4}$$

The F_i are $(1,0)$-forms in each z_j. It suffices to examine F_1, as the expression for the remaining F_i may be obtained as the 3 cyclic permutations of F_1. We find,

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 &= +\rho_2\gamma(2;1,3;q_1)+\rho_2\gamma(2;1,4;q_1)\\&\quad+\rho_3\gamma(3;1,2;q_1)+\rho_3\gamma(3;1,4;q_1)\\&\quad+\rho_4\gamma(4;1,2;q_1)+\rho_4\gamma(4;1,3;q_1)+(q_1\leftrightarrow q_2)\end{aligned} \tag{10.5}$$

in the notation introduced in section §8.1.

10.1 F_1 is a single-valued holomorphic 1-form

It will be useful to write out this object in detail by representing γ by the above formula,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= 2\rho_2\tau_{13}(2) + 2\rho_2\tau_{14}(2) + 2\rho_3\tau_{12}(3) + 2\rho_3\tau_{14}(3) + 2\rho_4\tau_{12}(4) + 2\rho_4\tau_{13}(4) \\ &\quad +2\rho_1\partial_1 \ln\Big(\varpi(1)^3 E(1,2)E(1,3)E(1,4)\Big) \\ &\quad +\Delta(1,2)\varpi(3)\varpi(4)\Big(c_1\tau_{13}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{13}(q_2) + c_1\tau_{14}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{14}(q_2)\Big) \\ &\quad +\Delta(1,3)\varpi(2)\varpi(4)\Big(c_1\tau_{12}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{12}(q_2) + c_1\tau_{14}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{14}(q_2)\Big) \\ &\quad +\Delta(1,4)\varpi(2)\varpi(3)\Big(c_1\tau_{12}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{12}(q_2) + c_1\tau_{13}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{13}(q_2)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{10.6}$$

We claim that F_1 is a single-valued, holomorphic 1-form in each z_j. It is easy to show that all poles in F_1 cancel in each of the following limits : $z_2 \to z_1$, $z_2 \to z_3$, $z_1 \to q_1$, and $z_2 \to q_1$. By symmetry in the arguments, all other cases are equivalent to one of these. Checking cancellation of the monodromies is slightly more involved. Monodromies around homology cycles A_I are manifestly zero. Under $z_1 \to z_1 + B_I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\to F_1 + 8\pi i\rho_2\omega_I(2) + 8\pi i\rho_3\omega_I(3) + 8\pi i\rho_4\omega_I(4) - 24\pi i\rho_1\omega_I(1) \\ &\quad +4\pi i\Big(c_1\omega_I(q_1) + c_2\omega_I(q_2)\Big)\Big(\varpi(3)\varpi(4)\Delta(1,2) + \varpi(2)\varpi(4)\Delta(1,3) \\ &\qquad +\varpi(2)\varpi(3)\Delta(1,4)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

To show vanishing, we use the fact that $c_1\omega_I(q_1) = c_2\omega_I(q_2)$ and the following relation,

$$\rho_4\omega_I(1) - \rho_1\omega_I(4) + c_1\omega_I(q_1)\Delta(1,4)\varpi(2)\varpi(3) = 0 \tag{10.8}$$

The vanishing of the monodromy under $z_2 \to z_2 + B_I$, is shown with the same tools as for the z_1 monodromy.

10.2 Vanishing of F_1 at Two Generic Points

Since F_1 is a well-defined single-valued holomorphic 1-form in each of its arguments z_j, it is easy to show that it actually vanishes. To do so, it suffices to show that F_1, as a form in z_4, vanishes at q_1 (and thus automatically at q_2) and at z_1. The first is shown as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z_4\to q_1} F_1 &= \rho_4\tau_{12}(q_1) + \rho_4\tau_{13}(q_1) - \frac{c_2}{c_1}\rho_4\tau_{12}(q_2) - \frac{c_2}{c_1}\rho_4\tau_{13}(q_2) \\ &\quad +c_1\partial\varpi(q_1)\varpi(2)\Delta(1,3) + c_1\partial\varpi(q_1)\varpi(3)\Delta(1,2) \end{aligned} \tag{10.9}$$

This combination vanishes with the help of the following identity,

$$\varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\Big(c_1\tau_{12}(q_1) - c_2\tau_{12}(q_2)\Big) = -c_1^2\partial\varpi(q_1)\Delta(1,2) \tag{10.10}$$

Next, we take the limit $z_4 \to z_1$, which takes the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_1 = \frac{1}{\varpi(z_1)} \lim_{z_4 \to z_1} F_1 \quad = \quad & 2\varpi(2)\varpi(3)\partial_1 \ln \Big(\varpi(1) E(1,2) E(1,3) \Big) \\ & + 2\varpi(1)\varpi(2)\tau_{12}(3) + 2\varpi(1)\varpi(3)\tau_{13}(2) \\ & + \varpi(2)\Delta(1,3)(c_1\tau_{12}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{12}(q_2)) \\ & + \varpi(3)\Delta(1,2)(c_1\tau_{13}(q_1) + c_2\tau_{13}(q_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{10.11}$$

In a manner completely analogous to the one used for the original F_1, one easily shows that $\hat{F}_1$ is a well-defined, single-valued holomorphic 1-form in each of its arguments z_1, z_2, z_3. Finally, we show that $\hat{F}_1$ vanishes by evaluating it as $z_3 \to q_1$ and $z_3 \to z_1$. The latter is immediate, while the former holds by

$$\lim_{z_3 \to q_1} \hat{F}_1 = \varpi(1)\varpi(2)(\tau_{12}(q_1) - \frac{c_2}{c_1}\tau_{12}(q_2)) + \Delta(1,3)c_1\partial\varpi(q_1) = 0 \tag{10.12}$$

This completes the proof of the fact that F_1 is a single-valued, holomorphic 1-form in z_4, which vanishes at q_1 and z_1, and must therefore vanish identically, since the points q_1 and z_1 are generic and independent. As a result, we have $F_i = 0$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$ and we are left with the following simple result,

$$\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) = 2\sum_{i=1}^4 \rho_i \partial\Lambda(z_i), \tag{10.13}$$

or, altogether after replacing ρ_i by its definition $\rho_i = \mathcal{Z}_0 \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j)$,

$$\mathcal{I}^S_{15}(1,2,3,4) = -2\mathcal{I}^S_{16}(1,2,3,4) = -4\,\mathcal{Z}_0 \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial\Lambda(z_i) \prod_{j\neq i} \varpi(z_j) \tag{10.14}$$

which, together with (7.23) yields (6.8).

10.3 Cancellation of $\mathcal{Y}^\psi_{2S}$ and the $\partial\Lambda(z)$ counterterm

Recalling the formula (6.8), which was just established, it follows immediately $\sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta]\mathcal{Y}^S_{2\psi}$ cancels the counterterm proportional to $\partial\Lambda(z_i)$ in the formula (6.19) for the holomorphic amplitude $\mathcal{H}$. This is the second cancellation announced earlier in (6.21).

11 Recombining Mechanism of the ϖ, μ, and Λ

We come now to the remaining three terms in the expression (6.19) for the holomorphic amplitude $\mathcal{H}$, whose origins are respectively the insertions of the two supercurrents from $\mathcal{Y}_1$ when the two fermions in the supercurrents are contracted with one another, the deformation of complex structures resulting from the bosonic stress tensor T_x in $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$, and the $\Lambda(z)$ part of the counterterms in $\mathcal{Y}_5$ arising from completing the de Rham d-exact differentials. The explicit expressions are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\rightarrow -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\mathcal{Z}_0 K \left\langle Q(p_I)\partial x_+^\mu(q_1)\partial x_+^\mu(q_2)\prod_l e^{ik_l\cdot x_+(z_l)}\right\rangle_{(c)}\prod_i \varpi(z_i) \\
\mathcal{Y}_2 &\rightarrow \frac{1}{8\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K\int_w \mu(w)\left\langle Q(p_I)\partial x_+^\mu(w)\partial x_+^\mu(w)\prod_l e^{ik_l\cdot x_+(z_l)}\right\rangle_{(c)}\prod_i \varpi(z_i) \\
\mathcal{Y}_5 &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K\sum_j \Lambda(z_j)\,\left\langle Q(p_I)\, ik_j^\mu\partial x_+^\mu(z_j)\prod_l e^{ik_l\cdot x_+(z_l)}\right\rangle\prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{11.1}$$

The first term depends on the gauge choice $\varpi(z)$, while the other two terms depend on both the gauge choices $\varpi(z)$ and $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$. Note that the points q_1 and q_2 depend on $\varpi(z)$, while $\Lambda(z)$ depends on both $\varpi(z)$ and $\hat\mu_{\bar z}{}^z$. We shall show now how all these gauge choices cancel, upon summing the above three terms, leading to a gauge-independent formula for the holomorphic amplitude $\mathcal{H}$.

11.1 Terms bilinear in p_I

These arise in the above contributions $\mathcal{Y}_1$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$, from the contractions of ∂x_+ with $Q(p_I)$. We use the contraction formula

$$Q(p_I)\,\partial x_+^\mu(z) = 2\pi p_I^\mu\omega_I(z)Q(p_I) \tag{11.2}$$

and obtain the following contributions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\rightarrow -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K 4\pi^2 p_I^\mu p_J^\mu\omega_I(q_1)\omega_J(q_2)\left\langle Q(p_I)\prod_l e^{ik_l\cdot x_+(z_l)}\right\rangle\prod_i \varpi(z_i) \\
\mathcal{Y}_{2x} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{8\pi}\mathcal{Z}_0\, K\int \mu(w)4\pi^2 p_I^\mu p_J^\mu\omega_I(w)\omega_J(w)\left\langle Q(p_I)\prod_l e^{ik_l\cdot x_+(z_l)}\right\rangle\prod_i \varpi(z_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{11.3}$$

Using the defining relation (2.10) for μ, the sum of these two terms vanishes.

11.2 Terms linear in p_I

These terms arise from $\mathcal{Y}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$, and $\mathcal{Y}_5$ and have the following factor in common,

$$\mathcal{Z}_0\, K \left\langle Q(p_I) \prod_l e^{ik_l \cdot x_+(z_l)} \right\rangle \tag{11.4}$$

which we omit to write below. The terms are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\rightarrow i\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{16\pi} \sum_j p_I \cdot k_j \Big(\omega_I(q_1)\partial_{q_2} \ln E(q_2, z_j) + \omega_I(q_2)\partial_{q_1} \ln E(q_1, z_j) \Big) \prod_i \varpi(z_i) \\
\mathcal{Y}_{2x} &\rightarrow -\frac{i}{2} \sum_j p_I \cdot k_j \int \mu(w)\omega_I(w)\partial_w \ln E(w, z_j) \prod_i \varpi(z_i) \\
\mathcal{Y}_5 &\rightarrow -i\pi \sum_j p_I \cdot k_j \Lambda(z_j)\, \omega_I(z_j) \prod_{i \neq j} \varpi(z_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{11.5}$$

Note that the w-integral in $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$ is over a single-valued integrand in view of the summation over k_j with $\sum_j k_j = 0$. On the other hand, the function $\Lambda(z_j)$ is defined only up to an additive constant. For our present purposes, it is convenient to write it as

$$\Lambda(z) = \Lambda(w_0) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mu(w)\varpi(w)\partial_w \ln \frac{E(w, z)}{E(w, w_0)}, \tag{11.6}$$

where w_0 is an auxiliary point. Under a change of slice, $\delta\mu(w) = \partial_{\bar{w}} v(w)$, we have

$$\delta\Lambda(z) = v(z)\varpi(z) + \delta\Lambda(w_0) - v(w_0)\varpi(w_0) \tag{11.7}$$

To recover the naive transformation law, one would have to require $\delta\Lambda(w_0) = v(w_0)\varpi(w_0)$.

In $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$, the integral of $\mu(w)$ is against a 2-form which is single-valued in w, but which is not holomorphic, since there are poles at the insertion points z_j. These are cancelled by the contribution from $\mathcal{Y}_5$. Therefore, it is advantageous to combine $\mathcal{Y}_{2x}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_5$. We shall seek to carry out the integration over w explicitly by recasting the integrand as $\mu(w)$ times a single-valued holomorphic 2-from in w. The starting point is

$$\mathcal{Y}_{2x} + \mathcal{Y}_5 \rightarrow -\frac{i}{2} \int \mu(w)\phi_I(w; z_j, w_0) - i\pi\Lambda(w_0) \sum_j p_I \cdot k_j \prod_{i \neq j} \varpi(z_i)\omega_I(z_j) \tag{11.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_I(w; z_j, w_0) = \sum_j p_I \cdot k_j \prod_{i \neq j} \varpi(z_i) \Big(& \varpi(z_j)\omega_I(w)\partial_w \ln E(w, z_j) \\
& - \omega_I(z_j)\varpi(w)\partial_w \ln \frac{E(w, z_j)}{E(w, w_0)} \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{11.9}$$

The w-integral still depends on the slice choice of $\mu(w)$ since the single-valued 2-form $\phi_I(w;z_j,w_0)$ is not holomorphic, but has a single simple pole at $w=w_0$, with residue

$$\sum_j p_I\cdot k_j \prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i)\omega_I(z_j)\varpi(w_0) \tag{11.10}$$

We define the Green function $G_2(w,w_0)$ to be of type $(2,0)$ in w and $(-1,0)$ in w_0, with a single pole at $w=w_0$, and unit residue. It satisfies,

$$\partial_{\bar w}G_2(w,w_0)=2\pi\delta(w,w_0) \tag{11.11}$$

This Green function is not unique since one may always add a holomorphic 2 form in w without changing the defining equations, but these differences will be immaterial for our purposes. We rearrange the above combination as follows,

$$\phi_I(w;z_j,w_0)=\phi_I^{(h)}(w;z_j,w_0)+G_2(w,w_0)\varpi(w_0)\sum_j p_I\cdot k_j\omega_I(z_j)\prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i) \tag{11.12}$$

By construction, $\phi_I^{(h)}(w;z_j,w_0)$ is a single-valued holomorphic 2-form in w,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_I^{(h)}(w;z_j,w_0) \quad = \quad & \sum_j p_I\cdot k_j\prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i)\Big(\varpi(z_j)\omega_I(w)\partial_w\ln E(w,z_j)\\ & \qquad -\omega_I(z_j)\varpi(w)\partial_w\ln\frac{E(w,z_j)}{E(w,w_0)}\\ & \qquad -\omega_I(z_j)G_2(w,w_0)\varpi(w_0)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{11.13}$$

Thus, its integral against μ is independent of the choice for μ. Once again, when this is the case, it can be correctly evaluated using point insertions for μ. A convenient choice is

$$\mu(w)=\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\frac{c_1}{c_2}\delta(w,q_1)+\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\frac{c_2}{c_1}\delta(w,q_2) \tag{11.14}$$

As a result, and using $c_1\omega_I(q_1)=c_2\omega_I(q_2)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\mu(w)\phi_I^{(h)}(w;z_j,w_0) \qquad (11.15)\\ &\quad=\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\sum_j p_I\cdot k_j\prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i)\Big\{-\omega_I(z_j)\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}G_2(q_1,w_0)+\frac{c_2}{c_1}G_2(q_2,w_0)\right)\varpi(w_0)\\ &\qquad\qquad +\varpi(z_j)\omega_I(q_1)\partial_{q_2}\ln E(q_2,z_j)+\varpi(z_j)\omega_I(q_2)\partial_{q_1}\ln E(q_1,z_j)\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

The combination in the last line above is cancelled by $\mathcal{Y}_1$. Thus we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_1+\mathcal{Y}_{2x}+\mathcal{Y}_5 \quad &\to \quad \frac{i}{2}\sum_j p_I\cdot k_j\prod_{i\neq j}\varpi(z_i)\omega_I(z_j)\Big(\mathcal{C}(w_0,q_1,q_2)-\int\mu(w)G_2(w,w_0)\varpi(w_0)\Big)\\ \mathcal{C}(w_0,q_1,q_2) \quad &= \quad -2\pi\Lambda(w_0)+\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2}G_2(q_1,w_0)+\frac{c_2}{c_1}G_2(q_2,w_0)\right)\varpi(w_0)\end{aligned}$$

Now the choice of $\Lambda(w_0)$ is at our disposal, and the simplest procedure is to choose it to cancel $\mathcal{C}$, namely,

$$2\pi \frac{\Lambda(w_0)}{\varpi(w_0)} = \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{8\pi}\Big(\frac{c_1}{c_2}G_2(q_1,w_0) + \frac{c_2}{c_1}G_2(q_2,w_0)\Big) - \int \mu(w) G_2(w,w_0) \tag{11.16}$$

Notice that this choice yields the simple transformation law $\delta\Lambda(w_0) = v(w_0)\varpi(w_0)$. With it, the terms linear in p_I cancel. (Alternatively, $\Lambda(w_0)$ can be kept arbitrary. In that case, it produces a de Rham d-exact differential, when combined with similar contributions from the terms of order 0 in the internal momenta p_I to be derived later. This de Rham d-exact differential can be absorbed in the other de Rham d-exact differentials in the expression (1.12) for the full chiral amplitude $\sum_\delta \mathcal{B}[\delta]$.)

11.3 Terms independent of p_I

We again omit the common factor (11.4), and denote the corresponding equalities by →. The contractions then yield the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &\to \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\prod_l \varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j\,\partial_{q_1}\ln E(q_1,z_i)\,\partial_{q_2}\ln E(q_2,z_i)\\
\mathcal{Y}_{2x} &\to -\frac{1}{8\pi}\prod_l \varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \int \mu(w)\partial_w \ln E(w,z_i)\,\partial_w \ln E(w,z_i)\\
\mathcal{Y}_5 &\to -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\Lambda(z_j)\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{11.17}$$

The combination $\mathcal{Y}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_5$ is gauge slice-independent. We regroup terms in terms of the 2-form in w occurring in the integral over w,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(w;z_i,w_0) &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\prod_l \varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j\,\partial_w \ln E(w,z_i)\,\partial_w \ln E(w,z_i) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i)\,\varpi(w)\partial_w \ln\frac{E(w,z_i)}{E(w,w_0)}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.18}$$

Because of the conservation of momenta $\sum_i k_i = 0$, ϕ is a well-defined, single-valued 2-form in w. The poles at $w = z_i$ cancel between the first and the second terms, and only a single pole remains at $w = w_0$ with residue

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i)\,\varpi(w_0) \tag{11.19}$$

In the same spirit as the manipulations for the terms linear in p_I, we introduce the holomorphic 2-form,

$$\phi^{(h)}(w;z_i,w_0) = \phi(w;z_i,w_0) + \frac{1}{4\pi} G_2(w,w_0)\varpi(w_0)\prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j\,\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i) \tag{11.20}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{2x}+\mathcal{Y}_5 \;\sim\; & \int \mu(w)\phi^{(h)}(w;z_i,w_0) \\ & -\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(w_0) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\varpi(w_0)\int \mu(w)G_2(w,w_0)\Big)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i) \end{aligned} \tag{11.21}$$

Making again the choice (11.16) as we did for the terms linear in p_I, the last term simplifies, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{2x}+\mathcal{Y}_5 \;\to\; & \int \mu(w)\phi^{(h)}(w;z_i,w_0) - \frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{32\pi^2}\Big(\frac{c_1}{c_2}G_2(q_1,w_0) \\ & + \frac{c_2}{c_1}G_2(q_2,w_0)\Big)\varpi(w_0)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j \prod_{l\neq j}\varpi(z_l)\partial_{z_j}\ln E(z_j,z_i) \end{aligned} \tag{11.22}$$

Since $\phi^{(h)}$ is well-defined, single-valued and holomorphic in w, we may choose μ as we did earlier, and compute the w-integral explicitly. The term involving G_2 in (11.20) cancels the entire last term in (11.22), and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{2x}+\mathcal{Y}_5 \;\to\; & -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{64\pi^2}\prod_l \varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j\,\Big(\frac{c_1}{c_2}\partial_{q_1}\ln E(q_1,z_i)\,\partial_{q_1}\ln E(q_1,z_i) \\ & + \frac{c_2}{c_1}\partial_{q_2}\ln E(q_2,z_i)\,\partial_{q_2}\ln E(q_2,z_i)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{11.23}$$

This result nicely combines with $\mathcal{Y}_1$, and may be expressed as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1+\mathcal{Y}_{2x}+\mathcal{Y}_5 \;\to\; & -\frac{\zeta^1\zeta^2}{64\pi^2}\prod_l \varpi(z_l)\sum_{i,j} k_i\cdot k_j\,\frac{1}{c_1c_2} \\ & \times \prod_{\ell=i,j}\Big(c_1\partial_{q_1}\ln E(q_1,z_\ell) - c_2\partial_{q_2}\ln E(q_2,z_\ell)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{11.24}$$

In view of the sum $\sum_i k_i = 0$, we may let

$$\partial_{q_\alpha}\ln E(q_\alpha,z_\ell) \to \partial_{q_\alpha}\ln\frac{E(q_\alpha,z_\ell)}{E(q_\alpha,w_1)} \qquad \alpha=1,2 \tag{11.25}$$

for an arbitrary point w_1. We then use the relation,

$$c_1 \partial_{q_1} \ln \frac{E(q_1, z_\ell)}{E(q_1, w_1)} - c_2 \partial_{q_2} \ln \frac{E(q_2, z_\ell)}{E(q_2, w_1)} = -c_1^2 \partial \varpi(q_1) \frac{\Delta(z_\ell, w_1)}{\varpi(z_\ell)\varpi(w_1)} \tag{11.26}$$

and, using $-c_1^2 \partial \varpi(q_1) = +c_2^2 \partial \varpi(q_2)$, we get

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_{2x} + \mathcal{Y}_5 \to \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{64\pi^2} c_1 c_2 \partial \varpi(q_1) \partial \varpi(q_2) \, \mathcal{Y}_S \tag{11.27}$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}_S = \prod_l \varpi(z_l) \sum_{i,j} k_i \cdot k_j \frac{\Delta(z_i, w_1)\Delta(z_j, w_1)}{\varpi(z_i)\varpi(z_j)\varpi(w_1)^2} \tag{11.28}$$

Of course, this entire combination is in fact independent of w_1. Restoring the factor (11.4) and using (9.29), we recover the formula of (1.15) and (1.14).

11.3.1 Alternative formulas for $\mathcal{Y}_S$

We may evaluate $\mathcal{Y}_S$ in (11.28) more explicitly in terms of the Mandelstam variables,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= -(k_1 + k_2)^2 = -2k_1 \cdot k_2 = -2k_3 \cdot k_4 \\ t &= -(k_2 + k_3)^2 = -2k_2 \cdot k_3 = -2k_1 \cdot k_4 \\ u &= -(k_1 + k_3)^2 = -2k_1 \cdot k_3 = -2k_2 \cdot k_4 \end{aligned} \tag{11.29}$$

Since $\mathcal{Y}_S$ in (11.28) is independent of w_1 we may set $w_1 = z_4$. Using the following simple identity,

$$\varpi(3)\Delta(2,4) - \varpi(2)\Delta(3,4) = \varpi(4)\Delta(2,3) \tag{11.30}$$

and permutations thereof, as well as the relation $s + t + u = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 3\mathcal{Y}_S &= (t-u)\Delta(1,2)\Delta(3,4) + (s-t)\Delta(1,3)\Delta(4,2) + (u-s)\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \\ &= +(k_1 - k_2) \cdot (k_3 - k_4)\Delta(1,2)\Delta(3,4) \\ &\quad +(k_1 - k_3) \cdot (k_2 - k_4)\Delta(1,3)\Delta(2,4) \\ &\quad +(k_1 - k_4) \cdot (k_2 - k_3)\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) \end{aligned} \tag{11.31}$$

which are manifestly totally symmetric under the interchange of any points. One may prefer the non-manifestly symmetric form,

$$\mathcal{Y}_S = -s\Delta(1,4)\Delta(2,3) + t\Delta(1,2)\Delta(3,4) \tag{11.32}$$

Thus we have obtained the desired formula (6.22).

The derivation of the formulas (1.14) and (1.15) for the gauge-fixed chiral superstring amplitude is now complete.

12 The 4-Point Function for the Heterotic String

We shall use a formulation of the Heterotic string in terms of internal chiral worldsheet fermions $\lambda^I(z)$ for $I = 1, \cdots, 32$ [23]. For the case of $HO = Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$, all 32 fermions have the same spin structure κ, while for $HE = E_8 \times E_8$, the 32 fermions are split into two groups of 16, with the same spin structures κ_1 and κ_2 within each group.

12.1 Correlators of Internal Fermions

For both HO and HE, the gauge currents are

$$j^a(z) = \frac{1}{2} T^a_{IJ} \lambda^I \lambda^J(z) \tag{12.1}$$

where the T^a_{IJ} are representation matrices of $SO(32)$ for HO and of the $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ subgroup for HE. (Spin fields of $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ will be required to represent those roots of $E_8 \times E_8$ that do not lie within $SO(16) \times SO(16)$, but we shall not need them here.) The correlator required for the R^2F^2 contributions is

$$\langle j^{a_1}(z_1) j^{a_2}(z_2) \rangle_\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_2)^2 \tag{12.2}$$

The one required for the F^4 terms is more complicated and will depend upon whether we consider the HO or HE theory. The first case is when all 4 external gauge particles lie within the same gauge group, which is always true for the HO theory. All fermions then have the same spin structure κ, and the correlator is given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 j^{a_i}(z_i) \rangle_\kappa = & + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2}) \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_3} T^{a_4}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_2)^2 S_\kappa(z_3, z_4)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_3}) \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_4}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_3)^2 S_\kappa(z_2, z_4)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_4}) \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_2} T^{a_3}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_4)^2 S_\kappa(z_2, z_3)^2 \\ & - \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_2) S_\kappa(z_2, z_3) S_\kappa(z_3, z_4) S_\kappa(z_4, z_1) \\ & - \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_4} T^{a_3}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_2) S_\kappa(z_2, z_4) S_\kappa(z_4, z_3) S_\kappa(z_4, z_1) \\ & - \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_3} T^{a_2} T^{a_4}) S_\kappa(z_1, z_3) S_\kappa(z_3, z_2) S_\kappa(z_2, z_4) S_\kappa(z_4, z_1) \end{aligned} \tag{12.3}$$

The second case occurs only for the HE theory, when two external states (say 1,2) belong to the first E_8, while the other two (3,4) belong to the second E_8. The first group of fermions has spin structure κ_1, while the second will have κ_2, which are independent of one another. Since mixed traces then vanish, we are left with

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 j^{a_i}(z_i) \rangle_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} = + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2}) \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_3} T^{a_4}) S_{\kappa_1}(z_1, z_2)^2 S_{\kappa_2}(z_3, z_4)^2 \tag{12.4}$$

The spin structure summations involve, for $k = 1, 2$, the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{4k} &= \sum_\kappa \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{8k} && (12.5)\\
F^{(2)}_{4k}(z_1,z_2) &= \sum_\kappa \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{8k} S_\kappa(z_1,z_2)^2 \\
F^{(2,2)}_{4k}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\kappa \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{8k} S_\kappa(z_1,z_2)^2 S_\kappa(z_3,z_4)^2 \\
F^{(4)}_{4k}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) &= \sum_\kappa \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{8k} S_\kappa(z_1,z_2) S_\kappa(z_2,z_3) S_\kappa(z_3,z_4) S_\kappa(z_4,z_1)
\end{aligned}$$

We recognize Ψ_{4k} as the familiar modular forms of weight $4k$. The quantity $F^{(2)}_{4k}$ is easily computed using the Fay identity,

$$S_\kappa(z,w)^2 = \partial_z \partial_w \ln E(z,w) + \omega_I(z)\omega_J(w)\partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\kappa](0)/\vartheta[\kappa](0) \qquad (12.6)$$

Using the heat equation for ϑ, we have $\partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\kappa](0) = 4\pi i \partial_{IJ}\vartheta[\kappa](0)$, where ∂_{IJ} denotes the symmetrized derivative with respect to Ω_{IJ}. As a result, we have

$$F^{(2)}_{4k}(z_1,z_2) = \Psi_{4k}\partial_z \partial_w \ln E(z_1,z_2) + \frac{4\pi i}{8k}\omega_I(z_1)\omega_J(z_2)\partial_{IJ}\Psi_{4k}. \qquad (12.7)$$

12.2 The F^4 and F^2F^2 Amplitudes

The partition functions for the internal fermions in the HO and HE theories are given respectively by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{HO} = \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{16} \qquad\qquad \mathcal{Z}_{HE} = \vartheta[\kappa_1](0)^8 \vartheta[\kappa_2](0)^8. \qquad (12.8)$$

The correlators of the internal fermions are derived from the general formulas of the previous section. The spin structure summed gauge current correlator are denoted by $\mathcal{W}$.

For the $O(32)$ Heterotic string, where all 4 external particles are in the same gauge group, and the chiral fermions have the same spin structure κ, we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{HO}_{(F^4)}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = \sum_\kappa \vartheta[\kappa](0)^{16} \; \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 j^{a_i}(z_i)\rangle_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \qquad (12.9)$$

and hence, in terms of the functions $F^{(2,2)}_{4k}$ and $F^{(4)}_{4k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}^{HO}_{(F^4)}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = &+\frac{1}{4}\Big\{ \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2})\mathrm{tr}(T^{a_3}T^{a_4}) \; F^{(2,2)}_8(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) \\
&\qquad + \text{cyclic permutations of } (234) \Big\} \\
&- \Big\{ \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}T^{a_4}) \; F^{(4)}_8(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \\
&\qquad + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3) \Big\}. \qquad (12.10)
\end{aligned}$$

For the $E_8 \times E_8$ Heterotic string, we have to consider two possible cases $\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{F^4}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ and $\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^2F^2)}(z_1, z_2|z_3, z_4)$, corresponding respectively to the case where all 4 gauge particles lie in the same E_8, and the case where the gauge particles 1,2 lie in the first E_8, and the gauge particles 3,4 lie in the second. In the first case, we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^4)}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \;=\; \sum_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \vartheta[\kappa_1](0)^8 \vartheta[\kappa_2](0)^8 \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 j^{a_i}(z_i)\rangle_{\kappa_1} \tag{12.11}$$

resulting into

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^4)}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \;=\; & +\frac{1}{4}\Big\{ \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2})\mathrm{tr}(T^{a_3}T^{a_4})\,\Psi_4\, F_4^{(2,2)}(z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4) \\ & \qquad + \text{cyclic permutations of } (234) \Big\} \\ & - \Big\{ \mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}T^{a_4})\,\Psi_4\, F_4^{(4)}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \\ & \qquad + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) + (2 \leftrightarrow 3) \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12.12}$$

In the second case, we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^2F^2)}(z_1, z_2|z_3, z_4) = \sum_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \vartheta[\kappa_1](0)^8 \vartheta[\kappa_2](0)^8 \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 j^{a_i}(z_i)\rangle_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}, \tag{12.13}$$

resulting into

$$\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^2F^2)}(z_1, z_2|z_3, z_4) = \frac{1}{4}\, tr(T^{a_1}T^{a_2})\, tr(T^{a_3}T^{a_4})\; F_4^{(2)}(z_1, z_2)\, F_4^{(2)}(z_3, z_4). \tag{12.14}$$

Now the contribution of the chiral half of the bosonic string in 10 dimensions for the exponential part $\prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot x(z_i)}$ of 4 massless bosons of momenta k_i, at fixed internal momentum p_I^μ, is given by[10]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{BOS}(z_i, k_i; p_I^\mu) = \frac{\prod_{I \leq J} d\Omega_{IJ}}{\pi^{12}\Psi_{10}(\Omega)} \exp\Big\{ i\pi p_I^\mu \Omega_{IJ} p_J^\mu + i p_I^\mu \sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \int^{z_i} \omega_I \Big\} \prod_{i<j} E(z_i, z_j)^{k_i \cdot k_j} \tag{12.15}$$

With this convention, we obtain the Heterotic F^4 and F^2F^2 amplitudes by combining (12.15) with the contributions of the internal fermions as described in (12.10-12.14), matching with the anti-holomorphic contribution from the Type II superstrings at the same internal momenta p_I^μ, and integrating out the p_I^μ. The net effect of integrating out the p_I^μ is to shift the multi-valued expression $\ln |E(z_i, z_j)|^2$ to the single-valued expression $G(z_i, z_j)$ defined in (1.21). The final expression for the scattering of 4 gauge bosons is then given by the formula (1.22) quoted in the Introduction.

---

[10] In assembling left and right chiral halfs, we shall follow the standard convention for Heterotic string nomenclature, and identify *left-movers = holomorphic = bosonic string chiral half*, and *right-movers = anti-holomorphic = superstring chiral half.*

12.3 The R^2F^2 amplitude

Next, we consider the scattering of two gravitons and two gauge bosons. Again, for the scattering of two gauge bosons, we have two formulas for the correlator $\mathcal{W}_{(F^2)}(z_1,z_2)$ of internal fermions, depending on whether we consider the $Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ theory or the $E_8\times E_8$ theory. They are given respectively by,

$$\mathcal{W}^{HO}_{(F^2)}(z_1,z_2) \;=\; +\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2})\, F_8^{(2)}(z_1,z_2) \qquad (12.16)$$

and by

$$\mathcal{W}^{HE}_{(F^2)}(z_1,z_2) \;=\; +\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2})\Psi_4\, F_4^{(2)}(z_1,z_2). \qquad (12.17)$$

Putting together left and right moving parts of the Heterotic amplitude at fixed moduli and vertex insertion points, but integrating over the internal momenta, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_4(1,2,3,4) \;&=\; \mathcal{W}_{(F^2)}(3,4)\,\overline{\mathcal{Y}_S(1,2,3,4)}\prod_{i<j}|E(i,j)|^{2k_i\cdot k_j} \qquad (12.18)\\ &\times\int d^{20}p\,\mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^2)}(1,2)\left|\exp\{i\pi p_I\Omega_{IJ}p_J-2i\pi p_I\sum_i k_i\int^{z_i}\omega_I\}\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have abbreviated the insertion points by their label, $i\equiv z_i$. The graviton part of the bosonic left moving side is given by

$$\mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^2)}(1,2)=-\epsilon_1^\mu\epsilon_2^\mu\partial_1\partial_2\ln E(1,2)+\prod_{\ell=1,2}\Big(2\pi\epsilon_\ell^\mu p_I^\mu\omega_I(\ell)-i\sum_i\epsilon_\ell^\mu k_i^\mu\partial_\ell\ln E(\ell,i)\Big) \qquad (12.19)$$

To carry out the integral over p, we first introduce the shifted variable $\tilde{p}$,

$$\tilde{p}_I^\mu=p_I^\mu+\{(\mathrm{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\}_{IJ}\sum_i k_i^\mu\mathrm{Im}\int^{z_i}\omega_J \qquad (12.20)$$

and use the familiar Gaussian integral formula,

$$\int d^{20}\tilde{p}\;\exp\Big\{-2\pi\tilde{p}_I^\mu\tilde{p}_J^\mu\mathrm{Im}\Omega_{IJ}+b_I^\mu\tilde{p}_I^\mu\Big\}=\frac{1}{[\det(2\mathrm{Im}\Omega)]^5}\exp\Big\{\frac{b_I^\mu b_J^\mu}{8\pi}\{(\mathrm{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\}_{IJ}\Big\} \qquad (12.21)$$

In terms of the single-valued scalar Green function $G(z,w)$, the above integral may be re-expressed as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d^{20}p\,\mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^2)}(1,2)\;\exp\Big\{-2\pi p_Ip_J\mathrm{Im}\Omega_{IJ}-4\pi p_I\sum_i k_i\mathrm{Im}\int^i\omega_I\Big\}\prod_{i<j}|E(i,j)|^{2k_i\cdot k_j}\\ &\qquad=(\det\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-5}\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)\prod_{i<j}e^{-k_i\cdot k_jG(i,j)} \qquad (12.22)\end{aligned}$$

where the Heterotic graviton part is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2) = \epsilon_1^\mu \epsilon_2^\mu \partial_1 \partial_2 G(1,2) - \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_1^\mu k_i^\mu \epsilon_2^\nu k_j^\nu \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j) \tag{12.23}$$

As a result, the full amplitude becomes,

$$\mathcal{A}_4(1,2,3,4) = (\det \operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-5}\, \mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)\, \mathcal{W}_{(F^2)}(3,4)\, \overline{\mathcal{Y}_S(1,2,3,4)} \prod_{i<j} e^{-k_i\cdot k_j G(i,j)}, \tag{12.24}$$

and hence we obtain the formula (1.23), upon substituting in the suitable expression (12.16) or (12.17) for the corresponding Heterotic theory and integrating over insertion points and moduli.

12.4 The R^2F^2 amplitude in terms of f_i

It is possible to recast the amplitude in terms of the $f_{1,2}^{\mu\nu}$ tensors, which makes gauge invariance explicit, up to exact differential terms, which may be omitted. To do this, we start from the definition, $f_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu - \epsilon_i^\nu k_i^\mu$ for $i = 1, 2$ and use the contraction with any generic momentum ℓ^ν to extract ϵ_i up to a gauge transformation,

$$(k_i \cdot \ell)\epsilon_i^\mu = f_i^{\mu\nu} \ell^\nu + (\epsilon_i \cdot \ell) k_i^\mu \tag{12.25}$$

Since the bosonic side of the Heterotic string is essentially half of the bosonic string, one cannot expect to recast it all by itself in terms of f_i without introducing poles in the kinematic variables s, t, u. Physically, the reason that such poles are required finds its origin in the fact that 2- and 3-point functions in the purely bosonic string do have non-zero loop corrections. The kinematic poles represent 1-particle reducible parts that correspond to such corrections.

For the full Heterotic string, however, the superstring side produces a factor linear in s, t, u and these factors have the potential of canceling the poles from the bosonic side. We now show how this works out. Since the R^2F^2 amplitude has a preferred channel (here taken to be the s-channel), we shall work out the combinations $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ and $(t-u)\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$, which suffice to reconstruct the full amplitude, using the conservation of momentum and the second form for $\mathcal{Y}_S$ in (1.16).

12.4.1 $s\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ in terms of f_i

From now on in this section, we change notations slightly and denote by the same symbol $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ the chiral amplitude found in (12.23) together with the factor

$$\mathcal{E} = \exp\Big(- \sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j \, G(z_i, z_j) \Big). \tag{12.26}$$

This should cause no confusion, and it avoids a proliferation of new symbols.

The starting point for the derivation of a formula for $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ in terms of f_i is

$$\begin{aligned} s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2) &= -2(k_1\cdot k_2)(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2)\,\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\,\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad +2\sum_{i,j}(k_1\cdot k_2)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)\,\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.27}$$

We re-express the kinematic combination under the sum as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} 2(k_1\cdot k_2)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j) &= -2k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho + (k_2\cdot k_j)X_i^{(1)} + (k_1\cdot k_i)X_j^{(2)} \\ X_i^{(1)} &= 2(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_1) - (k_1\cdot k_i)(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2) \\ X_j^{(2)} &= 2(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_2) - (k_2\cdot k_j)(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2) \end{aligned} \tag{12.28}$$

The combination of the X-terms may be re-arranged by adding a total derivative form. We then find,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}(k_1\cdot k_i)X_j^{(2)}\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\mathcal{E} &= X_1^{(2)}\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\mathcal{E} - \partial_1\Big(\sum_j X_j^{(2)}\partial_2 G(2,j)\mathcal{E}\Big) \\ \sum_{i,j}(k_2\cdot k_j)X_i^{(1)}\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\mathcal{E} &= X_2^{(1)}\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\mathcal{E} - \partial_2\Big(\sum_i X_i^{(1)}\partial_1 G(1,i)\mathcal{E}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of the double derivative terms combine as follows,

$$-2(k_1\cdot k_2)(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2) + X_2^{(1)} + X_1^{(2)} = 2(f_1 f_2) = -2f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\mu\nu} \tag{12.29}$$

so that, up to total derivative terms, the full contribution takes the form,

$$s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} = 2(f_1 f_2)\partial_1\partial_2 G_s(1,2)\,\mathcal{E} - 2\sum_{i,j} k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} \tag{12.30}$$

12.4.2 $(t-u)\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ in terms of f_i

To begin, we derive the following product re-arrangements,

$$\begin{aligned} (t-u)\,\epsilon_1^\mu &= +2f_1^{\mu\nu}k_{34}^\nu + 2(\epsilon_1\cdot k_{34})k_1^\mu \\ (t-u)\,\epsilon_2^\mu &= -2f_2^{\mu\nu}k_{34}^\nu - 2(\epsilon_2\cdot k_{34})k_2^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{12.31}$$

using the notation

$$k_{ij}^\mu = k_i^\mu - k_j^\mu. \tag{12.32}$$

These formulas are the starting point of our rearrangements, namely replacing in each term half by rearranging ϵ_1 and the other half by rearranging ϵ_2 according to the above formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(t-u)\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= \Big(\epsilon_2^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu - \epsilon_1^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu + (\epsilon_1\cdot k_{34})(\epsilon_2\cdot k_1) \\ &\qquad\qquad -(\epsilon_2\cdot k_{34})(\epsilon_1\cdot k_2)\Big)\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\,\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad -\sum_{i,j}\Big\{k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu + (\epsilon_1\cdot k_{34})(k_1\cdot k_i)\Big\}(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad +\sum_{i,j}\Big\{k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu + (\epsilon_2\cdot k_{34})(k_2\cdot k_j)\Big\}(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E}\end{aligned} \tag{12.33}$$

Using the derivative formulas,

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{i,j}(k_1\cdot k_i)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} &= \partial_1\Big(\sum_j(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E}\Big) \\ &\quad -(\epsilon_2\cdot k_1)\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\,\mathcal{E} \\ +\sum_{i,j}(k_2\cdot k_j)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} &= -\partial_2\Big(\sum_i(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)\partial_1 G(1,i)\,\mathcal{E}\Big) \\ &\quad +(\epsilon_1\cdot k_2)\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\,\mathcal{E}\end{aligned} \tag{12.34}$$

and neglecting the total derivative terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t-u)\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= \Big(\epsilon_2^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu - \epsilon_1^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu\Big)\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\,\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad -\sum_{i,j}(k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_j)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad +\sum_{i,j}(k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_i)\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j)\,\mathcal{E}\end{aligned} \tag{12.35}$$

In this intermediate formula half of the ϵ's were replaced by f's but half were not.

We now make a key observation which allows us to also recast the remaining ϵ's in terms of f's without introducing kinematic poles. The observation is that

$$\begin{aligned}k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu &= k_2\cdot p_i \\ k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu &= k_1\cdot q_j\end{aligned} \tag{12.36}$$

for all i, j and where p_i and q_j are polynomial in the momenta. Of course, the p_i and q_j, as defined by the above equations are not unique, since shifts of p_i by k_2 and ϵ_2 (and q_j

by k_1 and ϵ_1) leave the relation invariant. But, any choice will do. Since $k_{34}^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu = 0$ by the oddness of $f_1^{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$k_3^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu = k_4^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu = \frac{1}{2}(k_3+k_4)^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}(k_1+k_2)^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu = -\frac{1}{2} k_2^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu,$$

and hence we can take

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^\mu &= 0 \qquad & p_2^\mu &= f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu \qquad & p_3^\mu &= p_4^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu \\ q_2^\mu &= 0 \qquad & q_1^\mu &= f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu \qquad & q_3^\mu &= q_4^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{12.37}$$

We now use the following rearrangement,

$$\begin{aligned} (k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu)(\epsilon_2 \cdot k_j) &= (k_2 \cdot p_i)(\epsilon_2 \cdot k_j) = k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} p_i^\nu + (\epsilon_2 \cdot p_i)(k_2 \cdot k_j) \\ (k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu)(\epsilon_1 \cdot k_i) &= (k_1 \cdot q_j)(\epsilon_1 \cdot k_j) = k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} q_j^\nu + (\epsilon_1 \cdot q_j)(k_1 \cdot k_i) \end{aligned} \tag{12.38}$$

Finally, using the following total derivative formulas,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i,j} (\epsilon_2 \cdot p_i)(k_2 \cdot k_j) \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j)\, \mathcal{E} &= \partial_2 \Big(\sum_i (\epsilon_2 \cdot p_i) \partial_1 G(1,i)\, \mathcal{E} \Big) \\ &\quad -(\epsilon_2 \cdot p_2) \partial_1 \partial_2 G(1,2)\, \mathcal{E} \\ +\sum_{i,j} (\epsilon_1 \cdot q_j)(k_1 \cdot k_i) \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j)\, \mathcal{E} &= -\partial_1 \Big(\sum_j (\epsilon_1 \cdot q_j) \partial_2 G(2,j)\, \mathcal{E} \Big) \\ &\quad +(\epsilon_1 \cdot q_1) \partial_1 \partial_2 G(1,2)\, \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.39}$$

Combining first the coefficients of the double derivative terms, we have

$$\epsilon_2^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu - \epsilon_1^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} k_{34}^\nu - \epsilon_2 \cdot p_2 + \epsilon_1 \cdot q_1 = 0 \tag{12.40}$$

while the remaining terms combine as follows, up to total derivative terms,

$$\begin{aligned} (t-u) \mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= +\sum_{i,j} (k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} q_j^\nu) \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j)\, \mathcal{E} \\ &\quad -\sum_{i,j} (k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} p_i^\nu) \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j)\, \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.41}$$

Replacing p_i and q_j by their actual values, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t-u) \mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= +\frac{1}{2} \sum_i (k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_{34}^\rho) \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 \Big\{ 2G(2,1) - G(2,3) - G(2,4) \Big\}\, \mathcal{E} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j (k_j^\mu f_2^{\mu\nu} f_1^{\nu\sigma} k_{34}^\rho) \partial_2 G(2,j) \partial_1 \Big\{ 2G(1,2) - G(1,3) - G(1,4) \Big\}\, \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.42}$$

12.4.3 $s_{ij}\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(1,2)$ in terms of $f_i^{\mu\nu}$

Since $s+t+u=0$, the expressions for $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$ and for $(t-u)\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$ imply the following formulas for $s_{ij}\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, using again the notation (12.32),

$$\begin{aligned}
s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= 2(f_3f_4)\partial_3\partial_4 G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} - 2\sum_{i,j} k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4 G(4,j)\,\mathcal{E} \qquad (12.43)\\
t\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= -(f_3f_4)\partial_3\partial_4 G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} + \sum_{i,j} k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4 G(4,j)\,\mathcal{E}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}\sum_i (k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\rho} k_{12}^\rho)\partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4\Big\{2G(3,4)-G(1,4)-G(2,4)\Big\}\,\mathcal{E}\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}\sum_j (k_j^\mu f_4^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} k_{12}^\rho)\partial_4 G(4,j)\partial_3\Big\{2G(3,4)-G(1,3)-G(2,3)\Big\}\,\mathcal{E}\\
u\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)} &= -(f_3f_4)\partial_3\partial_4 G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} + \sum_{i,j} k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4 G(4,j)\,\mathcal{E}\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}\sum_i (k_i^\mu f_3^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\rho} k_{12}^\rho)\partial_3 G(3,i)\partial_4\Big\{2G(3,4)-G(1,4)-G(2,4)\Big\}\,\mathcal{E}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}\sum_j (k_j^\mu f_4^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} k_{12}^\rho)\partial_4 G(4,j)\partial_3\Big\{2G(3,4)-G(1,3)-G(2,3)\Big\}\,\mathcal{E}
\end{aligned}$$

12.5 The R^4 amplitude

We need first to compute the Heterotic chiral 4 vector amplitude for fixed internal loop momenta p_I^μ,

$$\mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = \left\langle Q(p_I)\prod_{j=1}^4 \epsilon_j^\mu \partial x_+^\mu(z_j) e^{ik_j\cdot x_+(z_j)}\right\rangle \qquad (12.44)$$

Omitting the overall bosonic exponential factor $\prod_{i<j} E(z_i,z_j)^{k_i\cdot k_j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) \;\sim\; & \mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3\mathcal{Q}_4 \qquad (12.45)\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2\,\partial_1\partial_2 \ln E(1,2)\;\epsilon_3\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_3\partial_4\ln E(3,4)\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_3\,\partial_1\partial_3 \ln E(1,3)\;\epsilon_2\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_2\partial_4\ln E(2,4)\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_4\partial_2 \ln E(1,4)\;\epsilon_2\cdot\epsilon_3\,\partial_2\partial_3\ln E(2,3)\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2\,\partial_1\partial_2 \ln E(1,2)\;\mathcal{Q}_3\mathcal{Q}_4 + \epsilon_3\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_3\partial_4\ln E(3,4)\;\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_2\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_3\,\partial_1\partial_3 \ln E(1,3)\;\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_4 + \epsilon_2\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_2\partial_4\ln E(2,4)\;\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_3\\
& +\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_1\partial_4 \ln E(1,2)\;\mathcal{Q}_2\mathcal{Q}_3 + \epsilon_2\cdot\epsilon_3\,\partial_2\partial_3\ln E(2,3)\;\mathcal{Q}_1\mathcal{Q}_4
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduce the following convenient notations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_i &= 2\pi \epsilon_i^\mu p_I^\mu \omega_I(z_i) - i \sum_j \epsilon_i^\mu k_j^\mu \partial_i \ln E(i,j) \\
\mathcal{P}_i^\mu &= \sum_{j \neq i} k_j^\mu \partial_i G(i,j)
\end{aligned} \tag{12.46}$$

Assembling left and right chiralities, and shifting $p \to \tilde{p}$ using (12.20) amounts to making the following replacement,

$$\mathcal{Q}_j \to i\epsilon_j \cdot \mathcal{P}_j + 2\pi \epsilon_j \cdot \tilde{p}_I \omega_I(z_j) \tag{12.47}$$

Combining these contributions with the bosonic chiral amplitude factors, and integrating out $\tilde{p}$ produces

$$\int d^{20}p \, \mathcal{W}^{(p)}_{(R^4)} \, e^{-2\pi\{p_I p_J \mathrm{Im}\Omega_{IJ} + 2\sum_i k_i \mathrm{Im} \int^i \omega_I\}} \prod_{i<j} |E(i,j)|^{2k_i \cdot k_j} = (\det \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-5} \, \mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) \tag{12.48}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4)$ is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = & +\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \, \partial_1 \partial_2 G_s(1,2) \; \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_3 \partial_4 G_s(3,4) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& +\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \, \partial_1 \partial_3 G_s(1,3) \; \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_2 \partial_4 G_s(2,4) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& +\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_1 \partial_4 G_s(1,4) \; \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 \, \partial_2 \partial_3 G_s(2,3) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \, \partial_1 \partial_2 G_s(1,2) \, (\epsilon_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}_3)(\epsilon_4 \cdot \mathcal{P}_4) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_3 \partial_4 G_s(3,4) \, (\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_1)(\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathcal{P}_2) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \, \partial_1 \partial_3 G_s(1,3) \, (\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathcal{P}_2)(\epsilon_4 \cdot \mathcal{P}_4) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_2 \partial_4 G_s(2,4) \, (\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_1)(\epsilon_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}_3) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 \, \partial_1 \partial_4 G_s(1,4) \, (\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathcal{P}_2)(\epsilon_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}_3) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& -\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 \, \partial_2 \partial_3 G_s(2,3) \, (\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_1)(\epsilon_4 \cdot \mathcal{P}_4) \, \mathcal{E} \\
& +(\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}_1)(\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathcal{P}_2)(\epsilon_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}_3)(\epsilon_4 \cdot \mathcal{P}_4) \, \mathcal{E}
\end{aligned} \tag{12.49}$$

and the factor $\mathcal{E}$ was defined in (12.26). This formula for $\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4)$ is identical to the one obtained by computing the non-chiral correlator of the scalar field $x(z,\bar{z})$, as follows,

$$\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^4 \epsilon_j^\mu \partial x^\mu(z_j) e^{ik_j \cdot x(z_j)} \right\rangle \tag{12.50}$$

using the propagator $G(z_i, z_j)$, defined in (1.21).

12.6 The R^4 amplitude in terms of f_i

The right-moving superstring chiral amplitude factor $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$ consists of terms linear in s, t, and u multiplying holomorphic differentials in the insertion points z_i. We shall now show how the left-moving Heterotic chiral amplitude, when multiplied by $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$ may be expressed in terms of the gauge invariant objects $f_i^{\mu\nu}$. It suffices to work out the case of s, the cases of t and u being obtained by permuting the legs.

Thus, the starting point is

$$s\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = -2k_1 \cdot k_2 \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{4} \epsilon_j^\mu \partial x^\mu(z_j) e^{ik_j \cdot x(z_j)} \right\rangle \tag{12.51}$$

The object is to converting all ϵ_i factors into f_i factors, keeping coefficients which are polynomial in the momenta k_i. Two legs may be converted very easily, using the following remark at the operator level,

$$k_i^\nu \epsilon_i^\mu \partial_i x^\mu(z_i) e^{ik_i\cdot x(z_i)} = f_i^{\mu\nu} \partial_i x^\mu(z_i) e^{ik_i \cdot x(z_i)} + \partial_i \Big(-i\epsilon_i^\nu \, e^{ik_i\cdot x(z_i)}\Big) \tag{12.52}$$

Discarding the total derivative for the usual reasons, we see that we may convert ϵ_1, ϵ_2 into f_1, f_2 using this simple formula. We obtain in this way

$$s\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = \sum_{a=1}^{9} \L_a \tag{12.53}$$

where the $\L_a$ are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\L_1 &= +2(f_1 f_2)\,\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\ \mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4) \\
\L_2 &= +2\epsilon_3\cdot\epsilon_4\,\partial_3\partial_4 G(3,4)\,(f_1^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{P}_1^\mu)(f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_3 &= -2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_3^\mu)\,\partial_1\partial_3 G(1,3)\ (f_2^{\nu\rho}\epsilon_4^\nu)\,\partial_2\partial_4 G(2,4)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_4 &= -2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_4^\mu)\,\partial_1\partial_4 G(1,4)\ (f_2^{\nu\rho}\epsilon_3^\nu)\,\partial_2\partial_3 G(2,3)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_5 &= +2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_3^\mu)\,\partial_1\partial_3 G(1,3)\,(f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu)(\epsilon_4\cdot\mathcal{P}_4)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_6 &= +2(f_2^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_4^\mu)\,\partial_2\partial_4 G(2,4)\,(f_1^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_1^\nu)(\epsilon_3\cdot\mathcal{P}_3)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_7 &= +2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_4^\mu)\,\partial_1\partial_4 G(1,4)\,(f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu)(\epsilon_3\cdot\mathcal{P}_3)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_8 &= +2(f_2^{\mu\rho}\epsilon_3^\mu)\,\partial_2\partial_3 G(2,3)\,(f_1^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_1^\nu)(\epsilon_4\cdot\mathcal{P}_4)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\L_9 &= -2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{P}_1^\mu)(f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu)(\epsilon_3\cdot\mathcal{P}_3)(\epsilon_4\cdot\mathcal{P}_4)\,\mathcal{E}
\end{aligned} \tag{12.54}$$

12.6.1 Recasting $\L_9$

Begin by concentrating on the last term and consider the factor involving $f_1 f_2$. It may be recast in the following way,

$$-2(f_1^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{P}_1^\mu)(f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu) = 2\sum_{i,j} C_{ij}\partial_1 G(1,i)\partial_2 G(2,j) \tag{12.55}$$

The coefficients are defined as follows,

$$C_{ij} = k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\rho \tag{12.56}$$

The key observation is that, for any i, j, there exists a matrix $C_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$ which is linear in f_1 and linear in f_2 and otherwise k-independent, so that

$$C_{ij} = k_3^\mu k_4^\nu C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \tag{12.57}$$

This relation manifestly holds for C_{34} and C_{43}. To prove that it also holds for the remaining i, j, we make use of the following auxiliary equations,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{\mu\nu} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_1^\rho &= \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2) k_1^\mu \\ f_2^{\mu\nu} f_1^{\nu\rho} k_2^\rho &= \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2) k_2^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{12.58}$$

and show that

$$C_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2) k_3 \cdot k_4 \tag{12.59}$$

and $C_{31} = C_{24}$, $C_{41} = C_{23}$ and $C_{33} - C_{44}$. It is now clear that (12.57) also holds for C_{21}. With the help of these relations, the momentum conservation equations, namely $\sum_i C_{ij} = \sum_i C_{ji} = 0$, may be solved uniquely in terms of C_{21}, C_{34} and C_{43}. We find

$$\begin{aligned} C_{41} &= \frac{1}{2}(-C_{21} + C_{34} - C_{43}), \\ C_{31} &= \frac{1}{2}(-C_{21} - C_{34} + C_{43}), \\ C_{33} &= \frac{1}{2}(+C_{21} - C_{34} - C_{43}), \end{aligned} \tag{12.60}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{31}^{\mu\nu} = C_{24}^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}(f_1 f_2) - L_-^{\mu\nu} & \qquad C_{21}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}(f_1 f_2) \\ C_{41}^{\mu\nu} = C_{23}^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}(f_1 f_2) + L_-^{\mu\nu} & \qquad C_{34}^{\mu\nu} &= f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} \\ C_{33}^{\mu\nu} = C_{44}^{\mu\nu} &= +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}(f_1 f_2) - L_+^{\mu\nu} & \qquad C_{43}^{\mu\nu} &= f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\rho\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{12.61}$$

where we have introduced the following combinations,

$$L_\pm^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} \pm f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\rho\nu}. \Big) \tag{12.62}$$

Using (12.57), the term $Ł_9$ may be recast in the following way,

$$Ł_9 = 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}(k_3^\mu \epsilon_3^\rho \mathcal{P}_3^\rho)(k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} \tag{12.63}$$

where we have defined,

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i,j} C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j). \tag{12.64}$$

Expressing $k_3^\mu \epsilon_3^\rho = f_3^{\rho\mu} + k_3^\rho \epsilon_3^\mu$, and recognizing $k_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}_3\,\mathcal{E} = -\partial_3 \mathcal{E}$, we find

$$Ł_9 = -2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} - 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_3^\mu (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\partial_3 \mathcal{E} \tag{12.65}$$

and, by making a total derivative in ∂_3 explicit,

$$\begin{aligned} Ł_9 \;=\; & -2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} + 2(\partial_3 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) \epsilon_3^\mu (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} \\ & + 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_3^\mu k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma k_3^\sigma \partial_3 \partial_4 G_s(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} - 2\partial_3(\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_3^\mu (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E}) \end{aligned} \tag{12.66}$$

The last term is a total derivative and may be omitted. Next, we start converting also ϵ_4 into f_4. To do so, we use

$$k_4^\nu \epsilon^\rho \mathcal{P}_4^\rho \mathcal{E} = -f_4^{\nu\rho} \mathcal{P}_4^\rho \mathcal{E} - \epsilon_4^\nu \mathcal{E} \tag{12.67}$$

Using this equation on the first term in (12.66) only, and omitting the total derivative term $\partial_4(2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho \epsilon_4^\nu \mathcal{E})$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} Ł_9 \;=\; & 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho f_4^{\nu\sigma} \mathcal{P}_4^\nu \mathcal{E} - 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} k_4^\rho \epsilon_4^\nu \partial_3 \partial_4 G(3,4) \\ & + 2(\partial_3 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) \epsilon_3^\mu (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} - 2(\partial_4 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho \epsilon_4^\nu \mathcal{E} \\ & + 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_3^\mu k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma k_3^\sigma \partial_3 \partial_4 G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.68}$$

Next, we use the rearrangement $\epsilon_3^\mu k_3^\sigma = f_3^{\mu\sigma} + k_3^\mu \epsilon_3^\sigma$ in the last term, and the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} k_3^\mu k_4^\nu \;&=\; \sum_{i,j} k_3^\mu C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} k_4^\nu \partial_1 G(1,i) \partial_2 G(2,j) \\ &=\; f_1^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_1^\mu\, f_2^{\rho\nu} \mathcal{P}_2^\nu \end{aligned} \tag{12.69}$$

where we have used the defining equation for C_{ij}, namely $k_3^\mu C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} k_4^\nu = k_i^\mu f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} k_j^\nu$. Thus, we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} Ł_9 \;=\; & 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho f_4^{\nu\sigma} \mathcal{P}_4^\nu \mathcal{E} + 2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} f_4^{\rho\nu} \partial_3 \partial_4 G(3,4) \mathcal{E} \\ & + f_1^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_1^\mu\, f_2^{\rho\nu} \mathcal{P}_2^\nu \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4 \partial_3 \partial_4 G(3,4) \\ & + 2(\partial_3 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) \epsilon_3^\mu (k_4^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} - 2(\partial_4 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) f_3^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_3^\rho \epsilon_4^\nu \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.70}$$

Finally, we make the terms in $\partial_3\mathcal{R}$ and $\partial_4\mathcal{R}$ symmetric, by using again (12.67) on the term in $\partial_3\mathcal{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu})\epsilon_3^\mu(k_4^\nu\epsilon_4^\sigma\mathcal{P}_4^\sigma)\,\mathcal{E} &= -2(\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu})\epsilon_3^\mu f_4^{\nu\sigma}\mathcal{P}_4^\sigma\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad +2\epsilon_3^\mu\epsilon_4^\nu\Big(C_{34}^{\mu\nu}\partial_1\partial_3G(1,3)\partial_2\partial_4G(2,4) \\ &\qquad +C_{43}^{\mu\nu}\partial_1\partial_4G(1,4)\partial_2\partial_3G(2,3)\Big)\mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.71}$$

up to a total derivative term $\partial_4(-2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_3^\mu\epsilon_4^\nu)$. By inspection, the expression for $Ł_9$ may be recast as follows,

$$Ł_9 = -Ł_2 + Ł_3 + Ł_4 + Ł_3' + Ł_4' + Ł_A + Ł_B \tag{12.72}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Ł_3' &= -2\partial_3 R^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma}\mathcal{P}_4^\sigma\epsilon_3^\mu\,\mathcal{E} \\ Ł_4' &= -2\partial_4 R^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{P}_3^\sigma\epsilon_4^\nu\,\mathcal{E} \\ Ł_A &= +2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} f_4^{\nu\sigma}\mathcal{P}_3^\rho\mathcal{P}_4^\sigma\,\mathcal{E} \\ Ł_B &= +2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} f_4^{\rho\nu}\partial_3\partial_4G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.73}$$

Note that the terms $Ł_A$ and $Ł_B$ are already completely expressed in terms of f_i's alone.

12.6.2 Simplifications

We shall now simplify $Ł_3'$ and $Ł_4'$, as follows. The derivatives of $\mathcal{R}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} &= \partial_1\partial_3G(1,3)\sum_j C_{3j}^{\mu\nu}\partial_2G(2,j) + \partial_2\partial_3G(2,3)\sum_j C_{j3}^{\mu\nu}\partial_1G(1,j) \\ \partial_4\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} &= \partial_1\partial_4G(1,4)\sum_j C_{4j}^{\mu\nu}\partial_2G(2,j) + \partial_2\partial_4G(2,4)\sum_j C_{j4}^{\mu\nu}\partial_1G(1,j) \end{aligned} \tag{12.74}$$

The following terms can be grouped into total derivatives. Let $[\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]'_{j=4}$ and $[\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]''_{j=4}$ be the contributions to $\mathcal{L}_3'$ from the two terms in the preceding equation with $j=4$. Let $[\mathcal{L}_5]_{j=4}$ be the contribution from $\mathcal{L}_5$ with $j=4$, if we write its factor $f_2^{\nu\rho}\mathcal{P}_2^\nu$ as $f_2^{\nu\rho}\sum_{j\neq 2}k_j^\nu\partial_2G(2,j)$. Then we have,

$$[\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]'_{j=4} + \mathcal{L}_3 + [\mathcal{L}_5]_{j=4} = 2\partial_4\Big\{\epsilon_3^\mu f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu}\epsilon_4^\nu\,\partial_1\partial_3G(1,3)\,\partial_2G(2,4)\,\mathcal{E}\Big\}. \tag{12.75}$$

Similarly, with analogous notations, we have

$$[\partial_3\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]''_{j=4} + \mathcal{L}_4 + [\mathcal{L}_8]_{j=4} = 2\partial_4\Big\{\epsilon_3^\mu f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\rho\nu}\epsilon_4^\nu\,\partial_2\partial_3G(2,3)\,\partial_1G(1,4)\,\mathcal{E}\Big\}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\partial_4 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]'_{j=3} + \mathcal{L}_4 + [\mathcal{L}_7]_{j=3} &= 2\partial_3 \Big\{ \epsilon_3^\mu f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\rho\nu} \epsilon_4^\nu \, \partial_1 \partial_4 G(1,4) \, \partial_2 G(2,3) \, \mathcal{E} \Big\} \\
[\partial_3 \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}]''_{j=4} + \mathcal{L}_3 + [\mathcal{L}_6]_{j=3} &= 2\partial_3 \Big\{ \epsilon_3^\mu f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} \epsilon_4^\nu \, \partial_2 \partial_4 G(2,4) \, \partial_1 G(1,3) \, \mathcal{E} \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Omitting the total derivative terms, we are then left only with the contributions from $j = 1, 3$ in $\L'_3$, $\L_5$, $\L_8$, and the contributions from $j = 2, 4$ in $\L'_4$, $\L_6$, and $\L_7$. All the terms in $\L_2$, $\L_3$, $\L_4$, $\L_5$, $\L_6$, $\L_7$, and $\L_8$ have been either absorbed in total derivatives or completely cancelled. What remains is

$$
s\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = \L_1 + \L_A + \L_B + \L''_3 + \L''_4 \tag{12.76}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\L''_3 &= +2\partial_1\partial_3 G(1,3) \sum_{j=1,3} \partial_2 G(2,j) \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma - C_{3j}^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big) \\
&\quad +2\partial_2\partial_3 G(2,3) \sum_{j=2,3} \partial_1 G(1,j) \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma - C_{j3}^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{12.77}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\L''_4 &= +2\partial_1\partial_4 G(1,4) \sum_{j=1,4} \partial_2 G(2,j) \epsilon_4^\mu \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_3^\sigma - C_{4j}^{\nu\mu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} \Big) \\
&\quad +2\partial_2\partial_4 G(2,4) \sum_{j=2,4} \partial_1 G(1,j) \epsilon_4^\mu \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \Big(f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_3^\sigma - C_{j4}^{\nu\mu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{12.78}
$$

12.6.3 Simplifying the kinematical factors

Next, we show that the kinematical coefficients can be re-written as,

$$
\epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma - C_{3j}^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big) = \pm f_3^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon^\sigma \tag{12.79}
$$

where $j = 1$ corresponds to + and $j = 3$ to −;

$$
\epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma - C_{j3}^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big) = \pm f_3^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon^\sigma \tag{12.80}
$$

where $j = 2$ corresponds to + and $j = 3$ to −;

$$
\epsilon_4^\mu \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_3^\sigma - C_{4j}^{\nu\mu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} \Big) = \pm f_4^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \epsilon_3^\sigma \tag{12.81}
$$

where $j = 1$ corresponds to + and $j = 4$ to −;

$$
\epsilon_4^\mu \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \Big(f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\nu\rho} k_j^\nu \epsilon_3^\sigma - C_{j4}^{\nu\mu} f_3^{\nu\sigma} \Big) = \pm f_4^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \epsilon_3^\sigma \tag{12.82}
$$

where $j = 2$ corresponds to $+$ and $j = 4$ to $-$. We present the derivation only for the first case with $j = 1$; the others are analogous. From the definition of $C_{31}^{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$\epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\nu\rho} k_1^\nu \epsilon_4^\sigma - C_{31}^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big) \;=\; \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(-\frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2) k_1^\mu \epsilon_4^\sigma + \frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_2) f_4^{\mu\sigma} + L_-^{\mu\nu} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \Big). \tag{12.83}$$

In the second term on the right hand side, we replace $f_4^{\mu\sigma}$ by its definition $\epsilon_4^\mu k_4 \sigma - \epsilon_4^\sigma k_4^\mu$ and observe that $k_4 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{E} = -\partial_4 \mathcal{E}$. This last term is a total derivative and can be dropped. Thus the first two terms on the right hand reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(-\frac{1}{2} k_1^\mu - \frac{1}{4} k_4^\mu\Big) \epsilon_4^\sigma \,(f_1 f_2) &= \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \Big(\frac{1}{4}(k_2 + k_3)^\mu - \frac{1}{4} k_1^\mu\Big) \epsilon_4^\sigma \,(f_1 f_2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma (k_1 - k_2)^\mu \epsilon_4^\sigma \,(f_1 f_2). \end{aligned} \tag{12.84}$$

On the other hand, again ignoring total derivatives and thus replacing $f_4^{\nu\sigma}$ effectively by $-\epsilon^\sigma k_4^\nu$, the third term on the right hand side of (12.83) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma k_4^\nu L_-^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma (k_1 + k_2 + k_3)^\nu \big(f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} - f_2^{\mu\rho} f_1^{\rho\nu}\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(f_1 f_2) \epsilon_3^\mu \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma (k_1 - k_2)^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma f_3^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{12.85}$$

where we made use of the definition of $L_-^{\mu\nu}$, and of the identities (12.58). This establishes the desired formula. Since we clearly have

$$\frac{1}{2} f_3^{\mu\nu} L_-^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma = +\frac{1}{2} f_1^{\mu\rho} f_2^{\rho\nu} f_3^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}(f_1 f_2 f_3) \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma, \tag{12.86}$$

the above results may now be summarized in a succinct manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ł}''_3 &= (f_1 f_2 f_3) \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma \epsilon_4^\sigma F(1,2;3) \\ \text{Ł}''_4 &= (f_1 f_2 f_4) \mathcal{P}_3^\sigma \epsilon_3^\sigma F(1,2;4) \end{aligned} \tag{12.87}$$

with the function F defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(1,2;i) = & +\{\partial_1 G(1,2) - \partial_1 G(1,i)\}\, \partial_2 \partial_i G(2,i)\, \mathcal{E} \\ & -\{\partial_2 G(1,2) - \partial_2 G(2,i)\}\, \partial_1 \partial_i G(1,i)\, \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \tag{12.88}$$

for $i = 3, 4$.

12.6.4 Final expressions and summary

A summary of the contributions so far is given by

$$s\,\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}(1,2,3,4) = \text{\L}_1 + \text{\L}_A + \text{\L}_B + \text{\L}_3'' + \text{\L}_4'' \tag{12.89}$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{\L}_1 &= +2(f_1 f_2)\,\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)\;\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4) \\
\text{\L}_A &= +2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} f_4^{\nu\sigma} \mathcal{P}_3^{\rho} \mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\,\mathcal{E} \\
\text{\L}_B &= +2\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} f_3^{\mu\rho} f_4^{\rho\nu} \partial_3\partial_4 G(3,4)\,\mathcal{E} \\
\text{\L}_3'' &= (f_1 f_2 f_3)\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\epsilon_4^{\sigma} F(1,2;3) \\
\text{\L}_4'' &= (f_1 f_2 f_4)\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma}\epsilon_3^{\sigma} F(1,2;4)
\end{aligned} \tag{12.90}$$

We have not quite achieved our goal yet, as $\text{\L}_1$, $\text{\L}_3''$ and $\text{\L}_4''$ still exhibit explicit ϵ-dependence. Manifestly, each term by itself is gauge invariant, so one should not expect cancellations and recombinations of these terms with one another. Instead, they may be recast in terms of f's entirely at the cost of multiplying either by s, or by t or by u. This was already established for $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$ in the section on R^2F^2, and the presence of an extra factor $\partial_1\partial_2 G(1,2)$ does not interfere with those arguments.

For $\text{\L}_3''$ and $\text{\L}_4''$, the argument is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma}\epsilon_3^{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{(k_3\cdot\ell_3)}\Big(f_3^{\sigma\rho}\ell_3^{\rho} + (\epsilon_3\cdot\ell_3)k_3^{\sigma}\Big)\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma} \\
\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\epsilon_4^{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{(k_4\cdot\ell_4)}\Big(f_4^{\sigma\rho}\ell_4^{\rho} + (\epsilon_4\cdot\ell_4)k_4^{\sigma}\Big)\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}
\end{aligned} \tag{12.91}$$

where ℓ_3 and ℓ_4 are arbitrary generic momenta. Clearly, the second terms in the parentheses yield total derivatives because $F(1,2;3)$ is independent of z_4 and $F(1,2;4)$ is independent of z_3. Thus, the resulting terms may be neglected.

Therefore, we have the following alternative expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}
s\text{\L}_3'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_3) f_4^{\sigma\rho} k_3^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;3) \\
t\text{\L}_3'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_3) f_4^{\sigma\rho} k_1^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;3) \\
u\text{\L}_3'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_3) f_4^{\sigma\rho} k_2^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_4^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;3) \\
s\text{\L}_4'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_4) f_3^{\sigma\rho} k_4^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;4) \\
t\text{\L}_4'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_4) f_3^{\sigma\rho} k_2^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;4) \\
u\text{\L}_4'' &= -2(f_1 f_2 f_4) f_3^{\sigma\rho} k_1^{\rho}\mathcal{P}_3^{\sigma}\,F(1,2;4)
\end{aligned} \tag{12.92}$$

13 Non-Renormalization of F^4, R^2F^2 and R^4 Terms

We can discuss now the two-loop corrections to the low-energy effective actions of the Type II and Heterotic superstrings. These are the corrections that remain when the two-loop amplitude is expressed in terms of f_i only and the subsequent limit $k_i \to 0$ is taken.

The following fact is very important for some of the cancellations found below: the (conformal) Green's function $G(z,w)$ defined in (1.21) is the logarithm of a $(-1/2,-1/2)$-form in each variable z or w. It is not the same as the (Riemannian) Green's function, which is defined as the inverse of the Laplacian orthogonal to constants, and requires a choice of metric. However, the two Green's functions differ only by expressions of the additive form $A(z)+A(w)$, which cancel out in all expressions of interest to us, either by differentiation with respect to both z and w, or by momentum conservation. Thus we can effectively regard $G(z,w)$ as a single-valued, scalar function when we choose to.

13.1 Type II R^4 Terms and Heterotic F^4 Terms

The expression (1.20) for the Type II amplitude for the scattering of 4 gravitons depends only on the field strengths $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ and clearly tends to 0 as $k_i \to 0$. Thus there is no two-loop renormalization for the R^4 term in the low-energy effective action for the Type II superstring. The same remains valid for both Heterotic strings, since the right sector contribution is that of the Type II superstring which tends to 0, and the left sector is manifestly independent of ϵ_i.

13.2 R^2F^2 Corrections in the Heterotic Strings

Next, we consider the two-loop corrections to the R^2F^2 terms in the low-energy effective action for the Heterotic strings. The key observation is that the contribution of the superstring from the right sector always includes an ϵ_i-independent term factor proportional to either s, t, or u. The scattering amplitude of the two gravitons on the left sector results in the term $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, and all three terms $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$ have been shown to admit expressions in terms of the f_i alone (12.43). In these expressions, all the terms involve extra momentum factors obviously tend to 0 as $k_i \to 0$, and do not contribute to the low-energy effective action. In view of the anti-holomorphicity in each insertion point of the amplitude $\mathcal{Y}_S$ from the superstring side, the only terms which remain from (12.43) are proportional to terms of the form

$$\int \overline{\omega_I(3)}\, \partial_3 \partial_4\, G(3,4) \exp(-\sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j G(i,j)). \tag{13.1}$$

However, at $k_i \cdot k_j \to 0$, this reduces to

$$\int \overline{\omega_I(3)}\, \partial_3 \partial_4 G_s(3,4) = 0, \tag{13.2}$$

because $\partial_3 \partial_4 G(3,4)$ can be identified with the derivative of a scalar single-valued function.

13.3 R^4 Corrections in the Heterotic Strings

As in the preceding case, the superstring in the right chiral sector always contributes from the factor $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_S$, a factor of s, t, or u multiplying an anti-holomorphic 1-form is each vertex insertion point. We need only consider $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$, since $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$ and $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$ are similar after exchanging the legs. Now $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^4)}$ can be re-written as in (12.89), where all the ϵ_i have been eliminated in favor of the $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ (12.92). We examine the contributions of all the terms $\mathrm{Ł}_1$, $\mathrm{Ł}_A$, $\mathrm{Ł}_B$, $\mathrm{Ł}_3''$, $\mathrm{Ł}_4''$.

The contribution $\mathrm{Ł}_A$ is expressed solely in terms of f_i, but already has two extra momentum factors arising from $\mathcal{P}_3^\rho \mathcal{P}_4^\sigma$, and will therefore not contribute to the R^4 terms in the low energy effective action.

The term $\mathrm{Ł}_B$ is also in terms of f_i, but does not have these extra momentum factors. Recalling the formula for $\mathcal{R}$,

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i,j} C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \partial_1 G_s(1,i) \partial_2 G_s(2,j) \tag{13.3}$$

and setting $\mathcal{E} = 1$ in the limit $k_i \to 0$, we see that of the sum over i, j in $\mathcal{R}$, any term that does not depend on both z_3 and z_4 will not contribute as then either z_3 or z_4 is a total derivative and yields 0 when integrated against anti-holomorphic differentials on the superstring side. The two remaining terms in $\mathcal{R}$ that do depend on both z_3 and z_4 are for $(i,j) = (3,4)$ and $(i,j) = (4,3)$. But these are total derivatives in both z_1 and z_2 and again lead to vanishing contributions upon integration against anti-holomorphic Abelian differentials on the superstring side. Thus, $\mathrm{Ł}_B$ integrates to 0 to this order and does not yield a R^4 contribution either.

Next, we consider the term $\mathrm{Ł}_1$. This term contains the factor $\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$, which does not admit by itself a regular expression in terms of the $f_i^{\mu\nu}$ alone. However, we have seen that $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$, $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$, and $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$ do (see (12.23)). Now if we expand $\mathcal{E}$ for s, t, u near 0, the contribution from the constant term 1 vanishes upon integration against an anti-holomorphic form, just as we saw in the previous subsection[11]. Now the other terms brought down from $\mathcal{E}$ always contain a factor of s, t, or u. We can then make use of the

---

[11] The integrals involved are only conditionally convergent. This requires in principle a more detailed argument, but the outcome is the same.

ϵ_i-free expression for $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}$, $t\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$, and $u\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$ in (12.43). For $s\mathcal{W}_{(R^2)}(3,4)$, this for example yields contributions proportional to $(f_1 f_2)(f_3 f_4)$ with coefficient,

$$(G_s(1,2) + G_s(3,4))\partial_1\partial_2 G_s(1,2)\partial_3\partial_4 G_s(3,4) \tag{13.4}$$

whose integral against a form anti-holomorphic in z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 vanishes. The argument for terms brought down from $\mathcal{E}$ linear in t or u is analogous. Hence the contribution from terms in Ł$_1$ also vanishes.

The only terms left for consideration are Ł$_3''$ and Ł$_4''$. Their behavior is similar to that of Ł$_1$. Again, we only know that sŁ$_3''$, tŁ$_3''$, uŁ$_3''$, sŁ$_4''$, tŁ$_4''$, uŁ$_4''$ can be expressed directly in terms of the $f_i^{\mu\nu}$. Thus we expand again the exponential factor $\mathcal{E}$. Upon integration against the anti-holomorphic form coming from the superstring in the right sector, the constant brought down from $\mathcal{E}$ contributes 0, since we can integrate by parts in z_4 in Ł$_3''$ and in z_3 in Ł$_4''$. The other contributions result in a factor of s t, or u, so now the ϵ_i-free formulas (12.92) become available. But each of them has an extra momentum factor and tends to 0 as $k_i \to 0$. Thus the terms Ł$_3''$ and Ł$_4''$ also do not contribute, and the R^4 term in the low-energy effective action for the Heterotic string receives no two-loop corrections.

A Riemann Surface Formulas for genus 2

In this section, we review basic formulas for the ϑ-functions, prime form, Green functions and Fay trisecant relations needed in this paper. Standard references are [47] and [11]. The basic objects on a Riemann surface Σ, from which all others may be reconstructed, are the holomorphic Abelian differentials, the Jacobi ϑ-function, and the prime form. We choose a canonical homology basis A_I, B_I, $I = 1, \cdots, 2$, with canonical intersection matrix $\#(A_I, B_J) = \delta_{IJ}$. Modular transformations are defined to leave the intersection form invariant and form the group $Sp(4, \mathbf{Z})$. The *holomorphic Abelian differentials* ω_I are holomorphic 1-forms which may be normalized on A_I cycles, and whose integrals on B_I cycles produce the period matrix,

$$\oint_{A_I} \omega_J = \delta_{IJ} \qquad\qquad \oint_{B_I} \omega_J = \Omega_{IJ} \tag{A.1}$$

The Jacobian is then defined as $J(\Sigma) \equiv \mathbf{C}^2/\{\mathbf{Z}^2 + \Omega\mathbf{Z}^2\}$. Given a base point z_0, the *Abel map* sends d points z_i, with multiplicities $q_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $i = 1, \cdots, d$ and divisor $D = q_1 z_1 + \cdots q_d z_d$ of degree $q_1 + \cdots + q_d$ into $\mathbf{C}^2$ by

$$q_1 z_1 + \cdots + q_d z_d \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{d} q_i \int_{z_0}^{z_i} (\omega_1, \omega_2) \tag{A.2}$$

The Abel map onto $\mathbf{C}^2$ is multiple valued, but it is single valued onto $J(\Sigma)$.

A.1 Jacobi ϑ-functions

The *Jacobi ϑ-functions* are defined on $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^t \in \mathbf{C}^2$ by

$$\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega) \equiv \sum_{n-\kappa' \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \exp\Big(i\pi n^t \Omega n + 2\pi i n^t (\zeta + \kappa'') \Big) . \tag{A.3}$$

Here, $\kappa = (\kappa' | \, \kappa'')$ will corresponds to a spin structure, and thus be valued in $\kappa', \kappa'' \in (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$. The parity of the ϑ-functions depends on κ and is even or odd depending on whether $4\kappa' \cdot \kappa''$ is even or odd, i.e. κ is referred to as an *even or odd spin structure*. The standard ϑ-function is defined by $\vartheta(\zeta, \Omega) = \vartheta[0](\zeta, \Omega)$, and is related to $\vartheta[\kappa]$ by

$$\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega) = \vartheta(\zeta + \kappa'' + \Omega\kappa', \Omega) \ \exp\{\pi i \kappa' \Omega \kappa' + 2\pi i \kappa' (\zeta + \kappa'')\} \tag{A.4}$$

We have the following periodicity relations for $\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega)$, in which $M, N \in \mathbf{Z}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta + M + \Omega N, \Omega) &= \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega) \ \exp\{-i\pi N \Omega N - 2\pi i N (\zeta + \kappa'') + 2\pi i \kappa' M\} \\ \vartheta[\kappa' + N, \kappa'' + M](\zeta, \Omega) &= \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega) \ \exp\{2\pi i \kappa' M\} \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The periodicity formula simplifies when 2κ is a period; we note it here for later use,

$$\vartheta[\delta](2\kappa) = \langle\delta|\kappa\rangle \; e^{-4\pi i \kappa' \Omega \kappa'} \; \vartheta[\delta](0) \tag{A.6}$$

where κ is a half-period, which may be even or odd. The signature symbol is given by

$$\langle\kappa|\lambda\rangle \equiv \exp\{4\pi i(\kappa'\lambda'' - \lambda'\kappa'')\} \tag{A.7}$$

For κ, λ both half-integer characteristics, $\langle\kappa|\lambda\rangle = \pm 1$.

Under a modular transformation $U \in Sp(4, \mathbf{Z})$, $\kappa = (\kappa'|\,\kappa")$ transforms as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde\kappa' \\ \tilde\kappa'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D & -C \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa' \\ \kappa'' \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag}\begin{pmatrix} CD^t \\ AB^t \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.8}$$

The period matrix transforms as

$$\tilde\Omega = (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1} \tag{A.9}$$

while the ϑ-function transforms as, with $\epsilon^8 = 1$,

$$\vartheta[\tilde\kappa](\{(C\Omega + D)^{-1}\}^t \zeta, \tilde\Omega) = \epsilon(\kappa, U)\det(C\Omega + D)^{\frac{1}{2}}\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta, \Omega) \tag{A.10}$$

A.2 The Riemann relations

The Riemann relations may be expressed as quadrilinear *sum over all spin structures*,

$$\sum_\lambda \langle\kappa|\lambda\rangle \prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\lambda](\zeta_a) = 4 \prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta'_a) \tag{A.11}$$

where the signature symbol $\langle\kappa|\lambda\rangle$ was introduced in (A.7). There is one Riemann relation for each spin structure κ. The vectors ζ and ζ', are related by a matrix M, which satisfies $M^2 = I$ and $2M$ has only integer entries,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta'_1 \\ \zeta'_2 \\ \zeta'_3 \\ \zeta'_4 \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \zeta_3 \\ \zeta_4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad\qquad M = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.12}$$

In the special case where at least one of the ζ_a, $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$ vanishes, then only even spin structures λ will contribute to the sum and we have one Riemann identity for each odd spin structure κ. When only the *sum over even spin structures* δ is needed, the following modification may be used,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \text{ even}} \langle\delta|\kappa\rangle \prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\delta](\zeta_a) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_\lambda \langle\kappa|\lambda\rangle \Big(\vartheta[\lambda](\zeta_1) + \vartheta[\lambda](-\zeta_1)\Big) \prod_{a=2}^4 \vartheta[\delta](\zeta_a) \\ &= 2\prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_a^+) + 2\prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_a^-) \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

with the following relations between the vectors ζ and $\zeta^\pm$, expressed in terms of a matrix M, defined in (A.12),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1^\pm \\ \zeta_2^\pm \\ \zeta_3^\pm \\ \zeta_4^\pm \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \pm\zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \zeta_3 \\ \zeta_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.14}$$

Clearly, the sign flip may be effected on any odd number of the ζ_a, since both sides are manifestly invariant under permutations of the ζ_a. In the special case where at least one of the ζ_a vanishes, this formula automatically reduces to (A.11).

A.3 The Riemann vanishing Theorem

The *Riemann vector* $\Delta \in \mathbf{C}^h$, which depends on the base point z_0 of the Abel map, enters the *Riemann vanishing Theorem*, which states that $\vartheta(\zeta, \Omega) = 0$ if and only if there exist $h-1$ points $p_1, \cdots, p_{h-1}$ on Σ, so that $\zeta = \Delta - p_1 \cdots - p_{h-1}$. The explicit form of Δ may be found in [11], formula (6.37) and will not be needed here.

A.4 The prime form

The *prime form* is constructed as follows [47]. For any odd spin structure ν, all the 2 zeros of the holomorphic 1-form $\sum_I \partial_I \vartheta[\nu](0,\Omega)\omega_I(z)$ are double and the form admits a unique (up to an overall sign) square root $h_\nu(z)$ which is a holomorphic 1/2 form. The prime form is a $-1/2$ form in both variables z and w, defined by

$$E(z,w) \equiv \frac{\vartheta[\nu](z-w,\Omega)}{h_\nu(z) h_\nu(w)} \tag{A.15}$$

where the argument $z-w$ of the ϑ-functions stands for the Abel map of (A.2) with $z_1 = z$, $z_2 = w$. The form $E(z,w)$ defined this way is actually independent of ν. It is holomorphic in z and w and has a unique simple zero at $z = w$. It is single valued when z is moved around A_I cycles, but has non-trivial monodromy when $z \to z'$ is moved around B_I cycles,

$$E(z',w) = -\exp\Big(-i\pi\Omega_{II} + 2\pi i \int_w^z \omega_I\Big) E(z,w)\,. \tag{A.16}$$

The combination $\partial_z \partial_w \ln E(z,w)$ is a single valued meromorphic differential (Abelian of the second kind) with a single double pole at $z = w$. Its integrals around homology cycles are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{A_I} dz \partial_z \partial_w \ln E(z,w) &= 0 \\ \oint_{B_I} dz \partial_z \partial_w \ln E(z,w) &= 2\pi i \omega_I(w) \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

A.5 Green functions

The Szegö kernel $S_\delta(z,w)$ for even spin structure δ is a $(\frac{1}{2},0)$ form is each z and w, with a single simple pole at $z=w$ and is given by

$$S_\delta(z,w) = \frac{\vartheta[\delta](z-w)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)\ E(z,w)}\,. \tag{A.18}$$

The Green's function $G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2)$ is the Abelian differential of the third kind in z, with simple poles at z_1 and z_2, and zeros at p_1 and p_2. Thus, it satisfies,

$$\partial_{\bar z} G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2) = +2\pi\delta(z,z_1) - 2\pi\delta(z,z_2) \tag{A.19}$$

and is explicitly given by the following expression

$$G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2) = \frac{\vartheta(z-z_1-z_2+p_1+p_2-\Delta)E(z,p_1)E(z,p_2)E(z_1,z_2)\sigma(z)}{\vartheta(-z_2+p_1+p_2-\Delta)E(z,z_1)E(z_1,p_1)E(z_1,p_2)E(z,z_2)\sigma(z_1)} \tag{A.20}$$

where the nowhere-vanishing 1-form $\sigma(z)$ is defined by the ratio,

$$\frac{\sigma(z)}{\sigma(w)} = \frac{\vartheta(r_1+r_2-z-\Delta)E(w,r_1)E(w,r_2)}{\vartheta(r_1+r_2-w-\Delta)E(z,r_1)E(z,r_2)} \tag{A.21}$$

where r_1, r_2, are arbitary points on the surface. Note that $\sigma(z)$ is single valued around A_I cycles but multivalued around B_I cycles in the following way

$$\sigma(z') \;=\; \sigma(z)\exp\{-i\pi\Omega_{II} + 2\pi i(z-\Delta)\} \tag{A.22}$$

A very useful alternative formula for G, in which the ratio of σ's has been expressed in terms of ϑ's and prime forms is as follows,

$$G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2) = \frac{\vartheta(z-z_1-z_2+p_1+p_2-\Delta)\vartheta(p_1+p_2-\Delta-z)E(z_1,z_2)}{\vartheta(p_1+p_2-z_1-\Delta)\vartheta(p_1+p_2-z_2-\Delta)E(z,z_1)E(z,z_2)} \tag{A.23}$$

A.6 The Fay trisecant identity and its variants

Some of the identities needed involve a summation over products with more than four Szegö kernels. At first sight, it would seem that the Riemann identities can not inform us on such sums, since each term is a product of more than four ϑ-functions. The key is the additional use of the Fay trisecant identity,

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-w_1-w_2)\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,z_2)E(w_1,w_2) \\ = +\vartheta[\delta](z_1-w_2)\vartheta[\delta](z_2-w_1)E(z_1,w_1)E(z_2,w_2) \\ -\vartheta[\delta](z_1-w_1)\vartheta[\delta](z_2-w_2)E(z_1,w_2)E(z_2,w_1)\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

This identity is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & S_\delta(z_1,w_2)S_\delta(z_2,w_1) - S_\delta(z_1,w_1)S_\delta(z_2,w_2) \\ & \qquad = \frac{\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-w_1-w_2)E(z_1,z_2)E(w_1,w_2)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,w_1)E(z_2,w_1)E(z_1,w_2)E(z_2,w_2)} \end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

We shall use this identity often for "adjacent" Szegö kernels, which have an argument in common. Simply setting two points equal in (A.24) leads to a trivial result. Therefore, we take the derivative in w_2 of (A.24), set $w_2 = z_2$, use the fact that $\partial_I \vartheta[\delta](0) = 0$ for even δ, divide by $\vartheta[\delta](0)^2 E(z_1,z_2)E(z_2,w_1)E(z_1,w_1)$, and set $w_1 = z_3$. The result is,

$$S_\delta(z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_2,z_3) = -\omega_I(z_2)\frac{\partial_I\vartheta[\delta](z_1-z_3)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,z_3)} + S_\delta(z_1,z_3)\partial_{z_2}\ln\frac{E(z_3,z_2)}{E(z_1,z_2)} \tag{A.26}$$

An immediate variant of (A.26) may be obtained by letting $z_3 \to z_1$, and we obtain,

$$S_\delta(z,w)^2 = \partial_z\partial_w \ln E(z,w) + \omega_I(z)\omega_J(w)\frac{\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)} \tag{A.27}$$

Notice that the first term on the right hand side is independent of δ. Finally, a formula with "adjacent" Szegö kernels may also be derived from (A.25), again by first taking a derivative in w_2 and then setting $w_2 = w_1$. The result is

$$S_\delta(z_1,w)\partial_w S_\delta(w,z_2) - S_\delta(z_2,w)\partial_w S_\delta(w,z_1) = -\frac{\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-2w)E(z_1,z_2)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2} \tag{A.28}$$

The three Fay idenitities, (A.26), (A.27), (A.28) all have the property that the product of two Szegö kernels is reduced to a single $\vartheta[\delta]$ combination.

B Simple geometric properties of the unitary gauge

In this appendix, we gather some simple useful facts about Riemann surfaces Σ of genus 2.

It is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem that holomorphic forms on Σ must have 2 zeroes, counting multiplicities. A first useful fact is the following: if $\omega(z)$ and $\tilde{\omega}(z)$ are two holomorphic forms which have one common zero (and neither form vanishes identically), then they are proportional. In particular, they have the same zeroes. Indeed, if their second zeroes are distinct, then the ratio $f(z) = \omega(z)/\tilde{\omega}(z)$ is a meromorphic function with a single pole. Then $f(z) - c$ has a single zero for each complex number c, which implies that $f : \Sigma \to S^2$ is a biholomorphism from Σ to the sphere. This contradicts the fact that Σ has genus 2.

Assume now that q_1, q_2 are the zeroes of a holomorphic form $\varpi(z)$. We deduce immediately the following identity

$$\Delta(q_1, q_2) = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

This follows from the fact that $\Delta(z, q_2)$ is a holomorphic form in z, which vanishes at $z = q_2$. Thus it must vanish also at $z = q_1$, since q_1, q_2 are the zeroes of $\varpi(z)$.

These properties are even easier to read off from the hyperelliptic representation of Σ. If we view Σ as the Riemann surface of the function $s^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{6}(x - p_j)$, then the holomorphic forms on Σ are given by

$$\omega = \frac{ax + b}{s} dx, \tag{B.2}$$

from which it is clear that the zeroes of ω always occur as the two points q_1, q_2 lying above the same point $-b/a$ in the complex sphere.

C Proof of summation identities involving $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$

In this and the next two appendices, we give the proof of all the identities for I_1 to I_{16} summarized in section §3. They hold in unitary gauge, where q_1, q_2 satisfy the relation,

$$q_1 + q_2 - 2\Delta = 2\kappa \tag{C.1}$$

Here, 2κ is a full period (κ may be an even or odd half-period or itself a full period). The δ-dependence of $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ then simplified, and reduces to

$$\mathcal{Z}[\delta] = \mathcal{Z}_0\, E(q_1, q_2)\, e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'} \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 \tag{C.2}$$

C.1 Identities using the Riemann relations

We begin by establishing the vanishing of the sums I_1 to I_7. For these, we need only the Riemann relations. Retaining only the δ-dependent parts, the sums I_1 to I_7 may all be recast in the following form

$$I = \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] \prod_{a=1}^4 \frac{\vartheta[\delta](\zeta_a)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)} \tag{C.3}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_1 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - q_2,\ \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0 \\
I_2 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - q_2,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - z_2,\ \zeta_3 = z_2 - z_1,\ \zeta_4 = 0 \\
I_3 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - q_2,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - z_2,\ \zeta_3 = z_2 - z_3,\ \zeta_4 = z_3 - z_1 \\
I_4 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - z_1,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - q_2,\ \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0 \\
I_5 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - z_1,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - q_2,\ \zeta_3 = z_2 - z_3,\ \zeta_4 = z_3 - z_2 \\
I_6 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - z_1,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - z_2,\ \zeta_3 = z_2 - q_2,\ \zeta_4 = 0 \\
I_7 & \zeta_1 = q_1 - z_1,\ \zeta_2 = z_1 - z_2,\ \zeta_3 = z_2 - z_3,\ \zeta_4 = z_3 - q_2
\end{array} \tag{C.4}$$

Making use of $\mathcal{Z}[\delta] \sim \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle\vartheta[\delta](0)^4$ in unitary gauge, I is seen to be proportional to

$$\sum_\delta \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\delta](\zeta_a) = 2\prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_a^+) + 2\prod_{a=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_a^-) \tag{C.5}$$

The relation above is precisely the Riemann relation (A.13) with $\zeta^\pm$ in terms of ζ given by (A.14). To calculate the $I_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, 7$, we only use the following $\zeta^\pm$,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_1 & \zeta_1^\pm = \zeta_2^\pm = \zeta_3^\pm = \zeta_4^\pm = \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) \\
I_2 & \zeta_1^\pm = \zeta_2^\pm = \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa)
\end{array} \tag{C.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_3 & \zeta_1^\pm = \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) \\
I_4 & \zeta_1^\pm = \zeta_2^\pm = \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) \\
I_5 & \zeta_1^+ = \zeta_2^+ = q_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_1^- = \zeta_2^- = z_1 - \Delta - \kappa \\
I_6 & \zeta_1^\pm = \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa), \ \zeta_2^\pm = \pm(\Delta - z_2 + \kappa), \ \zeta_4^\pm = \pm(\Delta - z_1 + \kappa) \\
I_7 & \zeta_1^+ = q_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_2^+ = \Delta - z_2 + \kappa, \ \zeta_1^- = z_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_4^- = z_3 - \Delta - \kappa
\end{array}$$

For each case, at least one ζ^+ and one ζ^- are of the form $p - \Delta - \kappa$ for $p \in \{q_1, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$. Using the Riemann vanishing theorem, we have $\vartheta[\kappa](p - \Delta - \kappa) \sim \vartheta(p - \Delta) = 0$ and this proves that $I_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, 7$.

C.2 Identities using the derivative Riemann relations

Making use of the Fay identity (A.26) in I_8, I_9, I_{10} on the following "adjacent" pairs of Szego kernels,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_8 & S_\delta(z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) \\
I_9 & S_\delta(q_1, z_1) S_\delta(z_1, z_2) \\
I_{10} & S_\delta(z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_4)
\end{array} \tag{C.7}$$

the contributions from the second term in (A.26) vanish in view of $I_7 = 0$, $I_5 = 0$, and $I_5 = 0$ respectively. The δ-dependence in the remaining sums is then,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &\sim \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \ \partial_I \vartheta[\delta](z_1 - z_3) \ \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - z_1) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_3 - z_4) \vartheta[\delta](z_4 - q_2) \\
I_9 &\sim \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \ \partial_I \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - z_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_2 - q_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_3 - z_4) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_4 - z_3) \\
I_{10} &\sim \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \ \partial_I \vartheta[\delta](z_2 - z_4) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_4 - z_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - z_1) \ \vartheta[\delta](z_1 - q_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

To evaluate these sums, we use a first derivative of the Riemann identities (C.5) with respect to ζ of the formula, where we have

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_8 & \zeta_1 = 2\zeta + z_1 - z_3, \ \zeta_2 = q_1 - z_1, \ \zeta_3 = z_3 - z_4, \ \zeta_4 = z_4 - q_2 \\
I_9 & \zeta_1 = 2\zeta + q_1 - z_2, \ \zeta_2 = z_2 - q_2, \ \zeta_3 = z_3 - z_4, \ \zeta_4 = z_4 - z_3 \\
I_{10} & \zeta_1 = 2\zeta + z_2 - z_4, \ \zeta_2 = z_4 - z_2, \ \zeta_3 = q_1 - z_1, \ \zeta_4 = z_1 - q_2
\end{array} \tag{C.9}$$

To obtain $\zeta^\pm$, we change the sign of ζ_4, so that

$$\begin{array}{ll}
I_8 & \zeta_1^+ = \zeta + q_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_2^+ = \zeta - z_3 + \Delta + \kappa \\
 & \zeta_1^- = \zeta - z_4 + \Delta + \kappa, \ \zeta_3^- = \zeta + z_1 - \Delta - \kappa
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} I_9 & \zeta_1^+ = \zeta + q_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_2^+ = \zeta + q_1 - \Delta - \kappa & \\ & \zeta_3^- = \zeta + \Delta + \kappa - z_2, \ \zeta_4^- = \zeta + \Delta + \kappa - z_2 & \\ I_{10} & \zeta_1^+ = \zeta + q_1 - \Delta - \kappa, \ \zeta_2^+ = \zeta - q_1 + \Delta + \kappa & \\ & \zeta_1^- = \zeta - z_1 + \Delta + \kappa, \ \zeta_2^- = \zeta + z_1 - \Delta - \kappa & \end{array} \tag{C.10}$$

In each case, both ζ^+ and ζ^- produce a zero in $\theta[\kappa](\zeta_k^\pm)$; when a single derivative is applied, at least one zero will remain. Thus, we have $I_8 = I_9 = I_{10} = 0$.

C.3 Identities with 5 Szegö kernels

Next, we evaluate the objects I_{11} and I_{12} with 5 Szego kernels, needed for the 4-point function. Both are single-valued 1-forms in each z_i which are holomorphic in each z_i, since singularities at coincident z_i's cancel using $I_2 = 0$ for I_{11}, and $I_3 = 0$ for I_{12}.

C.3.1 Calculation of I_{11}

Using (A.27) and $I_2 = 0$, we readily derive that

$$I_{11} = \omega_I(z_1)\omega_J(z_2)\omega_K(z_3)\omega_L(z_4) \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1, q_2) \frac{\partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\delta](0) \partial_K \partial_L \vartheta[\delta](0)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)^2} \tag{C.11}$$

which makes the holomorphicity, as well as some of the symmetries, of I_{11} manifest. To calculate it, we use (C.2). The above sum then becomes,

$$I_{11} = \mathcal{Z}_0 \ e^{4\pi i \kappa' \Omega \kappa'} \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \ \partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\delta](0) \ \partial_K \partial_L \vartheta[\delta](0) \ \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - q_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](0) \tag{C.12}$$

To perform the sum, we use the Riemann identity (A.11) and the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta - \kappa) &= \vartheta(\zeta) \ \exp\{-i\pi\kappa'\Omega\kappa' + 2\pi i \kappa' \zeta\} \\ \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta + \kappa) &= \vartheta(\zeta) \ \exp\{-i\pi\kappa'\Omega\kappa' - 2\pi i \kappa' \zeta + 4\pi i \kappa' \kappa''\} \end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

One thus obtains,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \ \vartheta[\delta](\zeta_1) \ \vartheta[\delta](\zeta_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - q_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](0) \\ & \qquad = 4 e^{-4\pi i \kappa' (\Omega \kappa' - 2q_1 + 2\Delta)} \prod_{\alpha, \beta = \pm} \vartheta \left(\frac{1}{2}(\zeta_1 + \alpha \zeta_2) + \beta(q_1 - \Delta) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

In the above product, each of the 4 factors will vanish when $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$. Thus, the 4 derivatives needed in (C.12) will have to be applied one on each factor to obtain a non-vanishing contribution. Of the six different terms that arise from taking these 4 derivatives, two terms arise from applying the ζ_2 derivatives to factors with the same α and thus produce a $+$ sign, while four terms arise from applying the ζ_2 derivatives to factors with opposite α and thus produce a $-$ sign. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'} \sum_\delta \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \ \partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0) \ \partial_K\partial_L\vartheta[\delta](0) \ \vartheta[\delta](q_1-q_2) \ \vartheta[\delta](0) \\ = -2 \ e^{8\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \ \partial_I\vartheta(q_1-\Delta) \ \partial_J\vartheta(q_1-\Delta) \ \partial_K\vartheta(q_1-\Delta) \ \partial_L\vartheta(q_1-\Delta) \end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

Combining all factors for I_{11}, we get the result in (3.3), using $\varpi(z)$, the holomorphic differential in z, which vanishes at $q_{1,2}$, defined in (2.12).

C.3.2 Calculation of I_{12}

To evaluate I_{12}, we relate it to I_{11}. To do so, we make use of one of its symmetries, $I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = I_{12}(z_3,z_2,z_1,z_4)$. Since I_{12} is symmetric and holomorphic in z_1 and z_3, no information is lost by setting $z_3 = z_1$. This is because there is an isomorphism between biholomorphic one-forms and holomorphic two-forms, which we may schematically denote by $\omega_{\{I}(z)\omega_{J\}}(w) \ \leftrightarrow \ \omega_I(z)\omega_J(z)$. Setting $z_3 = z_1$, and using (A.27), as well as the vanishing of I_1 and I_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_1,z_4) \ &= \ \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\omega_I(z_1)\omega_J(z_2)\omega_K(z_1)\omega_L(z_4) \\ &\qquad \times\vartheta[\delta](0)^{-2}\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0)\partial_K\partial_L\vartheta[\delta](0) \end{aligned}$$

The original $I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ is recovered by letting $\omega_I(z_1)\omega_K(z_1) \ \to \ \omega_{\{I}(z_1)\omega_{K\}}(z_3)$, and thus

$$I_{12}(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = \frac{1}{2} I_{11}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) + \frac{1}{2} I_{11}(z_3,z_2;z_1,z_4) = I_{11}(z_1,z_2;z_3,z_4) \tag{C.16}$$

The last equality holds because by explicit calculation, we have found that I_{11} is totally symmetric in all its arguments z_i.

C.4 Identities involving the fermionic stress tensor

In this subsection, we evaluate the summation identities for I_{13}, I_{14}, I_{15} and I_{16}, all of which invove the insertion of the fermionic stress tensor.

C.4.1 Calculation of I_{13}

Exhibiting the δ-dependence of $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ and using (3.4), the sum is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} I_{13} \ &= \ \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0 \ e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'}}{E(z_1,w)^2 E(z_2,w)^2} \sum_\delta \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \vartheta[\delta](q_1-q_2)\vartheta[\delta](z_1-z_2) \\ &\qquad \times\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-2w)\vartheta[\delta](0) \end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

It suffices to use the Riemann relations (A.11) and (C.13) to obtain expressions in terms of ϑ-functions without characteristics,

$$I_{13} = 4\mathcal{Z}_0 e^{8\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \prod_{\alpha=\pm}\prod_{\beta=1,2} \frac{\vartheta(\alpha(q_1-\Delta)-z_\beta+w)}{E(z_\beta,w)} \tag{C.18}$$

Formula (3.8) is recovered by using the following useful identity,

$$\prod_{\alpha=\pm}\vartheta(\alpha(q_1-\Delta)+z-w) = -\varpi(z)\varpi(w)E(z,w)^2\, e^{-4\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \tag{C.19}$$

C.4.2 Calculation of I_{14}

Exhibiting the δ-dependence of $\mathcal{Z}[\delta]$ and using (3.4), the sum is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14} \quad &= \quad -\frac{\mathcal{Z}_0\ e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'}E(z_1,z_2)}{E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2E(z_2,z_3)E(z_3,z_1)} \qquad \text{(C.20)} \\ & \qquad \times\sum_\delta \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle\vartheta[\delta](q_1-q_2)\vartheta[\delta](z_2-z_3)\vartheta[\delta](z_3-z_1)\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-2w) \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to use the Riemann relations to obtain,

$$I_{14} = -\frac{2\mathcal{Z}_0\ e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'}E(z_1,z_2)}{E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2E(z_2,z_3)E(z_3,z_1)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^4\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^+)+\prod_{i=1}^4\vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^-)\right) \tag{C.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)+z_2-w \\ \zeta_2^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)-z_3+w \\ \zeta_3^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)-z_1-z_2+z_3+w \\ \zeta_4^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)+z_1-w \end{aligned} \tag{C.22}$$

The interchange between ζ_i^+ and ζ_i^- is equivalent to $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$, in view of the unitary gauge relation (C.1). Using the relations (C.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14} \quad &= \quad -2\mathcal{Z}_0 e^{8\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)}\frac{\vartheta(q_1-\Delta+z_1-w)\vartheta(q_1-\Delta+z_2-w)E(z_1,z_2)}{E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2E(z_2,z_3)E(z_3,z_1)} \qquad \text{(C.23)} \\ & \qquad \times\vartheta(q_1-\Delta-z_3+w)\vartheta(q_1-\Delta-z_1-z_2+z_3+w)+(q_1\leftrightarrow q_2) \end{aligned}$$

As a function of z_3, I_{14} has simple poles at z_1 and z_2 with opposite non-vanishing residues, given by $\pm I_{13}$. Thus, it is natural to seek an alternative formula in terms of a Green function $G(z;z_1,z_2;p_1,p_2)$ of (A.20) and (A.23), with poles at z_1 and z_2, and zeros at p_1 and p_2. Inspection of (C.23) indicates that I_{14} vanishes at q_1 and w as a function of z_3; therefore, we choose $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 = w$. Expression $\vartheta(z_3-z_1-z_2+q_1+w-\Delta)$ in (C.23) in terms of G, and using (C.19), and the fact that bilinears in ϖ are invariant under $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$, we recover (3.9).

C.5 A relation between I_{15} and I_{16}

We establish a simple relation between I_{15} and I_{16}. Recall their expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{15}(w; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\varphi[\delta](w; z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_4) S_\delta(z_4, z_1) \\
I_{16}(w; z_1, z_2; z_3, z_4) &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1, q_2)\varphi[\delta](w; z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_1) S_\delta(z_3, z_4)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{C.24}$$

We now use the full Fay identity (A.25), applied to I_{15} and I_{16} with

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\delta(z_2, z_1) S_\delta(z_3, z_4) = S_\delta(z_2, z_4) S_\delta(z_3, z_1) \\
+ \frac{\vartheta[\delta](z_2 + z_3 - z_1 - z_4) E(z_2, z_3) E(z_4, z_1)}{\vartheta[\delta](0) E(z_2, z_4) E(z_3, z_4) E(z_2, z_1) E(z_3, z_1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{C.25}$$

Inserting this expression for the combination $S_\delta(z_2, z_1) S_\delta(z_3, z_4)$ in I_{16}, it is manifest that the first term on the rhs equals $-I_{15}(w; z_1, z_2, z_4, z_3)$, while the second term may be recast in the following form, using the explicit factorized expression for $\varphi[\delta]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{16}(w; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) + I_{15}(w; z_1, z_2, z_4, z_3) \\
& \quad = -\frac{\mathcal{Z}_0 \, e^{4\pi i \kappa' \Omega \kappa'} \, E(z_2, z_3) E(z_1, z_4)}{E(z_2, z_4) E(z_3, z_4)^2 E(z_3, z_1) E(z_1, w)^2 E(z_2, w)^2} \\
& \qquad \times \sum_\delta \langle \kappa | \delta \rangle \vartheta[\delta](q_1 - q_2) \vartheta[\delta](z_1 + z_2 - 2w) \vartheta[\delta](z_3 - z_4) \vartheta[\delta](z_2 + z_3 - z_1 - z_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.26}$$

The δ-sum is carried out using the Riemann identities (A.13), with the help of the following $\zeta^\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_1^\pm &= \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) - z_2 - z_3 + z_4 + w \\
\zeta_2^\pm &= \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) - z_1 + z_3 - z_4 + w \\
\zeta_3^\pm &= \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) + z_2 - w \\
\zeta_4^\pm &= \pm(q_1 - \Delta - \kappa) + z_1 - w
\end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

Clearly, the contributions from ζ^+ and ζ^- may be obtained from one another by simple interchange of q_1 and q_2. Using the form for the Green function G given in (A.23) and the relation (C.19), we obtain (after ample simplifications of prime forms and σ functions),

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{16}(w; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) + I_{15}(w; z_1, z_2, z_4, z_3) \\
& \quad = -2\mathcal{Z}_0 \varpi(z_1) \varpi(z_2) \varpi(w)^2 G(z_3; z_4, z_1; q_1, w) G(z_4; z_3, z_2; q_1, w) \\
& \qquad -2\mathcal{Z}_0 \varpi(z_1) \varpi(z_2) \varpi(w)^2 G(z_3; z_4, z_1; q_2, w) G(z_4; z_3, z_2; q_2, w)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.28}$$

This equation will yield the expression for I_{16} of (3.11), as soon as I_{15} will be known. The latter will be evaluated next.

C.6 Calculating the antisymmetric part I_{15}^A

Given the symmetry of I_{16} under $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$ (as well as under the interchange of z_3 and z_4), we can eliminate I_{16} in relation (C.28) above and directly obtain the antisymmetric part I_{15}^A, producing the second equation in (3.10).

C.7 Calculation of the symmetric part I_{15}^S

Recall the definition of I_{15}^S, expressed as a sum over δ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2I_{15}^S &= \sum_\delta \mathcal{Z}[\delta] S_\delta(q_1,q_2)\varphi[\delta](w;z_1,z_2)S_\delta(z_3,z_4)\Big\{S_\delta(z_2,z_3)S_\delta(z_4,z_1) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad -S_\delta(z_1,z_3)S_\delta(z_4,z_2)\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

The combination in braces may be recast using the Fay identity (A.25) and equals

$$\frac{\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-z_3-z_4)E(z_1,z_2)E(z_3,z_4)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)E(z_1,z_3)E(z_1,z_4)E(z_2,z_3)E(z_2,z_4)} \tag{C.29}$$

Using also the explicit expression for $\varphi[\delta]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2I_{15}^S &= -\frac{\mathcal{Z}_0 e^{4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'}E(z_1,z_2)^2}{E(z_1,z_3)E(z_1,z_4)E(z_2,z_3)E(z_2,z_4)E(z_1,w)^2E(z_2,w)^2} \times S \qquad \text{(C.30)} \\ S &\equiv \sum_\delta \langle\kappa|\delta\rangle \vartheta[\delta](q_1-q_2)\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-2w)\vartheta[\delta](z_3-z_4)\vartheta[\delta](z_1+z_2-z_3-z_4) \end{aligned}$$

The last sum may be evaluated using the Riemann identities, with

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)+z_1+z_2-z_4-w \\ \zeta_2^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)+z_4-w \\ \zeta_3^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)-z_1-z_2+z_3+w \\ \zeta_4^\pm &= \pm(q_1-\Delta-\kappa)-z_3+w \end{aligned} \tag{C.31}$$

so that

$$S = 2\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^+) + 2\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^-) = 2\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^+) + (q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2) \tag{C.32}$$

Working out the first product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^+) &= e^{-4\pi i\kappa'\Omega\kappa'+8\pi i\kappa'(q_1-\Delta)} \qquad\qquad \text{(C.33)} \\ &\quad \times\vartheta(q_1-\Delta+z_1+z_2-z_4-w)\vartheta(q_1-\Delta+z_4-w) \\ &\quad \times\vartheta(q_1-\Delta-z_1-z_2+z_3+w)\vartheta(q_1-\Delta-z_3+w) \end{aligned}$$

The two ϑ-function factors whose arguments are a sum of 6 terms may each be recast in terms of the Green function G using (A.23). There are two different Green functions G that can enter: the one with zeros at w and q_1, and the one with zeros at w and q_2. To recast the above results in this form, we perform the following operations on the first two ϑ-functions in (C.33),

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta(q_1 - \Delta + z_1 + z_2 - z_4 - w) &= \vartheta(q_2 - \Delta - z_1 - z_2 + z_4 + w) \\ &\quad \times \exp\{4\pi i \kappa'(q_2 - \Delta - z_1 - z_2 + z_4 + w - \Omega\kappa')\}\end{aligned} \tag{C.34}$$

and

$$\vartheta(q_1 - \Delta + z_4 - w) = \vartheta(q_2 - \Delta - z_4 + w) e^{4\pi i \kappa'(q_2 - \Delta - z_4 + w - \Omega\kappa')}$$

Furthermore, we make use of the following rearrangement formula (C.19) to recast the product in the following final form in terms of the Green functions G,

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{4} \vartheta[\kappa](\zeta_i^+) &= -e^{-4\pi i \kappa' \Omega \kappa'} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{E(z_i, w)^2 E(z_3, z_i) E(z_4, z_i)}{E(z_1, z_2)} \\ &\quad \times \varpi(z_1)\varpi(z_2)\varpi(w)^2 G(z_3; z_1, z_2; q_1, w) G(z_4; z_1, z_2; q_2, w)\end{aligned} \tag{C.35}$$

Using this result for the product in the symmetrized expression for I_{15}, and combining with the prefactor to S in (C.30), we recover the expression for I_{15}^S in (3.10).

D Proof of summation identities involving $\Xi_6[\delta](\Omega)$

In this section, we prove the δ-summation identities for I_{17}, I_{18}, I_{19}, I_{20} and I_{21}, which all involve $\Xi_6[\delta]$, introduced in [4] and studied there. We recall here the expression for $\Xi_6[\delta]$ in terms of ϑ-constants. Let $\delta = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ the decomposition of δ as a sum of three distinct odd spin structures ν_1, ν_2, ν_3; then we have

$$\Xi_6[\delta] = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} \langle \nu_i | \nu_j \rangle \prod_{k=4,5,6} \vartheta[\nu_i + \nu_j + \nu_k](0)^4 \tag{D.1}$$

where the sum is over the remaining 3 mutually distinct odd spin structures.

D.1 The vanishing of I_{17}, I_{18}, I_{19}

The vanishing of I_{17} is one of the fundamental identities established in [4]. It may also be expressed as follows,

$$0 = \sum_{l \ne m} \langle \nu_l | \nu_m \rangle \prod_{n \ne l,m} \vartheta[\nu_l + \nu_m + \nu_n](0)^4 \tag{D.2}$$

Differentiating with respect to Ω_{IJ}, an operation that we denote by ∂_{IJ}, we find

$$0 = \sum_{l \ne m} \langle \nu_l | \nu_m \rangle \sum_{n \ne l,m} \partial_{IJ} \vartheta[\nu_l + \nu_m + \nu_n](0)^4 \prod_{p \ne l,m,n} \vartheta[\nu_l + \nu_m + \nu_p](0)^4 \tag{D.3}$$

Symmetrizing this equation in l, m, n, we recognize the result as the sum over all triples (l, m, n) of distinct l, m, n, which we may identity with the even spin structures $\delta = \nu_l + \nu_m + \nu_n$, of $\partial_{IJ}\vartheta[\delta]$ multiplied by the sum of three terms which precisely add up to be $\Xi_6[\delta]$. Using now the heat equation for the ϑ-functions, we have $4\pi i \partial_{IJ} \vartheta[\delta](0) = \partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\delta](0)$,

$$\sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta] \ \vartheta[\delta](0)^3 \partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\delta](0) = 0 \tag{D.4}$$

Using the Fay identity (A.27), this readily proves also that $I_{18} = 0$. To prove that also $I_{19} = 0$, we notice that it is holomorphic in each z_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, since the poles in the Szegö kernels have residues proportional to I_{18}, which vanishes. Thus, I_{19} must be of the form,

$$I_{19}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \sum_{IJK} C_{IJK} \omega_I(z_1) \omega_J(z_2) \omega_K(z_3) \tag{D.5}$$

where C_{IJK} are independent of the z_i. From its definition, R_3 is odd under the interchange of any two z's, so C_{IJK} must accordingly be a completely antisymmetric object under the interchange of any two labels. Since $I, J, K = 1, 2$ only, such object cannot exist, and hence $I_{19} = 0$.

D.2 Calculation of I_{20}

Recall that I_{20} is defined by

$$I_{20}(x,y;u,v)) = \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\,\vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(x,y)^2 S_\delta(u,v)^2 \tag{D.6}$$

It is convenient to evaluate I_{20} using the hyperelliptic realization of Riemann surfaces of genus 2. As usual, the hyperelliptic formulation leaves an overall sign to be determined, which we fix by studying the asymptotics in the ϑ-function formulation. Let the surface Σ be the Riemann surface of the function

$$s^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{6} (x - p_j). \tag{D.7}$$

A spin structure δ corresponds to the grouping of the 6 branch points $\{p_j\}$ into two sets $A \cup B$, with $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$. In this realization, the holomorphic differentials $\omega_I(z)$, the ϑ-constants, and the Szegö kernel are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi i \omega_I(z) &= \sum_{J=1,2} \sigma_{IJ} \frac{x^{J-1}dx}{s(x)} \\
\vartheta[\delta](0)^8 &= \frac{1}{(\det\sigma)^4} \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)^2 (b_i - b_j)^2 \\
S_\delta(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_A(x)s_B(y) + s_A(y)s_B(x)}{x-y} \left(\frac{dx}{s(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{dy}{s(y)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

where the matrix σ_{IJ} of change of bases of holomorphic differentials is defined by the equation given above, and we use the notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_A(x)^2 &= (x-a_1)(x-a_2)(x-a_3) = x^3 - A_1 x^2 + A_2 x - A_3 \\
s_B(x)^2 &= (x-b_1)(x-b_2)(x-b_3) = x^3 - B_1 x^2 + B_2 . x - B_3
\end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

First, we extract from the square of the Szegö kernel the piece that is holomorphic and δ-dependent, neglecting δ-independent pieces. We find,

$$S_\delta(x,y)^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\Big(xyA_1B_1 - (x+y)(A_1B_2 + B_1A_2) + A_2B_2 \Big) \frac{dx}{s(x)} \frac{dy}{s(y)} \tag{D.10}$$

up to δ-independent terms. Next, we make the expression for $\Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^4$ in terms of the hyperelliptic form explicit. To do so, we first express it in terms of odd spin structures only, using $\delta = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$,

$$\Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^4 = \sum_{i \le i < j \le 3} \langle \nu_i | \nu_j \rangle \prod_{k \ne i,j} \vartheta[\nu_i + \nu_j + \nu_k](0)^4 \tag{D.11}$$

Hence, I_{20} may be recast in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{20}(x,y;u,v) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} t[i,j] \sum_{l\neq i,j} S_\delta(x,y)^2 S_\delta(u,v)^2 \\ t[i,j] &\equiv \langle\nu_i|\nu_j\rangle \prod_{k\neq i,j} \vartheta[\nu_i+\nu_j+\nu_k](0)^4 \end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

where $\delta = \nu_i+\nu_j+\nu_l$. To compute this, we express $t[i,j]$ first in terms of hyperelliptic form with the help of the Thomae formulas,

$$t[i,j] = s_0(\mathrm{det}\sigma)^{-8}(p_i-p_j)^4 \prod_{k\notin\{i,j\}}(p_k-p_i)(p_k-p_j) \prod_{k,l\notin\{i,j\}}(p_k-p_l)^2 \tag{D.13}$$

This formula is precise, up to an overall i,j-independent sign s_0, which will be determined later by considering asymptotics. Expressing $S_\delta(x,y)^2$ and $S_\delta(u,v)^2$ in terms of hyperelliptic data with the help of (D.10), and carrying out the sum over $i<j$, we find

$$I_{20}(x,y;u,v) = s_0 \frac{\prod_{i<j}(p_i-p_j)^2 \ dx\,dy\,du\,dv}{4(\mathrm{det}\sigma)^8 \ s(x)s(y)s(u)s(v)}\Big((x-u)(y-v)+(x-v)(y-u)\Big) \tag{D.14}$$

Converting the antisymmetric biholomorphic 1-form Δ to the hyperelliptic form as well,

$$\Delta(x,y) = \frac{\mathrm{det}\sigma}{4\pi^2}(x-y)\frac{dx\,dy}{s(x)s(y)} \tag{D.15}$$

we have

$$I_{20}(x,y;u,v) = 4\pi^4 s_0(\mathrm{det}\sigma)^{-10}\prod_{i<j}(p_i-p_j)^2\Big(\Delta(x,u)\Delta(y,v)+\Delta(x,v)\Delta(y,u)\Big) \tag{D.16}$$

Using now again the Thomae formula, in the form

$$(\mathrm{det}\sigma)^{10}\Psi_{10} = \prod_{i<j}(p_i-p_j)^2 \tag{D.17}$$

we obtain I_{20} up to the sign s_0. In the subsequent subsection, we shall show that $s_0=-1$; which leads to our final result,

$$I_{20}(x,y;u,v) = -4\pi^4\Psi_{10}\Big(\Delta(x,u)\Delta(y,v)+\Delta(x,v)\Delta(y,u)\Big) \tag{D.18}$$

D.2.1 The sign s_0 from asymptotics

The antisymmetrized expression $I_{20}(x,y;u,v) - I_{20}(x,u;y,v)$ may be written in two ways; first, via (D.18); second via its expression in terms of ϑ-functions. Omitting a common factor of $\Delta(x,v)\Delta(y,u)$, the equality between these gives the following equation,

$$12\pi^4 s_0\Psi_{10} = \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^2 \mathrm{det}\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0) \tag{D.19}$$

which will determine the sign s_0. Note that $\det\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0)$ does not transform as a modular tensor, although its sum against $\Xi_6[\delta]\vartheta[\delta](0)^2$ does in view of $I_{17} = I_{18} = 0$.

In computing the proportionality coefficient between rhs and lhs, we work in the separating degeneration limit. Throughout, we shall use the notations and result of [4], specifically, section 5.1. The behavior of the modular form Ψ_{10} is given by

$$\Psi_{10} = -2^{14}\pi^2\tau^2\eta(\tau_1)^{12}\eta(\tau_2)^{12} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^4) \tag{D.20}$$

It is of order τ^2, so we shall have to expand the rhs to order τ^2 as well. The determinant is given by

$$\det\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta[\delta](0,\Omega) = 4\pi^2(\partial_\tau\vartheta[\delta])^2 - 16\pi^2\partial_{\tau_1}\vartheta[\delta]\partial_{\tau_2}\vartheta[\delta] \tag{D.21}$$

Using the expansions for the ϑ-constants derived in [4], its expansion to order τ^2 is,

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix}^2 \det\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix} &= -\pi^2\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^4\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^4 \\ &\quad +12\pi^2\tau^2(\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^2)^2(\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^2)^2 \\ &\quad -2\pi^2\tau^2(\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^2)^2\partial^2\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^4 \\ &\quad -2\pi^2\tau^2(\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^2)^2\partial^2\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^4\end{aligned} \tag{D.22}$$

At the leading order, we have $\vartheta[\delta_0] \to 0$ and

$$\Xi_6\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix} = -2^8\langle\mu_1|\nu_0\rangle\langle\mu_2|\nu_0\rangle\eta(\tau_1)^{12}\eta(\tau_2)^{12} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \tag{D.23}$$

Perform the sum over the first 9 even spin structures using the genus one Riemann relation, we find that the leading order term in (D.22) indeed sums to 0.

To determine the order τ^2 terms asymptotics, we proceed as follows. In summing over the first 9 spin structures, the last two terms in (D.22) clearly sum to 0, and we shall henceforth drop them. Similarly, the $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ terms proportional to $\partial\ln\eta(\tau_1)^{12}$ and $\partial\ln\eta(\tau_2)^{12}$ cancel by the same identity. Collecting the remaining terms, and using the asymptotics of ϑ-functions to order τ^2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\mu_1,\mu_2}\Xi_6\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix}^2\det\partial_I\partial_J\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1\\ \mu_2\end{bmatrix} \qquad\qquad (\mathrm{D.24})\\ &\quad = 2^8\eta(\tau_1)^{12}\eta(\tau_2)^{12}\sum_{\mu_1,\mu_2}\langle\mu_1|\nu_0\rangle\langle\mu_2|\nu_0\rangle\Big[-1+\frac{3}{2}\tau^2\partial\ln\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^4\partial\ln\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^4\Big] \\ &\qquad \times\Big[-\pi^2\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^4\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^4 + 12\pi^2\tau^2\Big(\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_1](\tau_1)^2\partial\vartheta_1[\mu_2](\tau_2)^2\Big)^2\Big]\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two products and cancelling the leading behavior, we are left to perform the following genus 1 sum,

$$U(\tau) \equiv \sum_\mu \langle \mu | \nu_0 \rangle \Big(\partial_\tau \vartheta_1[\mu](\tau)^2 \Big)^2 \tag{D.25}$$

Using the modular transformation laws of genus 1, it is readily established that $U(\tau)$ has precisely the same transformation laws as $\eta(\tau)^{12}$. Comparing asymptotics as $\tau \to i\infty$, we find $U(\tau) = 4\pi^2 \eta(\tau)^{12}$. Carrying out these sums, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \Xi_6 \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}^2 \mathrm{det} \partial_I \partial_J \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} &= -9 \cdot 2^{14} \pi^6 \tau^2 \eta(\tau_1)^{24} \eta(\tau_2)^{24} + \emptyset(\tau^4) \\ \Xi_6[\delta_{10}] \vartheta[\delta_{10}]^2 \mathrm{det} \partial_I \partial_J \vartheta[\delta_{10}] &= -3 \cdot 2^{14} \pi^6 \tau^2 \eta(\tau_1)^{24} \eta(\tau_2)^{24} + \emptyset(\tau^4) \end{aligned} \tag{D.26}$$

Adding these together and comparing with the asymptotic expansion of Ψ_{10}, we find the sign $s_0 = -1$.

D.2.2 Calculation of I_{21}

Finally, it remains to compute I_{21}, defined by,

$$I_{21}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \sum_\delta \Xi_6[\delta] \, \vartheta[\delta](0)^4 S_\delta(z_1, z_2) S_\delta(z_2, z_3) S_\delta(z_3, z_4) S_\delta(z_4, z_1). \tag{D.27}$$

We shall relate I_{21} to I_{20}, using the same reasoning that was used to relate I_{12} to I_{11} in appendix §C.3.2. The quantity $I_{21}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ is a holomorphic 1-form in each z_i, since the poles of the Szegö kernels cancel in view of identity $I_{19} = 0$. Also, I_{21} is symmetric under the interchange of z_1 and z_3. In view of the one-to-one correspondence between holomorphic 2-forms and symmetric biholomorphic 1-forms, $I_{21}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ may be completely reconstructed from $I_{21}(z, z_2, z, z_4)$, which is given by

$$I_{21}(z, z_2, z, z_4) = I_{20}(z, z_2; z, z_4) = 4\pi^4 \Psi_{10} \Delta(z, z_2) \Delta(z, z_4) \tag{D.28}$$

Finally, using the map $\omega_{\{I}(z)\omega_{J\}}(w) \ \leftrightarrow \ \omega_I(z)\omega_J(z)$ to reconstruct $I_{21}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ from $I_{21}(z, z_2, z, z_4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) &= 4\pi^4 \Psi_{10} \varepsilon^{IJ} \varepsilon^{KL} \omega_{\{I}(z_1) \omega_{K\}}(z_3) \omega_J(z_2) \omega_L(z_4) \\ &= 2\pi^4 \Psi_{10} \Big(\Delta(z_1, z_2) \Delta(z_3, z_4) - \Delta(z_1, z_4) \Delta(z_2, z_3) \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{D.29}$$

This completes the proof of the 21 δ-summation identities.

References

[1] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings I, Main Formulas", Phys. Lett. **B529** (2002) 241-255; hep-th/0110247.

[2] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings II, The chiral Measure on Moduli Space", Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 3-60; hep-th/0110283.

[3] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings III, Slice Independence and Absence of Ambiguities", Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 61-79; hep-th/0111016.

[4] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings IV, The Cosmological Constant and Modular Forms", Nucl. Phys. **B639** (2002) 129-181; hep-th/0111040.

[5] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Lectures on two-loop superstrings", Hangzhou, Beijing 2002, hep-th/0211111.

[6] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-Loop Superstrings V, Gauge Slice Independence of the N-Point Function", hep-th/0501196.

[7] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, "Conformal invariance, supersymmetry, and string theory", Nucl. Phys. **B 271** (1986) 93;

[8] S. Mandelstam, "Interacting string picture of the fermionic string", in *Workshop on Unified String Theories*, eds. M. Green and D. Gross (1986) World Scientific, 577;
N. Berkovits, "Calculation Of Scattering Amplitudes For The Neveu-Schwarz Model Using Supersheet Functional Integration," Nucl. Phys. B **276**, 650 (1986);
S. Mandelstam, "The n loop string amplitude: Explicit formulas, finiteness and absence of ambiguities," Phys. Lett. B **277**, 82 (1992).

[9] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, "Multiloop calculations in covariant superstring theory", Phys. Lett. **B192** (1987) 95-102;
E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, "Superstring Perturbation Theory", In *Superstrings 88*, M. Green et al. Eds., World Scientific Publ. Co. Singapore (1989) 222-240;
H. Verlinde, "A note on the integral over fermionic supermoduli", Utrecht Preprint No. THU-87/26 (1987) unpublished.

[10] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez, G. Moore, P. Nelson, and C. Vafa, "Fermionic strings in the operator formalism", Nucl. Phys. **B 311** (1988) 333;
A. Neveu and P. West, "Group theoretic approach to the superstring and its supermoduli" Nucl. Phys. **B 311** (1988) 79.
P. Di Vecchia, K. Hornfeck, M. Frau, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto, "N string, G loop vertex for the fermionic string, Phys. Lett. **B211** (1988) 301;
P. Di Vecchia, K. Hornfeck, M. Frau, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto, "BRST invariant operator formalism for the superstring", Phys. Lett. **B 205** (1988) 250.
O. Yasuda, "Multiloop Modular Invariance of D=10 Type II Superstring Theory", Nucl. Phys. **B318** (1989) 397;

[11] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "The geometry of string perturbation theory", Rev. Modern Physics **60** (1988) 917-1065.

[12] E. Gava and R. Iengo, "Modular Invariance and the Two Loop Vanishing of the Cosmological Constant", Phys. Lett. **B 207** (1988) 283;
R. Iengo and C.J. Zhu, "Notes on Non-renormalization Theorem in Superstring Theories", Phys. Lett. **B 212** (1988) 309;
A. Morozov, "Pointwise Vanishing of Two-Loop Contributions to 1, 2, 3 Point Functions in Superstring Theories", Nucl. Phys. **B 318** (1989) 137.

[13] A. Morozov, "On the two-loop contribution to the superstring four-point function", Phys. Lett. **B 209** (1988) 473-476;
O. Yasuda, "Factorization of a two loop Four Point Superstring Amplitude", Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1688; erratum-ibid **61** (1988) 1678;
R. Iengo and C.J. Zhu, "Two-loop computation of the four-particle in heterotic string theory", Phys. Lett. **B 212** (1988) 313;

[14] O. Lechtenfeld and A. Parkes, "On the Vanishing of the genus 2 Superstring Vacuum Amplitude", Phys. Lett. **B 202** (1988) 75;
O. Lechtenfeld, "On Finiteness of the Superstring", Nucl. Phys. **B 322** (1989) 82;
O. Lechtenfeld and A. Parkes, "On covariant multiloop superstring amplitudes", Nucl. Phys. **B 332** (1990) 39-82;
O. Lechtenfeld, "Factorization and modular invariance of multiloop superstring amplitudes in the unitary gauge", Nucl. Phys. **B 338** (1990) 403-414;

[15] R. Iengo and C.J. Zhu, "Explicit modular invariant two-loop superstring amplitude relevant to R^4", JHEP **06** (1999) 011.

[16] J. Atick, J. Rabin, and A. Sen, "An ambiguity in fermionic string theory", Nucl. Phys. **B 299** (1988) 279-294;
G. Moore and A. Morozov, "Some remarks on two-loop string calculations", Nucl. Phys. **B 306** (1988) 387-404;
J. Atick, G. Moore, and A. Sen, "Some global issues in string perturbation theory", Nucl. Phys. **B 308** (1988) 1; "Catoptric tadpoles", Nucl. Phys. **B 307** (1988) 221-273;
H. La and P. Nelson, "Unambiguous fermionic string amplitudes", Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 24-27.

[17] Z. J. Zheng, J. B. Wu and C. J. Zhu, "Two-loop superstrings in hyperelliptic language. I: The main results," Phys. Lett. B **559**, 89 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212191];
C. J. Zhu, "Two-loop computation in superstring theory," arXiv:hep-th/0301018;

[18] Z. J. Zheng, J. B. Wu and C. J. Zhu, "Two-loop superstrings in hyperelliptic language. II: The vanishing of the cosmological constant and the non-renormalization theorem," Nucl. Phys. B **663**, 79 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212198];

[19] Z. J. Zheng, J. B. Wu and C. J. Zhu, "Two-loop superstrings in hyperelliptic language. III: The four-particle amplitude," Nucl. Phys. B **663**, 95 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212219];

[20] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Two-loop superstrings VII, Holomorphic Blocks", in preparation.

[21] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Momentum analyticity, and finiteness of the one-loop superstring amplitude", Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993), 3692, hep-th/9302003;
E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "The box graph in superstring theory", Nucl. Phys. **B440** (1995) 24, hep-th/9410152;
E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Dispersion relations in string theory", Theor.Math.Phys.98:306-316,1994, (Teor.Mat.Fiz.98:442-455,1994) e-Print Archive: hep-th/9404128.

[22] J. B. Wu and C. J. Zhu, "Comments on two-loop four-particle amplitude in superstring theory," JHEP **0305**, 056 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303152].

[23] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, "Heterotic String Theory. 1. The Free Heterotic String," Nucl. Phys. B **256**, 253 (1985); "Heterotic String Theory. 2. The Interacting Heterotic String," Nucl. Phys. B **267** (1986) 75.

[24] E. Martinec, "Non-renormalization Theorems and Fermionic String Finiteness", Phys. Lett. **B171** (1986) 189;
G. Moore, J. Harris, P. Nelson, and I.M. Singer, "Modular forms and the cosmological constant", Phys. Lett. **B 178** (1986) 167-173.

[25] N. Berkovits, "Lorentz covariant Green-Schwarz superstring amplitudes," Phys. Lett. B **300**, 53 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9211025].
N. Berkovits, "Multiloop amplitudes and vanishing theorems using the pure spinor formalism for the superstring," arXiv:hep-th/0406055;
N. Berkovits, "Introduction to superstring theory," *Prepared for 11th Jorge Andre Swieca Summer School on Particle and Fields, Campos do Jordao, Brazil, 14-27 Jan 2001*

[26] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, "Superstring Modifications Of Einstein's Equations," Nucl. Phys. B **277**, 1 (1986).

[27] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, "Effects of D-instantons," Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 195 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9701093];
M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, "One loop in eleven dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **409**, 177 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9706175].

[28] J. G. Russo, "An ansatz for a non-perturbative four-graviton amplitude in type IIB superstring theory," Phys. Lett. B **417**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9707241].

[29] Y. Cai and C. A. Nunez, "Heterotic String Covariant Amplitudes And Low-Energy Effective Action," Nucl. Phys. B **287**, 279 (1987);

Y. Kikuchi and C. Marzban, “Low-Energy Effective Lagrangian Of Heterotic String Theory,” Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1400 (1987);
D. J. Gross and J. H. Sloan, “The Quartic Effective Action For The Heterotic String,” Nucl. Phys. B **291**, 41 (1987);
J. R. Ellis, P. Jetzer and L. Mizrachi, “One Loop String Corrections To The Effective Field Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **303**, 1 (1988).

[30] E. Witten, “String theory dynamics in various dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **443**, 85 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9503124];
A. Dabholkar, “Ten-dimensional heterotic string as a soliton,” Phys. Lett. B **357**, 307 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9506160];
C. M. Hull, “String-string duality in ten-dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **357**, 545 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9506194];
J. Polchinski and E. Witten, “Evidence for Heterotic - Type I String Duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **460**, 525 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510169].

[31] E. Kiritsis and N. A. Obers, “Heterotic/type-I duality in D ¡ 10 dimensions, threshold corrections and D-instantons,” JHEP **9710**, 004 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9709058];
C. Bachas, “Heterotic versus type I,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **68**, 348 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9710102];
C. Bachas, C. Fabre, E. Kiritsis, N. A. Obers and P. Vanhove, “Heterotic/type-I duality and D-brane instantons,” Nucl. Phys. B **509**, 33 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9707126];
M. Bianchi, “Open strings and dualities,” J. Korean Phys. Soc. **33**, S510 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9712020];
K. Foerger and S. Stieberger, “Higher derivative couplings and heterotic-type I duality in eight dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **559**, 277 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9901020].

[32] C. P. Bachas, “Lectures on D-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/9806199.

[33] A. A. Tseytlin, “On SO(32) heterotic - type I superstring duality in ten dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **367**, 84 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510173];
A. A. Tseytlin, “Heterotic - type I superstring duality and low-energy effective actions,” Nucl. Phys. B **467**, 383 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9512081].

[34] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Non-Abelian Born-Infeld action and type I - heterotic duality. I: Heterotic F**6 terms at two loops,” Nucl. Phys. B **647**, 49 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207026].

[35] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Non-Abelian Born-Infeld action and type I - heterotic duality. II: Nonrenormalization theorems,” Nucl. Phys. B **648**, 3 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0209064].

[36] P. Horava and E. Witten, “Heterotic and type I string dynamics from eleven dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **460**, 506 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510209].

[37] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, “The ten-dimensional effective action of strongly coupled heterotic string theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **540**, 230 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9801087].

[38] E. Kiritsis, N. A. Obers and B. Pioline, "Heterotic/type II triality and instantons on K3," JHEP **0001**, 029 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001083].

[39] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Vertex Operators for Closed Strings", Phys. Rev **D35** (1987) 3890.

[40] P.S. Howe, "Super Weyl transformations in two dimensions", J. Phys. **A** (1979) 393;
E. Martinec, "Superspace geometry of superstrings", Phys. Rev. **D 28** (1983) 2604;
E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Superholomorphic anomalies and supermoduli space", Nucl. Phys. **B 292** (1987) 317.
S. Giddings and P. Nelson, "The geometry of super Riemann surfaces", Commun. Math. Phys. **116** (1988), 607-634.

[41] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Superstrings, super Riemann surfaces, and supermoduli space", Contribution to the Proc. of the 'String Theory' Conference, Rome, Italy, June 1988, published in Rome String Theory 1988: 17-68 (QCD162:S75:1988)

[42] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Loop amplitudes for the fermionic string", Nucl. Phys. **B 278** (1986) 225;
G. Moore, P. Nelson, and J. Polchinski, "Strings and supermoduli", Phys. Lett. **B 169** (1986) 47-53.

[43] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Conformal scalar fields and chiral splitting on super Riemann surfaces", Commun. Math. Physics **125** (1989) 469-513.

[44] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, "Chiral Bosonization, determinants and the string partition function", Nucl. Phys. **B288** (1987) 357.

[45] S.B. Giddings and S. Wolpert, Commun. Math. Phys. **109** (1987) 177;
E. D'Hoker and S.B. Giddings, Nucl. Phys. **B291** (1987) 91.

[46] K. Aoki, E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "Unitarity of Closed Superstring Perturbation Theory", Nucl. Phys. **B342** (1990) 149.

[47] J.D. Fay, *Theta Functions on Riemann Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol 352, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973;
D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta*, Vols. I, II, Birkhauser, 1983.